



## RETURN BIDS TO:

## RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions  
- TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

## Revision to a Request for Supply Arrangement - Révision à une demande pour un arrangement en matière d'approvisionnement

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

### Comments - Commentaires

### Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

### Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Marine Machinery and Services / Machineries et  
services maritimes

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

6C2, Place du Portage

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Technical Investigation and Enginee		
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> F7048-090005/H		<b>Date</b> 2017-03-06
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> F7048-090005		<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 004
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 054ml.F7048-090005	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>	
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$ML-054-26173		
<b>Date of Original Request for Supply Arrangement</b> <b>Date de demande pour un arrangement en matière d'app. originale</b>		2017-02-06
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2017-03-14</b>		<b>Time Zone</b> <b>Fuseau horaire</b> Eastern Standard Time EST
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Figueredo, Laila		<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 054ml
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (819) 420-2904 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (819) 956-0897	
<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>		
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>		
<b>Security - Sécurité</b> This revision does not change the security requirements of the solicitation. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de l'invitation.		

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Acknowledgement copy required</b> <b>Accusé de réception requis</b>	<b>Yes - Oui</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No - Non</b> <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer.</b> <b>Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.</b>		
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
<b>For the Minister - Pour le Ministre</b>		

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
F7048-090005/H  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
F7048-090005

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
004  
File No. - N° du dossier  
029ml.F7048-090005

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
054ml  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

---

This revision 004 to the solicitation is raised to respond to supplier questions as follows:

**Q2:**

In Annex "C" Technical Evaluation Plan, Section 3.2 requires one (1) resource for each occupational level (8 in total we are assuming) however Table 4.2 notes that in the areas of marine engineering and hovercraft only one of either senior engineer or senior technical specialist is required. If a company is qualifying for 'areas of expertise' that do not require a senior engineer does the company still have to propose a resource in table 3.2.1? I.e. is it acceptable to propose 7 resources as oppose to 8?

**A2:**

As indicated in Table 4.2, specifically for the Area of Expertise of Marine Engineering and Hovercraft Systems, the Imperative discipline is either a Senior Engineer or a Senior Technical Specialist. The other occupational levels and disciplines are not imperative. It is acceptable to not provide a Senior Engineer if it is not imperative in that Area of Expertise that you are offering. The qualifications for the imperative discipline must be completed in Tables 4.2.1 to 4.2.12 for the offered Area of Expertise; specifically for the Area of Expertise of Marine Engineering and Hovercraft Systems, tables 4.2.2 and 4.2.3 respectively are required to be completed.

**Q3:**

Regarding Part 6, section A, clause **6.15 Marine Liability Insurance per applicable task**, our Insurance Broker is advising us that if we do not Care and Custody of the vessel or Command and Control Underwriters they will not be able to provide P&I Insurance Coverage.

We are advised that if we are involved in a project where we do have control of or care and custody of the vessel then P&I Insurance would be made available ...and in the Interim our brokers could provide to us a letter advising this.

Any guidance you can provide will be appreciated.

**A3:**

For clause **6.15 Marine Liability Insurance, per applicable task**, Canada agrees that this clause is only applicable if the specific Contract requires transfer of care and custody of the vessel to the Contractor. This clause was included in the original RFSA issued in 2010, however Coast Guard has advised that they have never required the Contractor to take care and custody of a vessel for any Contract under this Supply Arrangement and they do not anticipate or foresee this requirement.

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.**