



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC**
11 Laurier St./ 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Maintenance & Professional Consulting Services
Division (FK)
11 Laurier St./ 11, rue Laurier
3C2, Place du Portage, Phase III
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet CONSTRUCTION PROJECT MANAGEMENT	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation A2123-160003/B	Amendment No. - N° modif. 001
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client A2123-160003	Date 2017-08-25
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$FK-302-73238	
File No. - N° de dossier fk302.A2123-160003	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2017-09-18	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Kraya, Jeahan	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur fk302
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 420-5351 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

THIS AMENDMENT 001 IS ISSUED TO ANSWER QUESTIONS FROM THE INDUSTRY.

Q1 We are interested in seeing the sites if possible; so if there will be a walk through, could you let us know the date, time and meeting location?

A.1 INAC does not consider that a walk through the communities is needed to estimate the cost associated to the PM function. The First Nation profiles can be found following the links below, if necessary.

Shoal Lake No. 40 First Nation

http://fnp-ppn.aandc-aadnc.gc.ca/fnp/Main/Search/FNMain.aspx?BAND_NUMBER=155&lang=eng

Wapekeka First Nation

http://fnp-ppn.aandc-aadnc.gc.ca/fnp/Main/Search/FNMain.aspx?BAND_NUMBER=206&lang=eng

Q2. Could we review the School Pre-Design Studies that are referenced in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 of the RFP?

A2. INAC does not consider that the pre-design studies will have an impact on the development of the PM proposals, and therefore will not be provided at this time.

Q3. Please advise on the following in regards to the above noted solicitation:

Under section 4.1.2 Mandatory Technical Criteria, criterion MT2 states, “the Lead Resource must either: a) have cumulated 15 years of experience or more managing the delivery of construction projects; or b) be a licensed Professional Engineer or a Registered Architect, and have cumulated 10 years of experience or more managing the delivery of construction projects”.

Under section 4.1.3 Point Rated Technical Criteria, criterion RT1 states that:

“Lead Resource Additional Qualification

The Lead Resource should be a certified Project Management Professional (PMP).

Proof of valid certification provided with the proposal: 6 points

No proof of valid certification provided with the proposal: 0 points”

The two sections above do not seem to be consistent. In 4.1.2 the lead resource can be a licenced Professional Engineer or a Registered Architect with 10 yrs relevant experience. In 4.1.2 the Lead Resource will be scored 6 points if they validate PMP certification. Based on recent past experience with three INAC funded school projects (Pikangikum, Fort Severn, Poplar Hill and Fisher River Cree Nation) where we provided the services matching the scope for this solicitation our lead resource was a licenced Professional Engineer. Please confirm that if we propose a lead resource who is a licenced Professional Engineer with over 10 years of relevant experience we would qualify for the 6 points identified in RT1.

A3. INAC consider that both criteria are complimentary and not inconsistent.

As a minimum, we ask that the Project Lead has cumulated significant experience in managing the delivery of construction projects (MT2).

But the Lead Resource will get additional points if he/she is PMP certified regardless of their academic background (RT1).

You can certainly be a licenced Professional Engineer or Architect who has managed projects the past 10 years without having a PMP certification.

The designation is an asset that shows the ability to perform the function of a project management practitioner to a certain level that is globally recognized and respected, and based on industry best practices.

A licenced Professional Engineer with at least 10 years of experience in project management meets MT2.

Only if he/she is PMP certified will determine if the proponent gets the 6 points or not under RT1. A licenced Professional Engineer with at least 10 years of pertinent experience without a PMP certification will not qualify for the 6 points under RT1.