



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Public Works and Government Services Canada
ATB Place North Tower
10025 Jasper Ave./10025 ave. Jaspe
5th floor/5e étage
Edmonton
Alberta
T5J 1S6
Bid Fax: (780) 497-3510

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Public Works and Government Services Canada
ATB Place North Tower
10025 Jasper Ave./10025 ave Jasper
5th floor/5e étage
Edmonton
Alberta
T5J 1S6

Title - Sujet Architectural Services DISO		
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EP922-180402/A		Date 2017-07-21
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client EP922-180402		Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
File No. - N° de dossier PWU-7-40050 (023)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME	
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$PWU-023-11125		
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale		2017-06-26
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2017-08-08		Time Zone Fuseau horaire Mountain Daylight Saving Time MDT
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Taylor (RPC), Ian		Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pwu023
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (780) 566-9487 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (780) 497-3510	
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée		
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: See Herein		
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.		

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required Accusé de réception requis	Yes - Oui <input type="checkbox"/>	No - Non <input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

This amendment is raised to make the following modification and to answer questions:

Addendum

On Page 62 of 83 of the RFSO,

1. The following section (highlighted in yellow) is an addendum to the 2017 Architectural DISO:
 1. SRE Section 3.2.6 Project #2 – Renovation Work:
 - .2 *Project #2 - Renovation Work*
 - .1 *The project requires replacement of the exterior wall building envelope and roof for a 3 storey, level 3 bio-containment laboratory annex building.*
 - .2 *The exterior of the Laboratory Annex building is designated as recognized by the Federal Heritage Building Review Office (FHBRO). For this reason, implement the project following a conservation approach based on accepted principles and practices described in the Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canada. Implement solutions with minimum intervention and resulting in the least harm to the heritage character of the building.*
 - .1 *The consultant will be expected to engage in reviews by the Western Region Heritage Conservation group and/or the Federal Heritage Building Review office (FHBRO).*

Questions and answers:

Question 1: Item 2 of the amendment describes that the hypothetical Laboratory Annex is a historically designated building. Are the clauses contained in the original RSO which appear to describe a curtain wall system, as well as roof replacement, no longer applicable in this example?

Answer 1: Project still includes replacement of curtain wall and roof, while maintaining character defining elements.

Question 2: I would like to know if the 3 projects the Proponent must propose in section 3.2.3 under the SRE should be similar to the projects that are outlined in section 1.2.3 of the TOR?

Answer 2: Projects that proponent includes in section 3.2.3 Past Experience are not required to be similar to Sample Projects listed in Section 1.2.3 of Tor.

Question 3: The projects required under Section 3.2.3 are not required to be the similar as 1.2.3 of the TOR. It is for the proponent to showcase their firm's talents and success on projects.

Answer 3: Projects that proponent includes in section 3.2.3 Past Experience are expected to showcase proponent's talents and success on projects. Projects listed in section 3.2.6 Hypothetical Projects section are intended to be identical to Terms of Reference 1.2.3 sample projects.

All other terms and conditions of the Request for Standing Offer remain unchanged.