Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

R000007569/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

**CCG** 

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client R000007569.CCG

File No. - N° du dossier

# Annex D2 - Stream 2 **Technical and Financial Evaluation Methodology**

#### 1. OVERVIEW

1.1.1.1 This document describes how SSC will evaluate the rated requirements of bidders' Technical Bids, and the evaluation of Financial Bids of this bid solicitation. The following table identifies how all Streams will be evaluated:

Mondaton	Technical Score (based on evaluation	Financial Score	
Mandatory	of rated	(based on prices	
Requirements	requirements)	offered)	Final Score
Pass/Fail	Maximum 10	Maximum 90	Maximum 100

Canada will be evaluating certain criteria in each of the Streams, and qualified bids will be assigned a 1.1.1.2 score based on its responses to the rated elements. Pricing and Bid Evaluated Value (BEV) sheets are attached with the bid solicitation as follows:

Annex Table			
Name	Description		
Annex B2	SCS Pricing Tables for Territories		

1.1.1.3 If more than one bidder receives the highest Final Score (i.e., two or more Final Scores are identical), the bidder with the highest Financial Score will be ranked first. If the bidders with identical Final Scores also have identical Financial Scores, the bidder with the lowest average bid price (the average of "bid price" entered on lines 11,12,13, 14 and 15 of Annex B2 - Appendix B, sheet "Shared Capacity Satellite Services for Territories Part 3 – 3.2 to 3.5" will be ranked first.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation **R000007569/A** 

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

CCG

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client R000007569.CCG

File No. - N° du dossier

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

## 2. TECHNICAL EVALUATION (MAXIMUM 100 POINTS)

## 2.1 TECHNICAL SCORE - MANDATORY REQUIREMENT

2.1.1.1 In this section all questions must be answered affirmatively. If any of the answers are negative, the bid could be considered non-responsive. The bidder must answer all questions listed in Column B and provide a YES/NO answer in Column C. Some of the questions will ask for further information or description for an affirmative answer. The bidder must provide the location within the bidder's proposal or response and indicate that location in Column D.

Α	В	С	D
Reference #	Question	Response (Y/N)	Reference
Annex A, Section 2.2.2.1	Does your Contract Account Representative have a minimum of 4 years of satellite telecommunications experience within the last 10 years and also at least 1 year of experience in the delivery of Ka-band satellite services within the last 4 years. If YES, then indicate in column D where the resume of the CAR can be located within this bid.		
Annex A, Section 2.3.1.4	Will you provide technical support through a hotline accessible using a toll-free number? If YES, then indicate in column D where the details can be located within this bid.		
Annex A, Section 2.3.1.3	Does your company log and track all reported calls to the Hotline from the time of initial report until the resolution of the problem. This must be done through a computerized logging system. If YES, then indicate in column D where the details can be located within this bid.		
Annex A, Section 2.1.1.3	Does your company have 5 years of experience in the operation and deliverance of Ka-band based satellite services If YES, then indicate in column D where the details can be located within this bid.		

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

File No. - N° du dossier

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

### 2.2 TECHNICAL SCORE – EVALUATION OF RATED CRITERIA –10 POINTS

### 2.2.1 General

R000007569.CCG

2.2.1.1 **Allocation of Points:** Where Canada determines that the bid demonstrates value-added capabilities in various aspects, points will be allocated as described in this table:

Value-added capabilities	Point Allocation	Points Available
Capability of offering VoIP services over Ka-band networks and sale of VoIP devices.	If the bidder can provide documented proof (product brochure or equivalent) that they have a VoIP service plan that can be offered to Canada that will function in any of the Ka-band Service plans within the SOW = 5	5
	If the bidder cannot provide documented proof (product brochure or equivalent) that they have a VoIP service plan that can be offered to Canada that will function in any of the Ka-band Service plans within the SOW = 0	
Years of company experience delivering operational Ka-band	If the company experience delivering Kaband services is 0 to 5 years = 0	5
services to various customers.	If the company experience delivering Kaband services is 6 to 8 years = 2	
	If the company experience delivering Kaband services is 9 to 10 years = 4	
	If the company experience delivering Kaband services is over 10 years = 5	
TOTAL Technical Score		10

- 2.2.1.2 The bidders will be allocated their Total Technical Points (Maximum of 10 Technical Score) from the summation of the table in Section 2.2.1.1.
- 2.2.1.3 The following example illustrates the methodology that will be used during the calculation of the bidder's scores:

