



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC**

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services Division / Division
des services professionnels en informatique

11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier

4C2, Place du Portage

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Functional Services	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation B8926-170500/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 002
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client B8926-170500	Date 2017-11-01
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$ZM-620-31874	
File No. - N° de dossier 620zm.B8926-170500	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2017-11-08	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: St-Jean Valois, Joanne	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 620zm
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (873) 469-4945 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-1156
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation No. – N° de l’invitation B8926-170500/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 002	Buyer ID – Id de l’acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8926-170500	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8926-170500	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT 002

This amendment is raised to:

1. Provide answers to Bidder’s questions in relation to this solicitation; and
2. Amend the Request for Proposal (RFP) as detailed in Appendix A-2 below.

QUESTIONS:

Question #1:

For RTC16, for the Business Continuity/Disaster Recovery Specialist - Level 3 role, can you please confirm that in order to score maximum points (3+ platforms), demonstrating experience providing advice and guidance in the area of IT Continuity for IM/IT systems could involve the same platform multiple times (ie Oracle on two separate projects and SQL on two other separate distinct projects?)

Answer #1:

To get maximum points, CIC expects three distinct platforms. The same technologies on different projects will not be counted as two platforms.

Question #2:

Given how similar this requirement is to CIC’s previous Applications Development support services RFP [TBIPS-Applications Development Service RFP (B8926-170461/A)], released just a few weeks ago which stated “The Bidder must have performed no more than **two** Applications Development support services contracts.”. Would the Crown please revise the requirement to state “Using no more than **two** reference contracts, the Bidder must demonstrate experience providing Functional support services.”?

Answer #2:

No, the requirement remain unchanged.

Question #3:

Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation B8926-170500/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 002	Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8926-170500	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8926-170500	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

Due to the extensive effort required to develop a quality, competitive response to this RFP, we respectfully request a two week extension to the current closing date, making the new closing date Wednesday, November 15th?

Answer #3:

An extension to November 8, 2017 was granted. Due to operational requirements, no further extensions will be granted.

Question #4:

We are encouraged to see IRCC using a Median Band in the Financial Evaluation methodology but are concerned that the Lower Band Limit is minus (-) 20% is not a big enough delta to ensure that bidders provide fair market rates. Would Canada consider changing the Lower Band limit to minus (-) 10%?

Answer #4:

The Lower Band Limit of minus 20% is considered to be reasonable to ensure competitive rates. As such, the Lower Band Limit will not be adjusted.

Question #5:

This response requires a high level of effort and there are currently several large Tier 2 RFP's out for solicitation. Based on this, would the Crown please grant a 2 week extension?

Answer #5:

Refer to answer provided under Question and Answer #3 above.

Question #6:

Re: Attachment 41. Mandatory Technical Criteria, 2.0 Resources, Resource #4: B.4 Business Continuity/Disaster Recovery Specialist, Level 3

MTC9 – Can the Crown please confirm that “experience as a Business Continuity / Disaster Recovery Specialist” will be demonstrated by alignment with at least 50% of the TBIPS category tasks and will not be evaluated against the SOW for that category in the Solicitation document which is highly customized to CIC.

Answer #6:

The mandatory requirement for experience for MTC9 must be demonstrated as identified in the Solicitation to meet the CIC requirement.

Question #7:

Solicitation No. – N° de l’invitation B8926-170500/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 002	Buyer ID – Id de l’acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8926-170500	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8926-170500	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

Re: Attachment 4.2 Point-Rated Technical Criteria, 2.0 Resources, Resource #3 – B.3 Business Consultant, Level 2

RTC11-RTC14 – Can the Crown please amend the Point Rating to reflect that the resource is a Level 2? As 9+ years is required to score maximum points for each rated requirement for the Level 3 resources, would the Crown please reduce the requirement for the level 2 resource by amending the point rating scale for RTC11, RTC12, RTC13 and RTC14?

Answer #7:

Agreed. Change years of experience for level 2 in RTC11, 12, 13, 14 to:

1 to 2 years = 2 points

2+ to 3 years=4 points

3+ to 4 years =6 points

4+ to 5 years= 8 points

5+ years = 10 points

Question #8:

Regarding the A.2 ERP Functional Analyst category: given the disparity in rates for resources in this category (SAP vs Java) would CIC consider adding an ERP category that would include only true ERP technologies such as SAP/PeopleSoft/Siebel etc.?

Answer #8:

CIC will not add another category.

As SAP, Siebel and Peoplesoft are covered under separate RFPs.

Question #9:

Numerous CIC RFPs have been released within a short time frame. Each RFP consists of corporate requirements as well as resourcing requirements. In order for appropriate time cycles to produce a quality response to the above referenced solicitation number, we are requesting a week extension to the current November 1st due date.

