



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Regional Manager/Real Property
Contracting/PWGSC
Ontario Region, Tendering Office
12th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street
Toronto, Ontario
M2N 6A6
Ontario

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Regional Manager/Real Property Contracting/PWGSC
Ontario Region, Tendering Office
12th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street
Toronto, Ontario
M2N 6A6
Ontario

Title - Sujet Geotechnical Engineering RFSO	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EQ754-171056/A	Date 2016-12-15
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20171056	Amendment No. - N° modif. 004
File No. - N° de dossier PWL-6-39088 (029)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$PWL-029-2240	
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale	
2016-11-23	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2017-01-05	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Fok, Bo See	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pwl029
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (416) 590-8254 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (416) 512-5862
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: Ontario Region	
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required	Yes - Oui	No - Non
Accusé de réception requis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

Amendment No. 004

This amendment is raised to 1) incorporate changes to the following sections of the RFSO: Submissions Requirements and Evaluation (SRE) 2.2 Specific Requirements for Proposal Format; and 2) provide responses to Requests for Clarification.

1) Revisions to Submission Requirements and Evaluation (SRE)

Reference: SRE 2 Proposal Requirements – 2.2 Specific Requirements for Proposal Format

Proponents are hereby instructed to:

Delete: In its entirety.

Insert:

The maximum number of pages (including text and graphics) to be submitted for the Rated Requirements under SRE 3.2 is thirty five (35) pages.

The following are not part of the page limitation mentioned above;

- Covering letter
- Table of Contents
- Tabs / Page Dividers (provided they are free of text and/or graphics)
- Declaration/Certifications Form (Appendix A)
- Integrity Provisions – Required Documentation
- Price Proposal Form (Appendix B)
- Team Identification (Appendix C)
- Front page of the Request for Standing Offer document
- Front page of revision(s) to the Request for Standing Offer document
- Licensing, Certification or Authorization

Consequence of non-compliance: any pages which extend beyond the above page limitation and any other attachments will be extracted from the proposal and will not be forwarded to the PWGSC Evaluation Board members for evaluation.

2) Requests for Clarification

Q1: In reviewing the RFSO document, some clarification is required surrounding the Mandatory Requirements. In Section SRE 3.1.2, PWGSC is requesting proponents include their Licensing, Certification and Authorization; however, on page 75 of 102 - SRE 2.2, there is no mention of this section being excluded from the 35-page limitation.

A1: The Licensing, Certificate and Authorization stated in 3.1.2 are not part of the page limitation identified in 2.2 Specific Requirements. Refer to 1) Revisions to Submission Requirements and Evaluation (SRE) above.

- Q2: Due to the approaching holidays and the shutdown of our offices between Christmas and the new year, we would like to request the consideration of a one week deadline extension, to allow our staff the enjoyment of time with their families during the holiday season.
- A2: An extension to the Solicitation Closing Date will not be granted.
- Q3: Price Proposal – Section C Asphalt: Laboratory Services – Line Item 2.8 – Full Marshal Compaction and Bulk Density *Does Full Marshal Compaction and Bulk Density imply percent compaction from an asphalt core sample?*
- A3: Yes, from an asphalt core sample.
- Q4: Will PWGSC consider extending the closing date by one (1) week to January 12, 2017?
- A4: Refer to A2.
- Q5: Regarding Hypothetical Project 2, we have assumed that the new construction includes a radar tower. The third last bullet under General Requirements (on Page 82 of 102) states: “Determination of water table, and basement waterproofing requirements” Will the radar tower have a basement?
- A5: For Hypothetical project 2, there will be no basement at a radar tower. The basement is for a building if required and will be included for this project.
- Q6: In Appendix F, please confirm that Central Region includes Cochrane, Sudbury and Timiskaming.
- A6: Confirmed as per Appendix F. Please note that the proposal submission is to cover the Ontario region as a whole. Appendix F is provided as a reference to be used to calculate disbursements related to travel and living expenses to the project site (refer to TP 10 Disbursements).
- Q7: In Appendix F, please confirm that Southwestern Region includes Algoma, Kenora, Rainy River and Thunder Bay.
- A7: Confirmed as per Appendix F. Please note that the proposal submission is to cover the Ontario region as a whole. Appendix F is provided as a reference to be used to calculate disbursements related to travel and living expenses to the project site (refer to TP 10 Disbursements).
- Q8: Although we are submitting as a primary consultant who can provide all the required services, we have also been asked to submit as part of a joint venture with another firm. My question: Is there a conflict of interest in submitting RFSOs as both a primary and a sub consultant?
- A8: Refer to the RFSO, General Instructions (GI) 13 Limitation of Submissions. Under 1. A Proponent may not submit more than one proposal. This limitation also applies to the persons or entities in the case of a joint venture. If more than one proposal is received from a Proponent (or, in the case of a joint venture, from the persons or entities), all such proposals shall be rejected and no further consideration shall be given. Refer to subsection 4 for limitations as Proponent and sub-Consultant.

All other terms and conditions remain the same.