

Questions & Requests for Equals ET025-180083/A RCMP "D" Division Range Upgrade to Oct 23, 2017

Item	Date	Question	Answer
1	19/10/2017	<p>After reviewing the Winnipeg drawings it is unclear as to whether or not acoustic performance criteria must be met in the range. Do you require a minimum noise exposure limit or require that the range meet the shooting range recognized industry standard of 1.25 seconds reverb time across all octaves from 125Hz to 8000Hz? Would the government consider Troy Acoustics Troy System as an alternative product?</p> <p><i>The reason we ask is that simple acoustic tiles i.e. Armstrong Tiles or use of materials such as PEPP or even Tectum rarely meet this standard. Wright Patterson AFB was shut down. They used Armstrong tiles. Tectum resulted in limited usage of a range at Fort Meade.</i></p>	<p>1) Site to meet minimum provincial requirements for noise exposure. Decay rates of noise to be kept below 1.5 seconds. Ambient noise levels at firing range should not exceed 85 dBA. Troy Acoustics System is an acceptable alternative product.</p>
2	20/10/2017	<p>1) We are requesting that you replace the name Super Trap with Paragon Tactical, Inc. Both names refer to the same company and same product line.</p> <p>2) Regarding Section 11 67 23.3 – Shooting Stalls. Part 2 – Section 2.1: We are requesting that you add Paragon Tactical, Inc. Super Trap to the acceptable manufacturers list for the shooting stalls. We are confident that we can meet the shooting stall specifications.</p> <p>3) Regarding Section 11 67 23.40 – Target System. Part 2 – Section 2.1: We are requesting that you add Paragon Tactical, Inc. Super Trap to the acceptable manufacturers/products list for the Target Systems.</p> <p>4) Regarding Section 11 67 23.10 – Bullet Traps - Part 2 – Section 2.2 – 1.12: We ask that point number 12 (No water-absorbing material added to rubber medium) be removed from the specifications. We ask this because our fire retardant becomes a Class-A fire retardant when water is added. Therefore, turning the rubber chunk into a fire retardant as well as coating with a gel like material.</p> <p>The Class-A fire retardant will obviously offer a more secure bullet trap. So much so, that out of over 200 installs by Paragon Tactical, Inc. Super Trap we have had zero fires. There is also a proprietary additive, that if any metal dust is created, the dust will bond to the additive and turn into a lead base stable material that is indigenous to Canada. Thus, having the added advantage of bringing the Paragon Tactical Bullet Trap Super Trap to < 3 ppm of lead containment.</p> <p>5) Regarding Section 11 67 23.10 – Bullet Traps: Why are you not asking for “off the road rubber” which is closer to true rubber and has a lower petro chemical makeup, making it a safer rubber? As stated above, out of the over 200 Paragon Tactical, Inc. installs, we have had zero fires and feel that the “off the road rubber” is a contributing factor.</p>	<p>1) Acceptable.</p> <p>2) Request for equal submitted.</p> <p>3) Request for equal submitted.</p> <p>4) Not required to be Class A rated.</p> <p>5) No preference for on the road or off the road rubber. Either is acceptable.</p>