Answer #9:

Refer to answer provided under Question and Answer #3 above.

Question #10:

Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation B8926-170500/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 002	Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8926-170500	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8926-170500	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

Attachment 4.1, MTC1 – A previous solicitation from CIC allowed for the use of a maximum of 2 reference contracts in support of the similar mandatory requirement. Given that precedent, it is requested that Bidders be allowed to use up to 2 reference contracts in order to meet this requirement.

Answer #10:

Refer to answer provided under Question and Answer #2 above.

Question #11:

Given there are 3 similar open procurements issued by CIC, all due within 5 business days of each other and 2 due within 24 hours, we request an extension of 3 weeks to the closing date of the solicitation.

Answer #11:

Refer to answer provided under Question and Answer #3 above.

Question #12:

The Pricing Schedule in Attachment 4.3 (pages 71-74 of 79) encourages Bidders to propose low rates in several categories by evaluating the Total Price after the Firm Per Diem Rates (column E) are multiplied by the Number of Resources (column C). The Estimated Number of Resources for the Business Consultant – Level 2 and Business Analyst – Level 3 categories are considerably higher than the remaining categories. By including a difference in the Number of Resources between all the categories, it encourages vendors to “tank” rates for the categories with higher Number of Resources in order to keep their Total Price down for financial evaluation. To ensure the winning bid includes fair market rates which are available to contract qualified professionals over the next five years, would the Crown consider removing the weighting factor (Number of Resource) or adjust it to be the same number for each resource category?

Answer #12:

The estimated number of resources for each category is provided in order to show the potential scope of the requirement. Should any of the proposed rates be determined to be unreasonably low in accordance with Article 4.3 (d) of this bid solicitation, Canada may request price support. As such, the Pricing Schedule will not be adjusted.

Question #13:

Resource #4 – B.4 Business Continuity / Disaster Recovery Specialist, Level 3

RTC15 The proposed resource should clearly demonstrate experience providing advice and guidance to a Government of Canada (GoC) Federal Department, Agency or Crown Corporation in the area of business continuity planning and disaster recovery planning in a highly integrated and complex enterprise IM/IT environment (multiple system platforms with 1000+ users).

Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation B8926-170500/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 002	Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8926-170500	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8926-170500	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

We would like to request that your client include Canadian Municipal Government experience for this requirement.

Answer #13:

CIC will accept the Canadian Municipal Government experience.

Question #14:

On page 45 of the RFP, IIS (Internet Information Services [IIS, formerly Internet Information Server]) is listed as a middleware/framework used in IRCC's technical environment yet it is not included in the Rated criteria for RTC6 for the ERP Functional Analyst, Level 3. In reference to the recent/associated Solicitation B8926-170461/A, Amendment 7, IRCC added IIS and .Net Framework to the list of middleware/frameworks. Please confirm that, in the same vein and to ensure consistency, the Crown will amend RTC16 (Pg. 45) to include IIS and .Net Frameworks?

Answer #14:

Canada accepts to include IIS and .Net as Frameworks.

Question #15:

Reference ATTACHMENT 4.1, MANDATORY TECHNICAL CRITERIA, 1.0 THE BIDDER, MTC1 – Corporate Capacity, e) [Page 66 of 79]

MTC1 e) states:

e) have included the provision of the services in at least two of the following same or similar categories listed in Section 5. of the Statement of Work of this bid solicitation:

- (a) A. 2 ERP Functional Analyst, Level 2 and Level 3
- (b) B.1 Business Analyst, Level 2
- (c) B.1 Business Analyst, Level 3
- (d) B.3 Business Consultant, Level 2
- (e) B.4 Business Continuity / Disaster Recovery Specialist, Level 3
- (f) B.14 Technical Writer, Level 2

For (a) A.2 ERP Functional Analyst, Level 2 and Level 3, must a Bidder's Contract have both Level 2 and Level 3 ERP Functional Analysts identified within the Contract to be acceptable, or can the Bidder's Contract just identify either a Level 2 or a Level 3 ERP Functional Analyst?

Answer #15:

Bidders must demonstrate that they have provided services in at least two of the categories from (a) thru (f). Level 2 **or** 3 can be used to demonstrate the services.

Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation B8926-170500/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 002	Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8926-170500	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8926-170500	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

Question #16:

Reference ATTACHMENT 4.2, POINT-RATED TECHNICAL CRITERIA, 1.0 THE BIDDER, RTC2 – Resource Categories [Page 1 of 9]

RTC2 states:

Resource Categories. The Bidder should demonstrate the number of the following same or similar categories where resources have been provided on one or more contracts, within the last five years (as of bid closing date).

