



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Electronics, Simulators and Defence Systems Div.
/Division des systèmes électroniques et des systèmes de
simulation et de défense
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
8C2, Place du Portage
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

| | |
|---|--|
| Title - Sujet Radar Equipment remplacement at CCG | |
| Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation F7048-160039/A | Amendment No. - N° modif. 001 |
| Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client F7048-160039 | Date 2017-01-06 |
| GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$QF-103-26082 | |
| File No. - N° de dossier 103qf.F7048-160039 | CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME |
| Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2017-01-17 | |
| Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST | |
| F.O.B. - F.A.B. Specified Herein - Précisé dans les présentes Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Eddy, Kathie | Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 103qf |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-0768 () | FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-5650 |
| Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: | |

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

| | |
|--|--|
| Delivery Required - Livraison exigée | Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée |
| Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur | |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur | |
| Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie) | |
| Signature | Date |

Solicitation Amendment # 001 is raised to respond to the following question from industry:

Question # 1:

Could CCG clarify what is the difference between INNAV and VTMS system?

Answer # 1:

INNAV is CCG's VTMS.

Question # 2:

In Annex B (deliverables list page 52) it is mentioned 4 extractors in Spares, is this a typography mistake?

Answer # 2:

Yes there is a mistake in the number of "Extractor Spares" in the APPENDIX B DELIVERABLES LIST. Remove the '1 min.' spare for the CCG College. This will leave an Extractors spares list of three (3): ATLANTIC REGION, Sector South, 1 min. CENTRAL AND ARCTIC REGION, Great Lakes and Arctic, 1 min., and, WESTERN REGION, 1 min.

Additionally, the total number of extractors to be supplied needs to be revised in the line "Extractor". One (1) extractor needs to be added to the CCG COLLEGE column.

Question # 3:

Could you please confirm that both equipment radar transceivers and extractors will be replaced at the same time when they have to be replaced in order to be more cost effective?

Answer # 3:

No, the existing radar equipment that has not reached the end of its life should only be replaced if it can be demonstrated that replacing this equipment is more cost effective than maintaining the existing configuration, from an overall life cycle perspective.

Question # 4:

Considering that the existing radar extractor at Prince Rupert are supposedly not redundant, do we have to deliver 3 additional extractors to create the requested redundancy?

Answer # 4:

At the time of the release of this LOI, based on CCG's current operational requirements, the envisioned configuration of each of these three sites is for a single, non-redundant extractor. As indicated in the response to 4.7.1.1 above, the existing equipment should only be replaced if it can be demonstrated that it would be more cost effective from an overall life cycle perspective to replace the existing extractors of the newly installed equipment at Prince Rupert with a nationally consistent solution.

Question # 5:

Could you please confirm that there will be radar system installation is requested at both sites? In the Annex B (deliverables list page 52) it is mentioned that the radar extractor for CCG College will be a spare, so not installed.

Answer # 5:

At the time of the release of this LOI, the requirement was that radar system installations at both the College and at the Test Lab would be performed by the contractor.

Question # 6:

Appendix B - There is a typography mistake in regards the estimated 150 days as per section 4.7.5, it is to understand 4.7.7, do you confirm?

Answer # 6:

Estimated days is 155 as per section 4.7.7. The line with a reference to section 4.7.5 is a duplicate and should be ignored.

Question # 7:

Could you please confirm what will the period of warranty requested, it is for one year?

Answer # 7:

A minimum expected warranty is 1 year. Section 5.1.4 describes the warranty requirements for an option for additional years.

Question # 8:

In the following section 1.1.2 it is clearly suggested to replace the AIL antennas with 21' slotted antennas, could you please clarify CCG's position?

Answer # 8:

It is the intention of Crown to replace the AIL antennas, with the exception of Mt. Ozzard, with 21' slotted waveguide antennas.

Question # 9:

Could you please clarify the list of the "shore mounted radars" (Levis, Charon Island,...)?

Answer # 9:

The following radar sites are considered by the Crown to be shore mounted radars:
Port aux Basques; Chebucto Head; Georges Island; Partridge Island; Les Escoumins; Ile Charon; Pont Jacques Cartier; Lévis; Point Edward; Berry Point; and Kap 100.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
F7048-160039/001/QF
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
F7048-160039

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
002
File No. - N° du dossier
103qf7048-160039

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
103qf
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

Question # 10:

Could you please confirm that both equipment radar transceivers and extractors will be replaced at the same time when they have to be replaced in order to be more cost effective?

Answer # 10:

In the event that the timing of the project is such that the new extractors are purchased in advance of the radar transceivers, it might be necessary for the new extractors to interface with the existing radars.

Question # 11:

Is the redundancy requested for the extractor power supply only?

Answer # 11:

The extractor power supply was only used as one example. All major or critical components must have built-in redundancy.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.