

PROJECT TITLE

GRAVENHURST, ONTARIO  
BEAVER CREEK INSTITUTION - MEDIUM  
CORRECTIONAL SERVICE CANADA  
2000 BEAVER CREEK DRIVE

ROOF REPLACEMENT

AMENDMENT No.2, dated Tuesday, July 04, 2017

This Amendment shall form part of documents referred to in the  
"Bid and Acceptance Form"

The Plans and Specifications are amended as follows:

01 11 00 - SUMMARY OF WORK

Section 1.12 Clause .1.1.3:

Add .5 Parapet mount guard installation (whether top or inner wall) or roof surface ballasted are acceptable and to be ascertained by the Contractor based on the roof situation. Mixing of guard systems based on suitability is acceptable. No installation method of guards where interior access is required is acceptable.

Section 1.12 Clause .1.1.4:

Delete .2 Field test installed guards to confirm performance.

Add .2 Suitability of parapet wall top or inner wall surfaces for the fastening of prefab modular guard system is to be ascertained by Engineer as part of Engineering and stamping of shop drawings. The Contractor is to provide as part of this contract the suitable substrate for the fastening of the guard system.

Section 1.12 Clause .1.1.4.1:

Add .3 Canadian Occupational Health and Safety (COHS) Regulations (SOR/86-304), Section 2.12.

END

July 04, 2017

**R.074360.001 ROOF REPLACEMENT, BEAVER CREEK INSTITUTION – MEDIUM, GRAVENHURST**

**Bidder Questions and Answers:**

Q24. Our railing supplier is raising a concern with the railing connection specified. Please see below and clarify which type of railing to include for?

A24. Refer to Amendment No.2 Dated July 04, 2017 Section 00 00 03.

Q25. There is a discrepancy between the text on the detail 29/A9 that states "... railing system face mounted to existing brick wall" and specifications as well as the detail itself that clearly indicate that the guardrail is to be mounted on top of the parapet. Please clarify?

A25. Refer to Amendment No.2 Dated July 04, 2017 Section 00 00 03 and guards to be attached to parapet wall top surface or inner wall surface depending on modular guard railing requirements.

Q26. Specifications for the guardrail state that we are to "field test installed guards". Please confirm what is meant by that, are we to test the entire installed piece of railing in few locations or are we to test each individual post and then the entire railing itself?

A26. Refer to Amendment No.2 Dated July 04, 2017 Section 00 00 03. Clause 1.12.1.1.4.2 removed.

Q27. If testing is required, it is not clear whether the consultant needs to be present during testing. If they are to be present, how many mobilizations are we to account for given that in work restrictions it states that only one building can be completed at a time and that the guardrail is potentially the last item to be installed?

A27. Refer to Amendment No.2 Dated July 04, 2017 Section 00 00 03 and Refer to Answer 26.

Q28. Guardrail installer is not responsible for new wood blocking. In order to meet all the required codes, the wood blocking to which the guardrail will be mounted has to be able to withstand required loads. Please confirm that is?

A28. Refer to Amendment No.2 Dated July 04, 2017 Section 00 00 03 and guardrail manufacturer as part of the Engineering and stamping of shop drawing process to indicate to the Contractor their respective guard rail attachment requirements to meet all codes. Contractor then responsible to provide suitable substrate for fastening as required.