



## RETURN BIDS TO:

## RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions  
- TPSGC  
11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier  
Place du Portage, Phase III  
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2  
Gatineau  
Québec  
K1A 0S5

## SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

### Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address  
Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution  
Informatics Professional Services - EL  
Division/Services professionnels en informatique -  
division EL  
4C2, Place du Portage  
Gatineau  
Québec  
K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> NEW TBIPS-MANAGEMENT SERVICE	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> E60ZR-173104/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 003
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> 20173104	<b>Date</b> 2017-08-07
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$EL-638-31698	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 638el.E60ZR-173104	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2017-08-30</b>	
<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Mao, Lan	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 638el
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (819) 420-2074 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
E60ZR-173104/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
003

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
638el

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
E60ZR-173104

File No. - N° du dossier  
638elE60ZR-173104

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

The Request for Proposal (RFP) Amendment 003 is raised to answer Bidders' questions and amend the RFP.

**Question 8:**

In relation to "*Attachment 4.1: Bid Evaluation Criteria*" for Workstream 2 – IT Security Services, specifically "*2.1. Corporate Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, M.1*", C.3 IT Security TRA and C&A Analyst:

Corporate M1 for the C.3 IT Security TRA and C&A Analyst requires 950 billable days to meet M1. Given the short nature of a TRA and/or C&A exercise (approximately 20-25 days average), particularly in comparison to an *IT Security Methodology, Policy and Procedures Analyst* or *Security Engineering* engagement, C.3 seems disproportionately weighted for these requirements. Respectfully we ask Canada to reduce the billable days required in M1 to align with the days required for the *C.2 IT Security Methodology, Policy and Procedures Analyst* at 300 days.

**Answer 8:**

Workstream 2 – IT Security, 2.1. Corporate Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, *M.1* is amended to change the Minimum Billable Days for C.3 IT Security TRA and C&A Analyst to 300 days. See RFP amendment below.

**Question 9:**

In relation to "Attachment 4.2 (2) Bidder response template for corporate references" for Workstream 2 – IT Security; Given the proprietary and confidential nature of security consulting work and engagements, we respectfully ask that the "Customer Contact Information" can be provided upon request to PSPC not in the proposal response. For purposes of qualifying contracts against the criteria, "Customer Contact Information" can indicate the client industry (ie retailer, public sector, education client, etc) and "Project Details" the work relates to, but not the name of the organization, contact name, title and information (phone/email), which can be provided upon request to PSPC as part of the reference check phase of the RFP evaluation.

**Answer 9:**

In Attachment 4.2, Workstream 2 – IT Security, 2. Bidder Response Template for Corporate References, "Customer Contact Information" can indicate the client industry (e.g. retailer, public sector, education client, etc), but do not have to include information for the Name of Organization, Client Contact Name and Title or Contact Information (telephone and email), which can be provided upon request as part of the reference check phase of the RFP evaluation.

**Question 10:**

The SRCL provided in the RFP requires bidders to hold IT Media Safeguarding at the Protected B level. As the work will be conducted at PSPC sites using PSPC systems to electronically process, produce, and/or store information or data, can the Crown please confirm that the IT Media safeguarding requirement in the SRCL is not required for this bid? We believe this change will increase the level of competition and produce better responses for PSPC.

**Answer 10:**

The SRCL remains unchanged.

**RFP AMENDMENT**

1. At Attachment 4.1 Bid Evaluation Criteria, Workstream 2- IT Security, 2.1 Corporate Mandatory Evaluation Requirements, M1:

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
E60ZR-173104/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
003

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
638el

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
E60ZR-173104

File No. - N° du dossier  
638elE60ZR-173104

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

**Delete:**

Resource Category	Minimum Billable Days
C.2 IT Security Methodology, Policy and Procedures Analyst	300
C.3 IT Security TRA and C&A Analyst	950
C.6 IT Security Engineer	825
C.12 Incident Management Specialist	825

**Insert:**

Resource Category	Minimum Billable Days
C.2 IT Security Methodology, Policy and Procedures Analyst	300
C.3 IT Security TRA and C&A Analyst	300
C.6 IT Security Engineer	825
C.12 Incident Management Specialist	825

2. At Attachment 4.1 Bid Evaluation Criteria, Workstream 2- IT Security, 2.2 Corporate Point-Rated Evaluation Criteria, Example Evaluation Scenario for Criteria ID R.1:

**Delete:**

Resource Category	BILLABLE DAYS			
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
	BILLABLE DAYS PROVIDED BY BIDDER	MINIMUM BILLABLE DAYS IDENTIFIED UNDER M1	BIDDER EXCESS  (C)=(A)-(B)	BIDDER % INCREASE TO A MAXIMUM OF 100 (D)=(C)/(B)*100
C.2 IT Security Methodology, Policy and Procedures Analyst	450	300	150	50
C.3 IT Security TRA and C&A Analyst	1500	950	550	58
C.6 IT Security Engineer	950	825	125	15
C.12 Incident Management Specialist	1200	825	375	45
BIDDER SCORE = SUM OF (D) FOR ALL 4 CATEGORIES / 4				42
In this example, the Bidder would score 42 points out of a possible 100 points.				

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
E60ZR-173104/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
003

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
638el

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
E60ZR-173104

File No. - N° du dossier  
638elE60ZR-173104

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

**Insert:**

Resource Category	BILLABLE DAYS			
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
	BILLABLE DAYS PROVIDED BY BIDDER	MINIMUM BILLABLE DAYS IDENTIFIED UNDER M1	BIDDER EXCESS  (C)=(A)-(B)	BIDDER % INCREASE TO A MAXIMUM OF 100 (D)=(C)/(B)*100
C.2 IT Security Methodology, Policy and Procedures Analyst	450	300	150	50
C.3 IT Security TRA and C&A Analyst	474	300	174	58
C.6 IT Security Engineer	950	825	125	15
C.12 Incident Management Specialist	1200	825	375	45
BIDDER SCORE = SUM OF (D) FOR ALL 4 CATEGORIES / 4				42
In this example, the Bidder would score 42 points out of a possible 100 points.				

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.**