



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC

11 Laurier St./ 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Maintenance & Professional Consulting Services
Division (FK)

11 Laurier St./ 11, rue Laurier

3C2, Place du Portage, Phase III

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet CONSTRUCTION PROJECT MANAGEMENT	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation A2123-160003/B	Amendment No. - N° modif. 002
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client A2123-160003	Date 2017-09-01
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$FK-302-73238	
File No. - N° de dossier fk302.A2123-160003	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2017-09-18	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Kraya, Jeahan	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur fk302
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 420-5351 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

THIS AMENDMENT 002 IS ISSUED TO ANSWER QUESTIONS FROM THE INDUSTRY.

Q1. The project schedule does not allow for the possible 120 days to award the Project Management contract (Funding Agreement) or the RFP process for Geotechnical, subsequent completion dates seem to be infeasible. Can you explain?

A1. INAC recognizes that schedules found in the Funding Agreement (Annex 2, Pages 35-36) may be outdated at this point in time, but are provided for planning purposes only (they give a very high level overview of the targeted end date). The Project Team will work with the selected project management firm to update the milestones and develop a more detailed project schedule.

Q2. It is assumed that the Fit-out is included in the Design-Build contract. Please confirm.

A2. Yes. Refer to Annex A. Funding Agreement, Page 29, Article 4.3.3 Design-Build Request for Proposals, Paragraph c): "The Project Manager needs to prepare the Design-Build RFP.[...] The packages will reflect the specific education program of each community along with their fit-up requirements, [...]."

Q3. We would like to request a two week extension to the Solicitation Close date.

A3. The solicitation was posted on August 8 and closes on September 18. INAC considers that sufficient time (41 calendar days) was provided for proponents to prepare their proposal.

Q4. Is a PQS an acceptable alternative for a PMP, in terms of the point allocation for the PMP Designation? Would a combination of PQS with a P.Eng. be enough to obtain the points?

A4. A designated Professional Quantity Surveyor (PQS) is not equivalent to a certified Project Management Professional (PMP). INAC does not consider the designation as an alternative, and points would not be allocated to a P.Eng. on that basis.

Q5. Based on previous work in the region, the capital budget seems very low for the building size defined in the RFP. Will the budget be updated for reference or are we to assume the capital budget is current and reflects an accurate estimate of the currently estimated cost?

A5. The budget represents a class "C" cost estimate that was prepared in collaboration with a consulting firm during the pre-design study stage, and is in line with INAC guidelines for this level of precision. The budget will be further refined at the next stage.