- A.2 ERP Functional Analyst, Level 2 and Level 3
- B.1 Business Analyst, Level 2
- B.1 Business Analyst Level 3
- B.3 Business Consultant, Level 2
- B.4 Business Continuity / Disaster Recovery : Specialist, Level 3
- B.14 Technical Writer, Level 2

For A.2 ERP Functional Analyst, Level 2 and Level 3, must a Bidder's Contract have both Level 2 and Level 3 ERP Functional Analysts identified within the Contract to be acceptable, or can the Bidder's Contract just identify either a Level 2 or a Level 3 ERP Functional Analyst?

Answer #16:

Level 2 **or** 3 can be used to demonstrate the services.

Question #17:

Reference ATTACHMENT 4.1, MANDATORY TECHNICAL CRITERIA, 1.0 THE BIDDER, MTC1 – Corporate Capacity, f (i) [Page 67 of 79]

MTC1 f) (i) states:

Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation B8926-170500/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 002	Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8926-170500	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8926-170500	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

f) have a minimum total invoiced amount of:

(i) (a) 60% of the contract value (excluding applicable taxes) of the Initial Contract Period, not including amendments, if the contract was completed in the last 5 years (as of bid closing date); or

(b) at least \$2M of the contract value (excluding applicable taxes) of the Initial Contract Period, not including amendments, if the contract was completed in the last 5 years (as of bid closing); or

If a Bidder has a Contract for \$3.0M excluding applicable taxes that was completed in the last 5 years (as of bid closing date), must the Bidder have invoiced 60% of the \$3.0M Contract [(a) above], or must the Bidder have invoiced at least \$2M of the \$3.0M Contract [(b) above]? (a) and (b) appear to be at cross-purposes?

Answer #17:

MTC1 f) (i) (b) is to address the scenario where the invoiced amount of a completed contract does not amount to 60% of the contract value but does have an invoiced amount of at least \$2M. For example, where the contract value is \$4M and has a total invoiced amount of \$2.1M rather than \$2.4M which is 60% of the contract value. \$2.1M does not meet f) (i) (a) but does meet f) (i) (b).

Question #18:

Reference ATTACHMENT 4.1, MANDATORY TECHNICAL CRITERIA, 1.0 THE BIDDER, MTC1 – Corporate Capacity, f) (ii) [Page 67 of 79]

MTC1 F) (ii) states:

f) have a minimum total invoiced amount of:

(ii) (a) 50% of the contract value (excluding applicable taxes) of the Initial Contract Period, not including amendments, if the contract is ongoing in the last 5 years (as of bid closing date); or

Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation B8926-170500/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 002	Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8926-170500	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8926-170500	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

(b) at least \$2M of the contract value (excluding applicable taxes) of the Initial Contract Period, not including amendments, if the contract is ongoing in the last 5 years (as of bid closing date); or

If a Bidder has a Contract for \$3.0M excluding applicable taxes that is ongoing in the last 5 years (as of bid closing date), must the Bidder have invoiced 60% of the \$3M Contract [(a) above], or must the Bidder have invoiced at least \$2M of the \$3M Contract [(b) above]? (a) and (b) appear to be at cross-purposes?

Answer #18:

MTC1 f) (ii) (b) is to address the scenario where the invoiced amount of an ongoing contract does not amount to 50% of the contract value but does have an invoiced amount of at least \$2M. For example, where the contract value is \$5M and has a total invoiced amount of \$2.1M rather than \$2.5M which is 50% of the contract value. \$2.1M does not meet f) (ii) (a) but does meet f) (ii) (b).

Question #19:

On October 12, 2017, PSPC released CIC/IRCC Solicitation #B8926-170506/A – Quality Assurance Services, closing October 31, 2017. We are intending to respond to both Solicitations [Quality Assurance Services closing October 31, 2017, and Functional Services closing November 1, 2017]. We request a two-week extension to November 15, 2017, of this RFP #B8926-170500/A – Functional Services, to allow the time to conduct a proper due diligence to submit a response.

Answer #19:

Refer to answer provided under Question and Answer #3 above.

Question #20:

As mentioned the above RFP CIC - Functional Services B8926-170500/A, given the extensive level of effort required to submit a qualifying response to this RFP (e.g., locating and gridding qualified resources against and corporate quals, will the crown please provide a two week extension, amending the closing date to November 15, 2017.

Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation B8926-170500/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 002	Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8926-170500	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8926-170500	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

Answer #20:

Refer to answer provided under Question and Answer #3 above.

Question #21:

To properly substantiate our candidate's experience, qualifications and expertise, please confirm it is acceptable to cross-reference to detailed project descriptions in his/her resume. The projects in the resume will be sequentially numbered and that number will be used in the matrix to identify the relevant projects that substantiate the specific requirement. Each project in the resume will include department, project name, role and start/end dates as well as the candidate's responsibilities and activities."

