



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC**

11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services - EL
Division/Services professionnels en informatique -
division EL
4C2, Place du Portage
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet EFM Systems Integration	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation F1686-150029/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 007
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client F1686-150029	Date 2016-12-21
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EL-615-30604	
File No. - N° de dossier 615el.F1686-150029	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2017-01-09	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Ghaddab, Nabil	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 615el
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-5419 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This Solicitation amendment is raised to answer questions received from Bidders.

QUESTIONS AND CORRESPONDENT ANSWERS:

QUESTION 1

It is our understanding the Crown would like to use C.M1 to ensure DFO will receive proposals from vendors who can adequately fulfill DFO's staffing requirements. It is also our understanding that there will be three (3) contracts valued at over \$4M each for the duration of the contract. With this in mind, would the Crown consider changing C.M1 to;

To be accepted, each contract must have:

1. been awarded within the past 5 years of the Initial Solicitation Closing Date**
2. a minimum value of \$850,000.00; and
3. A minimum of one (out of the three proposed contracts) must have been completed the development of technical specifications, design, develop and implementation of an enterprise application on the Java 2 Enterprise Edition (version 1.3 or more recent) platform."

ANSWER 1

Canada has reviewed the question and the RFP will remain unchanged.

QUESTION 2

Canada was flexible in Question and Answer 5 of Amendment 5 in removing the requirement to provide signed reference letters for C.M1. This is a very curious and sudden change, as most bidders interested in bidding already have their reference letters from their clients at this stage in the solicitation process.

Would Canada please grant the same flexibility and consideration to rated requirement C.R1 to allow 10 contracts instead of 8 to demonstrate experience? This way Canada could ensure that firms are qualified to supply the minimum number of billable days required under C.M1 while still allowing flexibility for the rated requirement. This is required as the rated requirement asks for double the amount of billable days of C.M1 to arrive at full points.

ANSWER 2

Canada has reviewed the question and the RFP will remain unchanged.

QUESTION 3

It is our understanding the Crown would like to use C.M1 to ensure DFO will receive proposals from vendors who can adequately fulfill DFO's staffing requirements. It is also our understanding that there will be three (3) contracts valued at over \$4M each for the duration of the contract. With this in mind, would the Crown consider changing C.M1 to;

“To be accepted, all three (3) contract must:

1. have been awarded within the past 5 years of the Initial Solicitation Closing Date**
2. average between the three contracts must total \$2,000,000.00 in value; and
3. A minimum of one (out of the three proposed contracts) must have been completed the development of technical specifications, design, develop and implementation of an enterprise application on the Java 2 Enterprise Edition (version 1.3 or more recent) platform.”

OR

To be accepted, each contract must have:

1. been awarded within the past 5 years of the Initial Solicitation Closing Date**
2. a minimum value of \$995,000.00; and
3. A minimum of one (out of the three proposed contracts) must have been completed the development of technical specifications, design, develop and implementation of an enterprise application on the Java 2 Enterprise Edition (version 1.3 or more recent) platform.”

ANSWER 3

Canada has reviewed the question and the RFP will remain unchanged.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED