



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

**Bid Receiving Public Works and Government  
Services Canada/Réception des soumissions Travaux  
publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada**

**Room 100,  
167 Lombard Ave.**

**Winnipeg  
Manitoba**

**R3B 0T6**

**Bid Fax: (204) 983-0338**

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT  
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

**Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Public Works and Government Services Canada -  
Western Region

Room 100,  
167 Lombard Ave.

Winnipeg  
Manitoba

R3B 0T6

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Integrated Training Centre	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> M7594-180996/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 007
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> M7594-180996	<b>Date</b> 2017-10-16
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$PWZ-102-10300	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> PWZ-7-40037 (102)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2017-10-18</b>	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Wiebe, Dallas	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> pwz102
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (204) 899-5257 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (204) 983-7796
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
M7594-180996/A  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
007  
File No. - N° du dossier

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
pwz102  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

**This Amendment 007 is raised to amend Request for Proposal M7594-180996/A as follows:**

The following changes in the RFP documents are effective immediately. This amendment will form part of the Agreement documents.

**Part A: Questions and Answers**

**Part A: Questions and Answers**

In response to Questions received during the tender period

**Question 1**

Addendum 3 – the response to question 6 only partially answered the series of questions. For example the following question was not answered: “We need to review ASME drawings of the existing spaces to assess the amount of consulting work related to renovation and whether or not the expansion is into other existing areas or additional area beyond the building envelope etc. and to what extent and how that may work?”

There is insufficient information on the amount of renovation vs new construction and where it occurs in the RFP for us to properly assess the consulting work required. Simply getting the drawings afterwards does not provide the critical information necessary for preparing a proper proposal in advance. If drawings are not allowed, then preliminary design/programming diagrams or some reasonable basis for determining the amount of renovation etc. is needed .equipment.

**Response 1**

Existing documentation will be supplied to the successful proponent upon clearance of the mandatory security screening process. Through project analysis e.g. functional programming and design development, the consultant team will determine the impact to the existing firearms complex to ensure the complex meets code compliance and building systems integration requirements.

**Question 2**

Further to addendum # 5, response 1: Is the proponent team responsible for the recommending and /or the selection of the simulation equipment? In other words will the RCMP be providing the selection of the specific simulation equipment and /or the related key equipment descriptions and related attributes to be accommodated into the project scope?

**Response 2**

The FFE performance requirements will be confirmed with the user groups through design workshops and requirements gathering.

**Question 3**

Further to addendum #5, response 4: We appreciated and understand the requirements for multiple tender packages under 6.1.1 and that the CM will be determining the final number of tender packages. However our project work load and related fees are directly tied to the number of tender packages. For example each and every tender package requires a cost analysis task and cost report that adds time and fees to our scope of service. Therefore 10 tender packages vs 5 tender packages doubles the costing workload for these tender related tasks and in turn doubles the fee requirements for these tasks. Therefore for purpose of establishing a realistic fees for this proposal, a definitive yet conservative range tender packages is required? Defining a number of tender packages as part of this RFP would still allow for adjustment in the number of tender packages during the course of the project by the CM.

**Response 3**

As stated in 6.1.2. it is anticipated that multiple tender packages will be required for this project. Please refer to 6.1.1 for the project construction approach. Fees shall include all packages required by the CM.

**Question 4**

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
M7594-180996/A  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
007  
File No. - N° du dossier

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
pwz102  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

One of the proposal requirements is to describe "Relationship between this commission and earlier studies completed for PWGSC" (3.2.4.1)

Is "studies" the correct term? Since this is a construction project was this meant to be "construction" projects instead?

**Response 4**

Yes, studies (or reports) is the right term.

**Statement of clarification:**

In response to questions related to previous studies and relevance to submitting proposals we wish to clarify that no detailed study has been completed to assess the impact of the proposed project to the existing building or infrastructure. Only an investment analysis. Detailed analysis of the impact to the ITC project on the existing complex and its infrastructure forms part of the consultant's scope of work, as identified in RS1 Analysis of the project and further defined in RS 1.2 Scope and Activities and elsewhere in the project brief.

**END OF AMENDMENT 007**