



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

Bid Receiving  
PWGSC  
33 City Centre Drive  
Suite 480C  
Mississauga  
Ontario  
L5B 2N5  
Bid Fax: (905) 615-2095

**Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer**

**Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes**

Regional Individual Standing Offer (RISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle régionale (OCIR)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

This Document contains a Security Requirement.

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

**Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Public Works and Government Services Canada  
Ontario Region  
33 City Centre Drive  
Suite 480  
Mississauga  
Ontario  
L5B 2N5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Environmental Services - RISO		
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EQ447-180276/A		<b>Date</b> 2017-09-22
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> EQ447-180276		<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 005
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> TOR-7-40033 (018)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>	
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$TOR-018-7346		
<b>Date of Original Request for Standing Offer</b> Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale		2017-08-24
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2017-10-10</b>		<b>Time Zone Fuseau horaire</b> Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Pan, Long		<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> tor018
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (905) 615-2076 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -	
<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>		
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>		
<b>Security - Sécurité</b> This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.		

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Acknowledgement copy required</b> <b>Accusé de réception requis</b>	<b>Yes - Oui</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No - Non</b> <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer.</b> <b>Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.</b>		
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
<b>For the Minister - Pour le Ministre</b>		

**THE SOLICITATION AMENDMENT No. 05 IS RAISED TO MODIFY THE BID SOLICITATION AND ANSWER QUESTIONS FROM THE INDUSTRY.**

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

**Question # 53**

**Reference:**

RFSO – All Streams – Point Rated Technical Criteria – Resource Experience

**Question #53:**

In regards to Streams 1, 2 and 3 in Resource Experience, there is a suggested template for the required project description, is there also a 1500 word count limit on the resource projects descriptions as there is on the corporate project descriptions?

**Answer #53:**

For all streams, for projects submitted under Resource Experience, Offerors should limit their response for each project to a maximum of 1500 words. These projects are evaluated in Point Rated Technical Criteria, under Resource Experience, “Project Experience” section. Under “Resource Responsibilities in the Proposed Role”, the Offerors are suggested to use the example table in the RFSO to provide the required information for each project. The alternative format will be accepted as long as it contains all the required information. For each project under each resource category, Offerors should limit their response to a maximum of 1500 words.

**Question # 54**

**Reference:**

RFSO - All Streams

**Question #54:**

Can you confirm that the work for this RISO will be carried out only in the Ontario region or is PWGSC seeking support in the Atlantic, Central, Pacific or North?

If the expectation is that proponents would provide cross-Canada services, is the proponent required to provide services in all regions, or can they qualify for just one region?

**Answer #54:**

Works under this RISO will be carried out in Ontario Region only.

**Question # 55**

**Reference:**

RFSO – All Streams

**Question #55:**

Page 8 of 131 of the RFSO states: Section 1: Technical Offer (4 hard copies and 2 soft copies on USB drive). Do you want 1 USB drive with two soft copies of the Technical offer or do you want 2 USB drives each with 1 copy of the Technical Offer on it?

**Answer #55:**

Canada require the bidder to provide 2 USB drives with a copy of the technical offer on each drive.

**Question # 56**

**Reference:**

RFSO - Stream 2 – Point Rated Technical Criteria – Capacity Management under Corporate Experience

**Question #56:**

For Stream 2 – R3 – Capacity Management the RFP states “Along with the proposed resources identified in the org chart in M3, the Offeror should list all project resources with the capability, capacity and expertise to provide the full range of required services and deliverables listed in the Required Services (RS).” To demonstrate our capacity:

- a. are resumes required for each resource presented in R3? Or
- b. If resumes are not required, will listing the resources name with credentials (e.g. First name last name, M.Sc., P.Eng.) be enough to demonstrate our capacity and obtain full marks?

**Answer #56:**

Answer to a. is “No, resumes for additional resources are not required”.

Answer to b. is “the Offeror should provide names of resources, years of relevant experience, credential and education to demonstrate the capability, capacity and expertise to provide the full range of required services and deliverables listed in the Required Services”.

**Question # 57**

**Reference:**

RFSO – All Streams

**Question #57:**

In Sections M3, and R3 for Stream 1 and Sections M4 and R4 for Stream 3, could you please confirm how many Resumes are needed in total for Stream 1 and Stream 3 to get maximum points? We read 55 and 8 respectively, however, the wording is not clear to us.

**Answer #57:**

For all streams, resumes are only required for resources proposed for key positions under Mandatory Technical Criteria. Resumes for additional resources identified under Point Rated Criteria-> Corporate Experience-> Capacity Management are not required.

