

RETURN BIDS TO: RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS A:

Bid Receiving/Réception des sousmissions Services des acquisitions et des marchés 73, chemin Leikin Centre d'accueil – Immeuble M1 Mailstop # 15 / Arrêt postal nº 15 Ottawa (Ontario) K1A 0R2 À l'attention de Sylvie Niwe-Mutuyeyezu

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaries

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY REQUIREMENT

Title-Sujet		Amendment No.	
Performance of Pre-employment Polygraph (PEP) Examinations – Edmonton and Calgary AB		Nº de la modification 001	
Solicitation No No. 201707098		Date August 14,, 2017	
Client Reference No No. De Référer S0355	ice du	Client	
GETS Reference No No. de Référen PW-17-00788573	ce de	SEAG	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation at - à 02:00 PM (EDT) on - le September 7th, 2	-	rend fin	
F.O.B F.A.B. Destination			
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser tour Diane Perkins Senior Contracting Officer	tes qu	estions à:	
Telephone No No de téléphone 613-843-5904		Fax No N° de FAX: (613) 825-0082	
Destination of Goods, Services, and Obestinations des biens, services et co			
See Herein / Voir aux présentes			
Instructions: See Herein Instructions: Voir aux présente	es		
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée:		Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée	
See Herein/Voir aux présentes			

fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

of Canada du Canada

Solicitation No. / No de l'invitation: 20170798

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS SERIES #1:

Question #1: I am unable to find the Calgary-specific RFSO on the Buy and Sell- is it the same as the one specified for Edmonton? I see on the Tender notice there is mention of RFSO solicitation #201707099-should this be used for a Calgary offer and the other number for an Edmonton offer?

Answer #1: RFSO 201707098 includes both locations, Calgary and Edmonton, Alberta. Reference to 201707099 will be deleted from the Notice of Proposed Procurement.

Questions #2: On Annex E (non-disclosure agreement), there is a blank left for an offer number- which number should this be? The Solicitation number?

Answer #2: To confirm, the first blank is to include the resource name, the second blank with reference to the Standing Offer No. can be left blank and will be provided by the Contracting Authority at issuance of Standing Offer, the third blank should include the company name if applicable and the fourth blank with reference to the standing Offer No. can also be left blank.

Question #3: On the Technical Criteria tables under the column- Met/Not met ... should the offeror be answering this or leave it blank for the evaluation team to fill in?

Answer #3: The Offeror is not specifically required to complete the column – Met/Not Met, however in its offer, it must demonstrate compliance with all requirements of the RFP including the mandatory evaluation criteria.

Question #4: Also on the Technical Criteria point rated tables- should the offeror put in the score, or will the evaluation team calculate and fill in?

Answer #4: The Offeror is not specifically required to complete the scoring grid, however in its offer, it must demonstrate compliance with all requirements of the RFP including the point rated evaluation criteria.

Question #5: Can two offers be submitted for the same resource for the same location?

Answer #5: No, only one offer can be submitted for the same resource for the same location.

AMENDMENT #1

THE FOLLOWING REVISIONS ARE:

1) At Part 1 – GENERAL INFORMATION, 1.2 Summary,

DELETE the following;

Offerors may submit more than one (1) offer; however you must only submit one (1) resource per offer per location. Multiple offers must be submitted in separate offer packages.

And

of Canada du Canada

Solicitation No. / No de l'invitation: 20170798

INSERT the following;

Offerors may submit one (1) offer per location per resource. Multiple offers must be submitted in separate offer packages.

- At Part 4 EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION, 4.2 Basis of Selection, 4.2.1 Basis of Selection – Highest Rated Within Budget, DELETE 4.2.1.3 in its entirety and INSERT the following;
- 4.2.1.3 The two (2) responsive offers with English resources with the highest number of points for; Edmonton, Alberta and the two (2) responsive offers with English resources with the highest number of points for Calgary, Alberta will be recommended for issuance of a Standing Offer Agreement, provided that the total evaluated price does not exceed the budget available for this requirement.