



## RETURN BIDS TO:

## RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions  
- TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Quebec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

## SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

## MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

### Comments - Commentaires

### Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

### Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Frigate Life Extension (FELEX) Project / Bureau de  
projet de prolongation de la vie des frégates (BP  
FELEX)

455 Blvd de la Carrière

Gatineau

Quebec

K1A 0K2

<b>Title - Sujet</b> HCCS IN-SERVICE SUPPORT	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> W8482-168150/C	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 002
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> W8482-168150	<b>Date</b> 2017-03-15
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$SFX-008-26224	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 008fx.W8482-168150	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2017-04-28</b>	
<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Fortin, Marie-Andrée	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 008fx
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (819) 939-3234 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b> See herein	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

---

**Invitation to Qualify (ITQ)**  
**Questions and Answers (Q&A)**  
**In-Service Support of the *Halifax*-class Combat Systems**  
**Amendment no. 02**

This amendment is issued to provide responses to questions raised by Industry on the Invitation to Qualify for the In-Service Support of the Halifax-class Combat Systems dated 7 March 2017.

Q3 - Article 2.2.3 of the HCCS ITQ states that ... "The composition of a *Qualified Respondent* must remain unchanged to maintain its status as a *Qualified Respondent*". We believe that the intent of this requirement is to ensure that the composition of *Qualified Respondents* remains at the baseline minimum configuration and as such is not diminished. Does this requirement, however, also preclude a *Qualified Respondent* from augmenting its team, following qualification, to best respond to the RFP with the aim of providing best value to Canada?

A3 – As stated in answer no A2 of amendment 01 of the ITQ dated 7 March 2017... The composition of the *Qualified Respondents* is not allowed to change. If the composition of the *Qualified Respondent* (Bidder) changes, the new *Respondent* (Bidder) will be required to qualify through the ITQ to become a *Qualified Respondent* so long as this does not impact the RFP timelines set by Canada.

Q4 – Due to the short ITQ response time, it is essential that potential respondents are able to quickly reach the appropriate HCCS EG OEM's in a timely fashion in order to secure the necessary mandatory documents as proof of compliance. In order to facilitate this and avoid lengthy delays in reaching the appropriate personnel within these large organizations, please provide the names of the OEM Points of Contact and their corresponding contact information.

A4 - OEM Points of Contact were provided in RFI – W8482-168150/B, attachment no. 007. The Point of Contact for Telephonics has changed to:

**Robert Kuppelmeyer** - Contract Specialist  
Telephonics Corporation  
815 Broad Hollow Road  
Farmingdale, NY 11735  
Office: (631) 549-6362  
[kuppelmeyer@telephonics.com](mailto:kuppelmeyer@telephonics.com)  
[www.telephonics.com](http://www.telephonics.com)

Q5 – Please clarify as to whether a *Qualified Respondent* would become ineligible for continued participation in the RFP process in the following scenarios:

i) Two companies independently respond to the ITQ and both successfully qualify. Upon issuance of the RFP, the two *Qualified Respondents* elect to work together in a prime contractor and sub-contractor relationship.

A5 – i) Either *Qualified Respondent* may submit a bid in response to the RFP. Canada is developing the RFP bid evaluation criteria and has not yet determined whether sub-contractor

10° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.  
W8482-168150/C  
N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.  
W8482-168150

N° de la modif - Amd. No.  
002  
File No. - N° du dossier  
008fx.W8482-168150

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID  
008fx  
N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

---

experience will be evaluated as part of the bidder's team. Canada intends to release a draft version of the RFP bid evaluation criteria to the *Qualified Respondents* for feedback.

ii) Two companies independently respond to the ITQ and one successfully qualifies while the other does not. Upon issuance of the RFP, the *Qualified Respondent* elects to sub-contract some workshare to the company which did not independently qualify as a *Qualified Respondent*, but is otherwise qualified to perform that specific workshare.

A5 - ii) Only *Qualified Respondents* will be allowed to submit a bid in response to the RFP. Canada is developing the RFP bid evaluation criteria and has not yet determined whether sub-contractor experience will be evaluated as part of the bidder's team. Canada intends to release a draft version of the RFP bid evaluation criteria to the *Qualified Respondents* for feedback.

**All other terms and conditions remain the same**