



RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving Public Works and Government
Services Canada/Réception des soumissions Travaux
publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada
Room 100,
167 Lombard Ave.
Winnipeg
Manitoba
R3B 0T6
Bid Fax: (204) 983-0338

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise
indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation
remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire,
les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Public Works and Government Services Canada -
Western Region
Room 100,
167 Lombard Ave.
Winnipeg
Manitoba
R3B 0T6

Title - Sujet Last Mountain Lake NWA	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation KW405-170713/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 005
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client EC KW405-170713	Date 2017-03-13
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$PWZ-017-10154	
File No. - N° de dossier PWZ-6-39227 (017)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2017-03-17	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Bartkiewicz, Hank	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pwz017
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (204) 983-1717 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (204) 983-7796
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Amendment 005 is raised to amend Request for Proposal KW405-170713/A as follows:

The following changes in the RFSO documents are effective immediately. This amendment will form part of the Agreement documents.

Clarifications & Answers to Questions:

1. In Appendix A, Team Identification Format, Item D, the RFP asks responders to list their consultants for "Civil and Geotechnical Engineering". We plan to include a Civil Engineering company as part of our response and fee proposal; however, professional licensing associations recommend that geotechnical engineering/investigations be contracted directly by the Owner and the resultant information be provided to the design team by the Owner for their use in designing the project. For this reason, we were not going to include a geotechnical engineer in our response under Item D, nor within our lump sum fee proposal. Is this assumption acceptable to PWGSC?

Bidders must provide a complete team as stated in SRE 3 - Submission Requirements And Evaluation section of the Request For Proposal.

2. Can you provide an overall budget for the project?

We estimated our overall budget for this project to be approximately \$900,000.00.

3. Can you clarify what is required to be supplied for SRE section 3.2.4 third bullet point where it asks to supply "Cost"?

We require the proponent to demonstrate the understanding of the overall project schedule and the budget cost and providing assessment on the risk management that may affect the project.

4. Can Appendix A be modified to include other consultants that we propose?

Appendix A identifies the Prime Consultant and all key sub consultants/specialists required for this project.

5. In regards to Appendix C - Price Proposal Form (first page), are disbursements to be included within the Fixed Fee Costs?

The fixed fees for all the required services shown in Appendix C must include all associated disbursements per General Condition ID R1230D – GC 5.12.

6. What disbursements are allowed for the project? Is disbursements for travel allowed?

Refer to response to question 5 above.

7. Can you clarify what is required to be commissioned?

Commissioning and close-out is part of every construction project/mechanical system which includes: written procedures outline specific project requirements in complete compliance with design documents for installation coordination, shop drawings, start-up, commissioning, testing procedures, owner training of all systems, handing in as built drawings, O&M manuals, warranty reviews, deficiency list, etc.

8. In reference to SRE 3.1.4, as a mandatory requirement, it is unclear what documentation is to be provided for Integrity Provisions.

Refer to General Instructions ID R1410T - GI 1 Integrity Provisions – Proposal.

9. Can you confirm what Costing is required to be provided by the proponent. The ToR asks for a class D cost estimate for the observation towers only and a Class A estimate (assumed for the entire project). Please confirm.

A solicitation amendment (No. 3) revising the ToR amendment will clarify this.

10. In reference to SRE 2.2, are any additional appendices permissible (i.e. CVs, etc.).

The total maximum number of pages under SRE 3.2 of the RFP is 35 pages. All relevant pages in excess of 35 will be removed and not be evaluated.

11. Will there be a Technical Review committee or something similar, or are all submissions reviewed directly by the Project Manager?

All proposals will be evaluated by an official Evaluation Board established by the Technical Authority.

12. There is a request to have 3 tower design options complete with 'class D' cost estimates for each design (Terms of Reference section 1.3.1.4); TOR section 2.2.1.4 requests a 'class A' cost estimate to be submitted with the 100% DD Report. Since a 'class A' estimate is also required in Construction Drawing submissions, should the estimate included with 100% DD Report be something other than 'class A'?

A solicitation amendment (No. 3) revising the ToR amendment will clarify this.

13. At what point is a single observation tower concept selected from the three options? Three options are requested for the first conceptual submissions (assumed to be the 99% DD Report submission), but there is no indication when a single concept will be selected.

The concept is selected during the design development stage.

14. How long are reviews of submissions anticipated to take?

The evaluation of proposals is typically finalized within a month after bid closing.

15. Is it anticipated that Provincial or Federal agency reviews outside of Environment Canada reviews will be required?

We don't anticipate any Provincial or Federal agency reviews outside of Environment Canada review.

16. Is LIDAR available for the area?

LIDAR is not available for the area.

17. What is the total length of road to be repaired/resurfaced? Does the entire driving tour require upgrading? The RFP indicates that 1.5km of road length requires gravel spread only; please clarify.

There are three berms or causeways to be repaired and widened. Each berm/causeway is approximately 200 meters long. The 1.5km stretch to be resurfaced with gravel is in between two of these berms.

18. We assume 'earthen berms' as indicated in the ToR are the same as the causeways identified within the Interpretive Work Plan: please confirm. Are all three of the existing causeways that connect to the driving tour to be improved?

Refer to response to question 17 above.

19. Please provide clarification on the schedule as outlined in section 4.0 of the Terms of Reference. We are not sure the proposed schedule fits between now and the preferred completion and handover dates. Can we propose an alternate schedule in our proposal?

Bidders must bid in accordance with the RFP documents as last amended.

20. If construction costs increase significantly from the estimated construction costs outlined in the Interpretive Work Plan, will there be any opportunity to renegotiate the fixed fees submitted in the Price Proposal?

The fixed fees in Appendix C – Price Proposal Form are not negotiable.

21. We assume proposed fixed fees are to be all inclusive of disbursements for printing, mileage, travel, and any other. Please confirm.

The fixed fees for all the required services shown in Appendix C must include all associated disbursements per General Condition ID R1230D – GC 5.12.

22. Are sub-consultants expected to attend all proposed construction site meetings, post-construction, and final completion meetings, or only as required? We don't expect all sub-consultants will be needed at every meeting.

The successful bidder is responsible for determining the number of meetings their sub-consultants should attend.

23. Is there flexibility in the number of on-site meetings during construction period?

A maximum of three of the designated "on-site" meetings during the construction period will be allowed to be conducted via teleconference.

24. When is the anticipated award date?

Barring any unforeseen complications, it is anticipated that a contract will be awarded within two weeks after completion of proposal evaluations.

25. Estimated construction values are provided in the Interpretive Work Plan for the observation towers, pavilion and picnic area; what is the estimated value of construction for the two wildlife blinds and road/causeway upgrades?

Refer to response to question 2 herein.

26. What is the budget for consulting fees for the project?

The budget for consulting fees is not being disclosed at this time.

END OF AMENDMENT 005