

**RETURN BIDS TO :**

Shared Services Canada / Services partagés  
Canada

C/O Andrew Nimmo (Contracting Authority)

Andrew.nimmo@canada.ca

180 Kent St.,13th Floor,

Ottawa, ON, K1G 4A8

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL  
DEMANDE DE PROPOSITION****Proposal To: Shared Services Canada**

We hereby offer to sell to Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada, in accordance with the terms and conditions set out herein, referred to herein or attached hereto, the goods, services, and construction listed herein and on any attached sheets at the price(s) set out thereof.

**Proposition aux: Services partagés Canada**

Nous offrons par la présente de vendre à Sa Majesté la Reine du chef du Canada, aux conditions énoncées ou incluses par référence dans la présente et aux annexes ci-jointes, les biens, services et construction énumérés ici sur toute feuille ci-annexées, au(x) prix indiqué(s)

<b>Title – Sujet</b> <b>Enterprise Vulnerability Management Solution</b>	
<b>Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation</b> 15-010876/A	<b>Amendment No. – N° de modif.</b> 002
<b>Client Reference No. – N° référence du client</b> 15-010876/A	<b>Date</b> 2017-01-11
<b>File No. – N° de dossier</b> 019eo-2015119/A	
<b>Solicitation Closes – L'invitation prend fin at – à 02 :00 PM on – le 2017-02-06</b>	<b>Time Zone</b> <b>Fuseau horaire</b>  Eastern daylight standard time EDST  Heure normale de l'Est HNE
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Inquiries to :- Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Nimmo, Andrew	<b>Buyer Id – Id de l'acheteur</b> 019eo
<b>Telephone No. – N° de téléphone :</b> 613-668-5697	<b>FAX No. – N° de FAX</b> Not applicable
<b>Delivery required - Livraison exigée</b> See Herein	<b>Delivered Offered – Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Destination – of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination – des biens, services et construction :</b> See Herein	

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
15-010876/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
002

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

File No. - N° du dossier

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

**Comments - Commentaires**

This document contains a Security  
Requirement

**Vendor/firm Name and address**

**Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Facsimile No. – N° de télécopieur**

**Telephone No. – N° de téléphone**

**Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/firm**

**(type or print)-**

**Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur  
(taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)**

**Signature**

**Date**

## **AMENDMENT # 001**

This amendment is raised to:

- 1) Respond to the questions from bidders relating to the content of the RFP, as set out in Appendix 001;
  - 2) Provide Editable versions of Forms 3, 4, 8 and 9
  - 2) Amend the Request for Proposal (RFP), as set out in Appendix 002;
- 

## **Appendix 001**

### **Question 3**

M1. The solution must fully support implementation, administration, configuration and scanning for both IPv4 and IPv6 networks.

While support for IPv6 is on most vendor roadmaps within the next year, it is not necessarily available at time of bid submission. Would the crown consider moving this requirement to Rated. Could vendors obtain partial points if they can provide roadmap that confirms inclusion of IPv6 support within one year?

### **Answer 3**

Requirement M1 aligns with the needs of the Crown to scan already in place IPV6 networks. The Crown will adjust the requirement to have it read

“ The solution must fully support implementation, administration, configuration and scanning for IPv4 as well as support scanning and reporting of IPv6 networks. “

The Crown will also create a rated requirement with a five (5) point score that reads

“The solution should support the implementation, administration, configuration and scanning for IPv6 networks. “

### **Question 4**

Many excellent VM solutions which are not EAL3 certified have been tested, reviewed and implemented in high security environments. Additionally, the crown will also be doing its own acceptability testing. Also it does appear that only one VM vendor is actually EAL3+ certified which would make this unfair to other vendors. Would the crown consider removing the EAL3 requirement entirely or alternatively move this requirement to Rated.

### **Answer 4**

Please refer to Question 2 of Amendment 001 to this RFP that was posted to Buy and Sell.

### **Question 5**

Forms 3 & 4 include 5 response columns and, while we are familiar with the last 2 columns (bidder/vendor columns), we are unsure how to address 3 of the middle columns (primary function, secondary function, and blank). Please confirm the Crown's expectations of Bidder response for these columns.

### **Answer 5**

Form 3 and 4 has been amended, please refer to Appendix 002

### **Question 6**

Given the number of mandatory and rated requirements and the timing of the release of the RFP docs, we are requesting an extension of two weeks (February 6th, 2017) so that we can provide a full and compliant response

### **Answer 6**

The Crown will agree to extend to closing date until February 6th, 2017.

---

## **Appendix 002**

### AMENDMENT TO THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

#### **1.0** Amend the Request for Proposal Closing date:

From: January 31, 2017

To: February 6, 2017

#### **2.0** At PART 3 - BID PREPARATION INSTRUCTIONS, 3.1 Bid Preparation Instructions

**Insert** Section III: Certifications (2 hard copy) and (1 soft copy) on CD, DVD;

**3.0 AT PART 4 - EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION, 4.2**  
Conduct of Evaluation in Steps

**Delete**            b )Step 2 – Evaluation of Rated Requirements:

**Insert**            b )Step 2 – Evaluation of Rated Requirements:

Bids that meet all the Mandatory Criteria will then be rated by assigning a score to the rated requirements, which are identified in the bid solicitation by the word "rated" or by reference to a score. Bidders who fail to submit complete bids with all the information requested by this bid solicitation will be rated accordingly. The rated requirements are described in Form of Annex C.

Bidders must achieve a minimum score of 102/136 on the rated requirements to be considered responsive (i.e. 75% or higher out of the overall point-rated maximum points). In all calculations, the Total Technical Score (TTS) will be rounded to two decimal places. Bids that do not score at least 102/136 will be declared non-responsive and be disqualified.

**4.0 At PART 7 - RESULTING CONTRACT CLAUSES, Section 7.20**

**Insert            7.20    Security Requirements**

The following Security Requirement (SRCL and related clauses), as set out under Annex “A” to the Supply Arrangement, applies to the Contract

**SECURITY REQUIREMENT FOR CANADIAN SUPPLIER:**

PWGSC FILE #	Contractor Clearance	Personnel Security Screening	Contractor and its personnel
TBD	FSC (Secret)	Secret	MUST NOT remove any protected/CLASSIFIED information

- (a) The Contractor must, at all times during the performance of the Contract, hold a valid Facility Security Clearance at the level of SECRET, issued by the Canadian and

International Industrial Security Directorate (CIISD), Public Works and Government Services Canada (PWGSC).

- (b) The Contractor personnel requiring access to PROTECTED/CLASSIFIED information, assets or sensitive work site(s) must EACH hold a valid personnel security screening at the level of SECRET, granted or approved by CIISD/PWGSC.
- (c) The Contractor MUST NOT remove any PROTECTED/CLASSIFIED information from the identified work site(s), and the Contractor must ensure that its personnel are made aware of and comply with this restriction.
- (d) Subcontracts which contain security requirements are NOT to be awarded without the prior written permission of CIISD/PWGSC.
- (e) The Contractor must comply with the provisions of the:
  - (i) Security Requirements Check List, described in Annex E
  - (ii) Industrial Security Manual (Latest Edition).

**5.0**            **Delete**            Form 3 and 4 Revised January 6, 2017

**Insert**                Form 3 and 4 Revised January 11, 2017

---