



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC**
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Science Procurement Directorate/Direction de
l'acquisition de travaux scientifiques
11C1, Phase III
Place du Portage
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet CFWC DEVELOPMENTAL & TECH SUPPORT	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W8484-168506/B	Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W8484-168506	Date 2017-01-20
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$\$V-062-30691	
File No. - N° de dossier 062sv.W8484-168506	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2017-02-17	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Baxter, Emily	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 062sv
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-5814 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 997-2229
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
W8484-168506/B
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
W8484-168506

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
003
File No. - N° du dossier
062sv.W8484-168506

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
062sv
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

Amendment 003

The purpose of this amendment is to 1) respond to potential bidders' questions, 2) revise PART 4 of the solicitation, and 3) revise wording at Attachment 1 and the Basis of Payment.

1) Question 10:

Due to the complexity of the bid response, the significant differences between the LOI (released 2016-09-01) and the current RFP, as well as the significant response changes as a result of the most recent amendment (Amendment 002), the bidder respectfully requests a four (4) week extension to the bid closing date in order to ensure sufficient time to review the impact of the changes on our bid and to submit a fully compliant proposal.

Response 10:

The solicitation closing date has been revised to February 17, 2017 at 2:00p.m. EST.

Question 11:

Please refer to Amendment 2, specifically Q&A #8 where the response to the question states: "The intent is for sample projects to be single contracts, and those single contracts must meet all of the mandatory technical criteria". Please confirm that this should read: "The intent is for sample projects to be single projects, and those single projects must meet all of the mandatory technical criteria" as throughout the entire response requirements in the Mandatory Technical criteria, and the Point Rated Technical criteria the RFP consistently states, Bidder's to have gained corporate experience in separate and distinct projects.

Response 11:

The requirement is for each of the sample projects to be single projects.

Question 12:

RT1.1 states: "For the Bidder's claimed projects for MT1, for every resource category additional to the minimum 10 of MT1, and that meet all MT1 criteria:

11-15 resource categories – 5 points (per project)

16-20 resource categories -10 points (per project)

More than 20 resource categories - 20 points (per project)"

In this scenario a bidder meeting 21 out of 25 resource categories would receive twice as many points (20 versus 10) than a bidder meeting 20 out of 25 resource categories.

How does the Crown justify that one resource category could make such a difference? It is requested that the Crown in keeping with their Code of Conduct for Procurement which calls for "Openness, Fairness and Transparency" please amend RT1.1 to award 1 point per resource category met up to a maximum of 20 pts. This would give each category the same point allocation and be more in line with the

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
W8484-168506/B
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
W8484-168506

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
003
File No. - N° du dossier
062sv.W8484-168506

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
062sv
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

allocation of points in RT1.3, RT1.4, RT1.5 and RT1.6.

Response 12:

Point-rated criteria RT1.1 has been revised. Please see 2) b) below.

Question 13:

RT1.2 states: "For the Bidder's claimed projects for MT1, if the resource categories were deployed for more than the minimum 12 months required to meet MT1, and the months meet all MT1 criteria:

13-24 consecutive months – 5 points (per project)
25-39 consecutive months -10 points (per project)
40 consecutive months - 20 points (per project)"

In this scenario a bidder having deployed the resource categories for 40 months would receive twice as many points (20 versus 10) than a bidder having deployed the resource categories for one less month (39 months).

How does the Crown justify that one month (less than 3% more time) could be worth double the points? It is requested that the Crown in keeping with their Code of Conduct for Procurement which calls for "Openness, Fairness and Transparency" please amend RT1.1 to award 1 per consecutive month more than the minimum required. This would allocate points on an equal and fair basis and be more in line with the allocation of points in RT1.3, RT1.4, RT1.5 and RT1.6.

Response 13:

Criteria RT1.2 has been revised. Please see 2) c) below.

