



**RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

**Bid Receiving
PWGSC
33 City Centre Drive
Suite 480C
Mississauga
Ontario
L5B 2N5
Bid Fax: (905) 615-2095**

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Public Works and Government Services Canada
Ontario Region
33 City Centre Drive
Suite 480
Mississauga
Ontario
L5B 2N5

Title - Sujet TBIPS-Professional Services Support	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W7719-175346/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W7719-175346	Date 2017-03-09
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$TOR-024-7275	
File No. - N° de dossier TOR-6-39135 (024)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2017-03-20	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Brewster, Shannon	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur tor024
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (905) 615-2028 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (905) 615-2060
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT No. 003

This solicitation amendment No. 003 is raised to:

- 1) publish questions and answers that have been received in relation to this solicitation.

- 1) The following Questions and Answers have been provided:

QUESTION 1:

"Due to the heightened ongoing GoC RFP activity in the current period, we would respectfully like to request a one (1) month extension to the closing date, to April 9, 2017, be granted to all Bidders?"

ANSWER 1:

The client will not extend the closing date to April 9, 2017.

QUESTION 2:

"Section 1.2 states that "It is intended to result in the award of one Contract...". As this RFP is requiring a broad variety of resources with specialization supporting many technologies, the Crown may benefit from awarding more than one contract as a result of this solicitation. More than one supplier would provide DND with a risk adverse approach to ensure a large pool of available talent with experience across the multiple platforms and technologies identified in the SOW, some of which are very limited within the market (e.g. security cleared mobile developers).

Given the benefits and risk mitigation available to the Crown please consider amending the RFP Basis of Selection and Model Contract to award 2 contracts."

ANSWER 2:

The client will not be changing the selection and model contract to award 2 contracts.

QUESTION 3:

Re: Attachment 4.1: Programmer/Software Developer and Programmer Analyst Point Rated Grids (items R2.1.3, R2.2.2, R2.3.2)

- a. The scoring schema presented for this evaluation criterion does not require a minimum level of experience (e.g. 4 months) with each of the technologies. Without a minimum level of effort associated with each technology, DND has no way of ensuring a resource completed meaningful work using the technology, or if they just had exposure to it within their project environment. Would the Crown please consider amending these requirements to require a minimum level of effort (of 4 months) to demonstrate their experience and be awarded 2 points?*
- b. These evaluation grids require experience with technologies that normally are not obtained on the same project. As a result, the crown will receive grids from resources that have a little experience with many technologies, but are not an expert with one (e.g. Java technologies versus .NET). To help the Crown obtain resources that can deliver expertise in the technologies needed for specific requirements, would the Crown consider awarding more than 2 points for experience gained using one specific tool? (For example, 8 months of experience with Java would be awarded 4 points).*

ANSWER 3:

Attachment 4.1 – Bid Evaluation Criteria will remain the same. No changes will be made.

QUESTION 4:

Re: Attachment 4.1: Programmer/Software Developer and Programmer Analyst Point Rated Grids (items R2.1.4, R2.2.3, R2.3.3)

These evaluation grids require experience with technical platforms that normally are not obtained on the same project. As a result, the Crown will receive grids from resources that have a little experience with many platforms, but are not an expert in the specific technology platform (e.g. Windows, Linux or Mobile) required to complete the work assignment at the TA stage. To help the DND obtain resources that can deliver expertise in the technologies needed for specific requirements, would the Crown consider awarding more than 5 points for experience gained using one technical platform? (For example, 18 months of experience with Mobile Platforms would be awarded 10 points).

ANSWER 4:

Attachment 4.1 – Bid Evaluation Criteria will remain the same. No changes will be made.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME.