



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC**
11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Informatics Professional Services - EL
Division/Services professionnels en informatique -
division EL
4C2, Place du Portage
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet NEW TBIPS-MANAGEMENT SERVICE	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation E60ZR-173104/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 009
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20173104	Date 2017-08-23
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EL-638-31698	
File No. - N° de dossier 638el.E60ZR-173104	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2017-09-11	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Mao, Lan	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 638el
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 420-2074 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
E60ZR-173104/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
009

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
638el

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
E60ZR-173104

File No. - N° du dossier
638elE60ZR-173104

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

The Request for Proposal (RFP) Amendment 009 is raised to answer Bidders' questions and amend the RFP.

Question 28:

With respect to Stream 2, R2: while we appreciate the adjustment in scoring and of value of total billable days which occurred in Amendment 6, we would like to point out that the values for Stream 2 are still unrealistic given the nature and value of typical IT security contracts. Security contracts are typically shorter term contracts, with a smaller dollar value and therefore a Bidder would need to demonstrate a great many contracts to meet the \$12+ million for full points. In addition, it seems unfair that Stream 1 has the same value/scoring ranges for R2, but can pull from 8 resource categories, on contracts that are generally longer term in nature.

Given that the estimated number of resources required for Stream 2 is only seven (7), it seems excessive to require demonstration of >\$12 million for full points on R2. We request that PSPC adjust the dollar values for R2 in Stream 2 to something like this:

- \$1 million to < \$3 million – 10 points
- \$3 million to < \$5 million – 15 points
- \$5 million to < \$6 million – 20 points
- \$6 million and over – 25 points

Answer 28:

Stream 2, R2 has been amended. See RFP amendment below.

Question 29:

Please refer to Attachment 4.1 Bid Evaluation Criteria, Workstream 2 – IT Security, M.1 c); and refer to Amendment 002, RFP Amendment, where it states: "...if the Bidder is using TBIPS contracts to demonstrate experience, listing the TBIPS resource categories will suffice...". Refer also to Attachment 4.1 Bid Evaluation Criteria, 2. Bidder Response Template for Corporate References B) where it requires Bidders to provide the list of tasks for the Resource Category named in A above, and the additional instructions within that table where it states "The Bidder is requested to cross-reference its response to Rows (A) and (B) to the number of tasks identified in Annex A Requirements for Services of TBIPS SA EN578-170432 for the workstream and resource category being evaluated. The substantiation must not simply be a repetition of the tasks, but must explain responsibilities and demonstrate how the Bidder carried out the work while performing the tasks". Please confirm, in keeping with Amendment 002 regarding M.1 c), that this statement should be amended to read "The Bidder is requested to cross-reference its response to Rows (A) and (B) to the number of tasks identified in Annex A Requirements for Services of TBIPS SA EN578-170432 for the workstream and resource category being evaluated. The substantiation must not simply be a repetition of the tasks, but must explain responsibilities and demonstrate how the Bidder carried out the work while performing the tasks. If the Bidder is using TBIPS contracts to demonstrate experience, listing the TBIPS resource categories will suffice".

Answer 29:

Confirmed. See RFP amendment below.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
E60ZR-173104/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
009

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
638el

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
E60ZR-173104

File No. - N° du dossier
638elE60ZR-173104

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

RFP AMENDMENT

1. At Attachment 4.2 Bidder Response Templates, 2. Bidder Response Template for Corporate References:

Delete:

The Bidder is requested to cross-reference its response to Rows (A) and (B) to the number of tasks identified in Annex A - Requirements for Services of TBIPS SA EN578-170432 for the workstream and resource category being evaluated. The substantiation must not simply be a repetition of the tasks, but must explain responsibilities and demonstrate how the Bidder carried out the work while performing the tasks.

Insert:

The Bidder is requested to cross-reference its response to Rows (A) and (B) to the number of tasks identified in Annex A - Requirements for Services of TBIPS SA EN578-170432 for the workstream and resource category being evaluated. The substantiation must not simply be a repetition of the tasks, but must explain responsibilities and demonstrate how the Bidder carried out the work while performing the tasks. If the Bidder is using TBIPS contracts to demonstrate experience, listing the TBIPS resource categories will suffice.

2. At Attachment 4.1 Bid Evaluation Criteria, Workstream 2- IT Security, 2.2 Corporate Point-Rated Evaluation Criteria, R.2:

Delete:

The Bidder will be awarded points as follows for the sum of value of total billable days demonstrated:

\$3 million to < \$6 million - 10 points
\$6 million to < \$9 million - 15 points
\$9 million to < \$12 million - 20 points
\$12 million and over- 25 points

Insert:

The Bidder will be awarded points as follows for the sum of value of total billable days demonstrated:

\$1 million to < \$3 million - 10 points
\$3 million to < \$5 million - 15 points
\$5 million to < \$7 million - 20 points
\$7 million and over- 25 points

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.