	Total		Total
	Technical	Formula	Technical
Bidder	Points	calculation	Score
Bidder 1	5	5/10 X 10 max	5.00
Bidder 2	7	7/10 X 10 max	7.00
Bidder 3	9	9/10 X 10 max	9.00

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation Amd. No. - N° de la modif. Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur **R000007569/A** CCG

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client R000007569.CCG

File No. - N° du dossier

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

### 2.3 FINANCIAL SCORE - 90 POINTS

- 2.3.1.1 **Bid Evaluated Value:** For each bid, Canada will determine the "**Bid Evaluated Value**" (BEV) based on adding together the following elements:
  - (a) Evaluated cost of SCS services; and
  - (b) Evaluated cost of Install services;

Canada will make these calculations using the prices provided by the bidder in its completed Annex B – SCS Pricing Tables.

2.3.1.2 **Total Financial Points (TFP) Calculation:** The Sum of the Evaluated Bid Value for the total of 6 years will be the bidder's Total Financial Points (TFP) as set out in this example:

Annex B2 - Appendix D - Stream 2 -SUMMARY SHEET				
SUMMARY SHEET - ALL COMPONENTS	Initial Period of 3 Years	Optional Periods of 3 Years	Total for 6 Years	
Description	Bid Price	Bid Price	Bid Price	
A	В	С	D	
Part 3 - Shared Capacity Satellite Services				
Annex B2 - Appendix C - Stream 2 - Shared Capacity Services for Territories part 3 - 3.2 to 3.6	\$60,084.00	\$60,084.00	\$120,168.00	
Part 4 - SCS Install Services Requirements				
Annex B2 - Appendix C - Stream 2 - Install & De-Install Services Part 2 - 2.11	\$54,000.00	\$54,000.00	\$108,000.00	
Annex B2 - Appendix C1 - Pricing for Accessories and Related services for Shared Capacity Satellite services.	\$9,500.00	\$9,500.00	\$19,000.00	
TOTAL BID PRICE	\$123,584.00	\$123,584.00	\$247,168.00	

BID EVALUATED VALUE FOR 6 YEARS = \$247,168.00

#### 2.2.1.3 Calculation of Bidder's Financial Score:

- a. Each bidder's Evaluated Bid Value will be converted to a score out of 90, based on its relative price compared to other compliant bidders.
- b. The bidder with the lowest Evaluated Bid Value will be allocated the maximum of 90 points. All other bidders' scores will be calculated by determining the ratio of its Evaluated Bid Value in relation to the lowest Evaluated Bid Value. Each bidder's financial score will be rounded to 2 decimal places. The formula that will be used to calculate the ratio is as follows:

#### [TFP of lowest scoring bidder/Bidder's TFP] x 90

c. This example illustrates the calculation of the Total Financial Score:

	Total Financial		Total Financial
Bidder	Points	Formula calculation	Score
Bidder 1	\$2,000,000.00	\$2,000,000/2,000,000 X 90	90.00
Bidder 2	\$5,000,000.00	\$2,000,000/5,000,000 X 90	36.00
Bidder 3	\$3,500,000.00	\$2,000,000/3,500,000 X 90	51.43

Evaluation Methodology Version 1 Shared Capacity Satellite Services Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur Amd. No. - N° de la modif. R000007569/A CCG

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client R000007569.CCG

File No. - N° du dossier

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

#### 2.4 **TOTAL SCORE - 100 POINTS**

#### 2.4.1.1 Calculation of Bidder's Final Score:

a. Each bidder's Final Score will be calculated by adding its Technical Score and Financial Score, as illustrated in the following table:

	Bidder 1	Bidder 2	Bidder 3
Total Financial Evaluation Score	90.00	36.00	51.43
Total Technical Evaluation Score	5.00	7.00	9.00
Final Score	95.00	43.00	60.43

b. The bidder with the highest Final Score will be recommended for contract award, subject to the provisions of this bid solicitation.

Evaluation Methodology Version 1 Shared Capacity Satellite Services

Page 5 of - de 6

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur Amd. No. - N° de la modif. R000007569/A CCG

File No. - N° du dossier CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client R000007569.CCG

Evaluation Methodology Version 1 Shared Capacity Satellite Services

Page 6 of - de 6