



RFP IC 401664

TSPS 2.1 Business Analysts – L3

Questions Received

Question 1

Page 5 – Section 1.1 – 2nd last paragraph indicates there is a Task Authorization Form in the Annexes however the document has not been included in RFP. Can ISED please forward a copy of this Annex?

The reference to the Task Authorization Annex has been removed as the forms are no longer being used. Please see the Amendment #1 document attached to the NPP on Buy and Sell.

Question 2

Page 11 – Section II – Financial Bid indicates Applicable Taxes must be shown separately however there is no place in the Pricing Schedule (Attachment 1 to Part 3) to provide this information. Can Bidders add a line item under the Bidder's Total Evaluated Price to provide this information?

The Pricing Schedule has been modified to include the tax calculations. Please see the Amendment #1 document attached to the NPP on Buy and Sell.

Question 3

Page 12 – Section IV – Additional Information - item 5b states: For each proposed location of work performance or document safeguarding, the address containing the information below. Can item 5b be removed as neither the Security Requirements (Section 7.3) nor the SRCL (Annex C) indicate Safeguarding applies to this requirement?

Item 5b has been removed from the solicitation document. Please see the Amendment #1 document attached to the NPP on Buy and Sell.

Question 4

Page 20 – MT1 is referencing a Table 1 however there is no Table 1 in the in RFP. Can ISED please provide a copy of Table 1?

The reference to the Table 1 in MT1 has been replaced with Attachment 1 to Part 4, TSPS Flexible Grid. Please see the Amendment #1 document attached to the NPP posted on Buy and Sell.



Question 5

Page 25 – RT1 is referencing Mandatory Requirement 11.4 however there is no mandatory requirement 11.4 in the RFP. Can ISED please identify which mandatory requirement should be referenced in RT1?

The reference has been removed. Please see the Amendment #1 document attached to the NPP posted on Buy and Sell.

Question 6

In a couple of the mandatory and point rated criteria Bidders are being asked to demonstrate over 10 years of experience. Providing client contact information for every project used to substantiate a resource's experience (especially after 2 years) is extremely difficult as some of these references may have changed positions or retired all together. Would the Crown remove this requirement completely from the Mandatory and Point Rated Criteria and allow Bidders to provide 3 customer references for similar work experience in its place?

The Crown will not remove this requirement. Bidders are to provide the name of the Project Authority under each project cited.

Question 7

Are the requested services for the above referenced solicitation currently being provided or have they been provided previously?

No, this is a new requirement.

Question 8

With regards to the rated requirement for RT4:

The Bidder should provide project(s) summary (ies) that demonstrates that their proposed Senior (level 3) Business Analyst resource has experience in consulting with non-federal government jurisdictions.

Points will be allocated as follows:

- *One provincial/ territorial/ aboriginal/ state government project= 5 pts*
- *Two or more provincial/territorial/ aboriginal/state governments projects = 10 pts*

Please confirm that the crown will accept experience with crown corporations for this criterion as well?



Provincial/Territorial crown corporations are acceptable. Federal crown corporations will not be accepted for this criterion.

Question 9

In regards to RT1:

Further to Mandatory Requirement 11.4, the Bidder's proposed Senior (level 3) Business Analyst will be awarded points for years of experience over and above the minimum ten (10) years of experience.

Five (5) points will be given for each 5-year increment:

- *10+-15 years = 10 pts*
- *15+-20 years = 15 pts*
- *20+ years = 20 points*

Considering this is already a Senior level BA Resource requirement, if the Crown can consider deleting it, this would still satisfy the level of resource requested without affecting the result of the evaluation.

Alternately, if points were to be re-adjusted, perhaps a more realistic scale would work best. For full points, the BA would need to show 30 years of experience (with References) to be compliant.

The Crown has determined that the criterion will remain as is.

Question 10

Please confirm if the Crown can accommodate modifying RT5 to also include CBAP - Certified Business Analysis Professional accreditation.

The crown will accept the CBAP - Certified Business Analysis Professional accreditation under accreditations from the International Institute of Business Analysis.