2) a) At **PART 4 – EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION, 4.1.1.1 Mandatory Technical Criteria** ;

DELETE:

d)	For each project, the work included the roles and responsibilities of at least ten of the resource categories listed in Annex A – SOW.	List and describe each resource category the bidder assigned to the project explaining how each resource category's roles and responsibilities meet the criteria. (The titles of the claimed resource categories need not match those of the SOW, but must align with the occupational categories in the SOW.)	
----	--	--	--

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
W8484-168506/B
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
W8484-168506

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
003
File No. - N° du dossier
062sv.W8484-168506

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
062sv
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

REPLACE WITH:

d)	For each project, the work included the roles and responsibilities of at least ten of the resource categories listed in Attachment 1 and described in the Annex A – SOW.	<p>List and describe each resource category the bidder assigned to the project explaining how each resource category's roles and responsibilities meet the criteria. (The titles of the claimed resource categories need not match those of the SOW, but must align with the occupational categories in the SOW.)</p> <p>Each resource category listed by the Bidder must only be used once to demonstrate its relevance to a category in the SOW.</p>	
----	--	--	--

b) At **PART 4 – EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION, 4.1.1.2 Point Rated Technical (RT) Criteria;**

DELETE:

RT1.1	For the Bidder's claimed projects for MT1, for every resource category additional to the minimum 10 of MT1, and that meet all MT1 criteria:	Information to have already been provided in response to criteria MT1. If not provided for the categories additional to the minimum 10, provide the information as in response to this criterion R.1.1.	40 points maximum	
	11-15 resource categories		5 points per project	
	16-20 resource categories		10 points per project	
	More than 20 resource categories		20 points per project	

REPLACE WITH:

RT1.1	For the Bidder's claimed projects for MT1, for every resource category additional to the minimum 10 of MT1, and that meet all MT1 criteria:	Information to have already been provided in response to criteria MT1. If not provided for the categories additional to the minimum 10, provide	40 points maximum	
-------	---	---	-------------------	--

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
W8484-168506/B
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
W8484-168506

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
003
File No. - N° du dossier
062sv.W8484-168506

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
062sv
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

			the information as in response to this criterion R.1.1.		
		11-12 resource categories		5 points per project	
		13-14 resource categories		10 points per project	
		15-16 resource categories		15 points per project	
		17-18 resource categories		20 points per project	

c) At **PART 4 – EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION, 4.1.1.2 Point Rated Technical (RT) Criteria;**

DELETE:

	RT1.2	For the Bidder's claimed projects for MT1, if the resource categories were deployed for more than the minimum 12 months required to meet MT1, and the months meet all MT1 criteria:		40 points maximum	
		13-24 consecutive months		5 points per project	
		25-39 consecutive months		10 points per project	
		40 or more consecutive months		20 points per project	

REPLACE WITH:

	RT1.2	For the Bidder's claimed projects for MT1, if the resource categories were deployed for more than the minimum 12 months required to meet MT1, and the months meet all MT1 criteria:		40 points maximum	
		13-20 consecutive months		5 points per project	
		21-28 consecutive months		10 points per project	

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
W8484-168506/B
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
W8484-168506

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
003
File No. - N° du dossier
062sv.W8484-168506

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
062sv
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

		29-39 consecutive months		15 points per project	
		40 or more consecutive months		20 points per project	

3) a) At **ATTACHMENT 1 - FINANCIAL BID PRESENTATION SHEET;**

DELETE:

BIDDERS ARE REQUESTED TO QUOTE ONE ALL-INCLUSIVE HOURLY RATE PER LABOUR CATEGORY, PER PERIOD AS DESCRIBED BELOW UNDER ITEM 1.

REPLACE WITH:

BIDDERS ARE REQUESTED TO QUOTE ONE ALL-INCLUSIVE HOURLY RATE PER RESOURCE CATEGORY, PER PERIOD AS DESCRIBED BELOW UNDER ITEM 1.

DELETE:

Labour Category

REPLACE WITH:

Resource Category

b) At **ANNEX B, BASIS OF PAYMENT;**

DELETE:

Labour Category

REPLACE WITH:

Resource Category

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.