Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

CCG

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client R000007568.CCG

File No. - N° du dossier

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

Annex D1 – Stream 1 Technical and Financial Evaluation Methodology

1. OVERVIEW

1.1.1.1 This document describes how SSC will evaluate the rated requirements of bidders' Technical Bids, and the evaluation of Financial Bids of this bid solicitation. The following table identifies how all Streams will be evaluated:

Mondaton	Technical Score (based on evaluation	Financial Score	
Mandatory	of rated	(based on prices	
Requirements	requirements)	offered)	Final Score
Pass/Fail	Maximum 10	Maximum 90	Maximum 100

1.1.1.2 Canada will be evaluating certain criteria in each of the Streams, and qualified bids will be assigned a score based on its responses to the rated elements. Pricing and Bid Evaluated Value (BEV) sheets are attached with the bid solicitation as follows:

Annex Table		
Name Description		
Annex B1	SCS Pricing Tables for Provinces	

1.1.1.3 For Stream 1, if more than one bidder receives the highest Final Score (i.e., two or more Final Scores are identical), the bidder with the highest Financial Score will be ranked first. If the bidders with identical Final Scores also have identical Financial Scores, the bidder with the lowest average bid price (the average of "bid price" entered on lines 19, 41 and 63 of Annex B1 – Appendix B, sheet "Shared Capacity Satellite Services for Provinces Part 3 – 3.2 to 3.5") will be ranked first.

CCG

File No. - N° du dossier

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client R000007568.CCG

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

2. TECHNICAL EVALUATION (MAXIMUM 100 POINTS)

2.1 TECHNICAL SCORE - MANDATORY REQUIREMENT

2.1.1.1 Please refer to Form 3 - Substantiation of Technical Compliance Form to answer specific questions related to mandatory requirements for the technical evaluation. Please note that in Form 3, all questions must be answered affirmatively. If any of the answers to the specific questions do not prove to be substantiated, the bid could be considered non-responsive. . Some of the questions will ask for further information or description for an affirmative answer. The bidder must provide the location within the bidder's proposal or response and indicate this information in column entitled "Reference" in Form 3.

2.2 TECHNICAL SCORE – EVALUATION OF RATED CRITERIA –10 POINTS

2.2.1 General

2.2.1.1 **Allocation of Points:** Where Canada determines that the bid demonstrates value-added capabilities in various aspects, points will be allocated as described in this table:

Value-added capabilities	Point Allocation	Points Available
Capability of offering VoIP services over Shared Capacity Satellite Services and sale of VoIP devices.	If the bidder can provide documented proof that they have a VoIP service plan that can be offered to Canada that will function in any of the Shared Capacity Satellite Service plans within the SOW = 5 If the bidder cannot provide documented proof that they have a VoIP service plan that can be offered to Canada that will function in any of the Shared Capacity Satellite Service plans within the SOW = 0	5
Years of company experience delivering operational Shared Capacity Satellite Services to various customers.	If the company experience delivering Shared Capacity Satellite Services is 0 to 5 years = 0 If the company experience delivering Shared Capacity Satellite Services is 6 to 8 years = 2 If the company experience delivering Shared Capacity Satellite Services is 9 to 10 years = 4 If the company experience delivering Shared Capacity Satellite Services is over 10 years = 5	5
TOTAL Technical Score		10

2.2.1.2 The bidders will be allocated their Total Technical Points (Maximum of 10 Technical Score) from the summation of the table in Section 2.2.1.1.

Solicitation No N° de l'invitation R000007568/B	Amd. No N° de la modif.	Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur CCG
Client Ref. No N° de réf. du client R000007568.CCG	File No N° du dossier	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

2.2.1.3 The following example illustrates the methodology that will be used during the calculation of the bidder's scores:

	Total		Total
	Technical	Formula	Technical
Bidder	Points	calculation	Score
Bidder 1	5	5/10 X 10 max	5.00
Bidder 2	7	7/10 X 10 max	7.00
Bidder 3	9	9/10 X 10 max	9.00

2.3 FINANCIAL SCORE - 90 POINTS

- 2.3.1.1 **Bid Evaluated Value:** For each bid, Canada will determine the "**Bid Evaluated Value**" (BEV) based on adding together the following elements:
 - (a) Evaluated cost of SCS services; and
 - (b) Evaluated cost of Install services;

Canada will make these calculations using the prices provided by the bidder in its completed Annex B – SCS Pricing Tables.

2.3.1.2 **Total Financial Points (TFP) Calculation:** The Sum of the Evaluated Bid Value for the total of 5 years will be the bidder's Total Financial Points (TFP) as set out in this example:

Annex B1 - Appendix D - Stream 1 - SUMMARY SHEET			
SUMMARY SHEET - ALL COMPONENTS	Initial Period of 3 Years	Optional Periods of 3 Years	Total for 6 Years
Description	Bid Price	Bid Price	Bid Price
Α	В	С	D
Part 3 - Shared Capacity Satellite Services	-	-	
Annex B1 - Appendix B - Stream 1 - Shared Capacity Services for Provinces Part 3 - 3.2 to 3.6	\$1,668,744.00	\$1,668,744.00	\$3,337,488.00
Part 4 - SCS Install Services Requirements			
Annex B1 - Appendix C - Stream 1 - Install & De-Install Services Part 2 - 2.11	\$38,400.00	\$38,400.00	\$76,800.00
Annex B1 - Appendix C1 - Pricing for Accessories and Related services for Shared Capacity Satellite services	\$23,750.00	\$23,750.00	\$47,500.00
TOTAL BID PRICE	\$1,730,894.00	\$1,730,894.00	\$3,461,788.00

BID EVALUATED VALUE FOR 6 YEARS =	\$3,461,788.00

Evaluation Methodology Shared Capacity Satellite Services Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur Amd. No. - N° de la modif. CCG

R000007568/B

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client File No. - N° du dossier CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME R000007568.CCG

2.2.1.3 Calculation of Bidder's Financial Score:

a. Each bidder's Evaluated Bid Value will be converted to a score out of 90, based on its relative price compared to other compliant bidders.

b. The bidder with the lowest Evaluated Bid Value will be allocated the maximum of 90 points. All other bidders' scores will be calculated by determining the ratio of its Evaluated Bid Value in relation to the lowest Evaluated Bid Value. Each bidder's financial score will be rounded to 2 decimal places. The formula that will be used to calculate the ratio is as follows:

[TFP of lowest scoring bidder/Bidder's TFP] x 90

c. This example illustrates the calculation of the Total Financial Score:

	Total Financial		Total Financial
Bidder	Points	Formula calculation	Score
Bidder 1	\$2,000,000.00	\$2,000,000/2,000,000 X 90	90.00
Bidder 2	\$5,000,000.00	\$2,000,000/5,000,000 X 90	36.00
Bidder 3	\$3,500,000.00	\$2,000,000/3,500,000 X 90	51.43

2.4 TOTAL SCORE - 100 POINTS

2.4.1.1 Calculation of Bidder's Final Score:

a. Each bidder's Final Score will be calculated by adding its Technical Score and Financial Score, as illustrated in the following table:

	Bidder 1	Bidder 2	Bidder 3
Total Financial Evaluation Score	90.00	36.00	51.43
Total Technical Evaluation Score	5.00	7.00	9.00
Final Score	95.00	43.00	60.43

b. The bidder with the highest Final Score will be recommended for contract award, subject to the provisions of this bid solicitation.

Evaluation Methodology Shared Capacity Satellite Services