**Question # 58**

**Reference:**

RFSO – Streams 1 and 3 – Point Rated Technical Criteria – Capacity Management

**Question #58:**

In Section R3 in Stream 1 and Section R4 in Stream 3, will a table indicating the name of the resource, the category and the years of experience suffice to prove capacity for Stream 1 and 3?

**Answer #58:**

The Offeror should provide names of resources, years of relevant experience, credential and education to demonstrate the capability, capacity and expertise to provide the full range of required services and deliverables listed in the Required Services.

**Question # 59**

**Reference:**

RFSO – Stream 3 - Mandatory Technical Criteria – M3 and Point Rated Technical Criteria – R2

**Question #59:**

In Sections M3 and R2 for the required Phase II ESA project, will work completed as part of a Phase III ESA count as part of the project description (scope, cost, etc..) since the Phase III ESA is similar in scope and is an extension of the Phase II ESA?

**Answer #59:**

Works completed as part of a phase III ESA can satisfy the requirements of a Phase II ESA.

**Question # 60**

**Reference:**

RFSO – All Streams

**Question #60:**

In Section 7.2 of Part 7 and the Mandatory Requirements of each Stream, what is required at the time of proposal submission for security requirements? We understand nothing is required until contract award, correct?

**Answer #60:**

Please refer to subsection 2) of Section 6.1 in Part 6. Designated organization screening (DOS) is required for your organization to access contract opportunities at the protected level. The Offeror must hold a valid organization security clearance by the time of Bid Closing. The Offerors are requested to demonstrate compliance with this requirement in their proposal.

Before issuance of the Call-up against Standing Offer, as indicated in 7.2.2 of Part 7, The Contractor/Offeror personnel requiring access to sensitive work site(s) must EACH hold a valid RELIABILITY STATUS, granted or approved by CISD/PWGSC.

**Question # 61**

**Reference:**

RFSO - PART 1 – GENERAL INFORMATION

**Question #61:**

PART 1 – GENERAL INFORMATION – 1.2 Summary (as modified in Amendment 3) – Can you advise regarding the definition of Joint Venture that PWGSC is referring to in this section. That is, what form of relationship between two or more parties is considered to represent a Joint Venture for the purposes of this RFP?

**Answer #61:**

As described in section 2.1 Standard Instructions, Clauses and Conditions, Part 2 of the RFSO, Bidders who bid as a joint venture must align with the standard instruction described in subsection 17 Joint Venture in Standard Instructions – Request for Standing Offers – Goods or Services–Competitive Requirements 2006 (2017-04-27). The party which satisfies the definition of Joint Venture would be considered as Joint Venture member for the purpose of bid evaluation.

**Question # 62**

**Reference:**

RFSO – Streams 1 and 2

**Question #62:**

I have an enquiry regarding Stream 1, 2. Scope of Work, (iv) other, page 32: Further to Addendum No. 3., Modification #001, could you please confirm that a Contractor may not use the services of a sub-contractor for the purposes of supplying specialty environmental services such as archaeology or built heritage?

**Answer #62:**

Please refer to Question and Answer # 8 in Amendment 03 of the RFSO.

**Question # 63**

**Reference:**

RFSO – Stream 3 – Point Rated Technical Criteria – R8

**Question #63:**

Should the response for Resource Responsibilities in the Proposed Role (R8 for Stream 3) be included within the two page resume limit?

**Answer #63:**

For each project under each resource category, Offerors should limit their response to a maximum of 1500 words. However, if the Offeror intends to submit their response within resume, Canada recommends the Offeror to submit resource resume in 2 pages. For the purpose of submitting projects part of R8, the Offerors are suggested to use the example table in RFSO to provide the required information for each project. The alternative format will be accepted as long as it contains all the required information.

#### Question # 64

**Reference:**

RFSO – Amendment #3 - Modification # 001

**Question #64:**

Amendment No. 3, Modification # 001 – Can PWGSC confirm that subcontractors cannot be used as any of the proposed resources? Does this apply to both Key Positions and other core team expertise identified under Capacity Management, effectively eliminating subcontractors from filling any of the resource requirements for this contract? While formation of a Joint Venture is identified as an acceptable option, given the contract ceiling for several of the streams, this project size would not typically off-set the costs of establishing a formal Joint Venture. This effectively eliminates many capable firms from this competition. Can PWGSC elaborate on why a subcontractor cannot be used as a proposed resource outside a Joint Venture, as the prime has ultimate responsibility for the delivery of the project?

**Answer #64:**

Please refer to Question and Answer # 61. Canada has considered the operational requirements and industry capability. It has been decided that Sub-contractors cannot be used as any of the proposed resources for key positions as well as additional resources under Corporate Experience, Capacity Management.