

SEN 020 17/18
Re- Designing of the Senate Intranet Site (IntraSen)

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS #1

Question 1:

Will the vendor be held to WCAG 2.0 AA compliant? and
Will there be any advantage for the vendor if they are able to implement aspects of ATAG 2.0 AA for the administration/editing interface?

Answer 1:

No. The objective is to gather requirements and recommend a web architecture, design concept and governance structure based on them. The actual development and implementation of the new Senate intranet site is not part of the scope of this RFP. Should the Senate decide to proceed at a later point with a separate RFP for the development and implementation of the site based on the new concept, specific accessibility requirements such as WCAG 2.0 and ATAG 2.0 will be part of the deliverables and evaluation criteria.

Question 2:

With respect to the aforementioned RFSO, could you let us know what the anticipated budget for the project is?

Answer 2:

The request is for a Request for Proposals and not for a Request for a Standing Offer. The budget for the subsequent phases of the project will be established based on the requirements, concept and recommendations identified as part of the deliverables to be provided by the vendor over the course of the contract (please refer to the Statement of Work for more details).

Question 3:

Additionally is this work supposed to be singular for the design and development aspects or does the RFSO refer to a standing offer for a certain period of time that the vendor would be called upon for ongoing work?

Answer 3:

The request is for a Request for Proposals and not for a Request for a Standing Offer. This RFP is to establish a fixed price contract for the completion of the work identified in the Statement of Work. It is not for the establishment of a standing offer.