Answer #21:

Yes, it is acceptable.

Question #22:

MTC1 Corporate Capacity pg. 67/79 - (f) 50% of the contract value (excluding applicable taxes) of the Initial Contract Period, not including amendments, if the contract is ongoing in the last 5 years (as of bid closing date); or at least \$2M of the contract value (excluding applicable taxes) of the Initial Contract Period, not including amendments, if the contract is ongoing in the last 5 years (as of bid closing date); Please confirm, for the requirements for **on-going** projects (above); the 50% or \$2M invoiced contract value, could partially or wholly have occurred before the past five (5) year period. I.e. if an on - going contract began before October 2012.

Answer #22:

Refer to answer provided under Question and Answer #18 above.

Question #23:

We would like to request an extension to the current submission date of 2 weeks.

Answer #23:

Refer to answer provided under Question and Answer #3 above.

Question #24:

Solicitation No. – N° de l’invitation B8926-170500/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 002	Buyer ID – Id de l’acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8926-170500	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8926-170500	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

For the ERP Functional Analyst, Level 3, RTC6, are you requesting that the ERP Functional Analyst has programming experience with the list of languages or are you requesting experience on projects as a ERP Functional Analyst where 1 or more of these languages were in the technical environment of the project?

Answer #24:

CIC requires the resource to have experience working with any one of the following programming languages listed.

Question #25:

For the ERP Functional Analyst, Level 3, RTC6, are you requesting that the ERP Functional Analyst has middleware experience with the list of middleware products or are you requesting experience on projects as a ERP Functional Analyst where 1 or more of these middlewares were in the technical environment of the project?

Answer #25:

CIC requires the resource to have experience working with any one of the following middle/frameworks listed.

Question #26:

For the ERP Functional Analyst, Level 3, RTC6, the list of programming languages and middleware technologies read as “such as” Can bidders cite programming languages and middleware technologies as per the RFP SOW, Software Environment para 4.2.1 (list of CIC’s Programming languages) and para 4.2.2 (list of CIC’s ERPs)

Answer #26:

Experience must be with technologies listed in the criteria.

Question #27:

For RTC2, does the level have to match, or is it at the category level that bidders must demonstrate resources have provided on contract(s)?

Answer #27:

Level 2 **or** 3 can be used to demonstrate the services in one category.

Question #28:

We would like to request a two (2) week extension to this TBIPS Tier 2 solicitation due to unanswered questions affecting our bid response and the amount of information that is requested in the corporate and resource grids.

Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation B8926-170500/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 002	Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8926-170500	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8926-170500	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

Answer #28:

Refer to answer provided under Question and Answer #3 above.

Question #29:

Given the short timeframes and importance of the roles to CIC we kindly ask for a two (2) week extension from November 16, 2017.

Answer #29:

Refer to answer provided under Question and Answer #3 above.

Question #30:

GoC is modernization procurement process by implementing the Two Step Evaluation Process. Can GoC please apply the Two Step Evaluation Process in this RFP?

Answer #30:

The Two Step Evaluation Process does not apply to this requirement.

Question #31:

Page 61 – Annex C - Security Requirements Check List: The SRCL on page 61 is missing. Can Citizenship and Immigration Canada please provide a copy of the RFP's associated SRCL.

Answer #31:

The Annex C - Security Requirements Check List has been provided with the solicitation amendment 1

Question #32:

Page 64 – Attachment 3.1 – Bid Submission Form is requesting Bidders provide information regarding the proposed site(s) or premises requiring safeguard measures. The security requirements identified in Section 7.5 on page 28 do not indicate document safeguarding applies to this requirement. Can Citizenship and Immigration Canada please confirm document safeguarding does not apply and should be removed from the Bid Submission Form.

Answer #32:

Solicitation No. – N° de l’invitation B8926-170500/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 002	Buyer ID – Id de l’acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8926-170500	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8926-170500	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

No document safeguarding applies. See revised Attachment 3.1 included in the Appendix A-2 below.

Question #33:

Can Citizenship and Immigration Canada please confirm that Bidders are only required to submit the ERP Functional Analyst (Level 3), Business Analyst (Level 3), Business Consultant (Level 2) and Business Continuity/Disaster Recovery Specialist (Level 3) at bid submission?

Answer #33:

Please refer to article 3.2 Section 1: Technical Bid, (a), (iv) For Proposed Resources and article 4.2 Technical Evaluation, (c) Number of Resources Evaluated.

Proposed Resources also listed in MTC4.

Question #34:

Can Citizenship and Immigration Canada please confirm that the minimum security clearances identified on page 63 of the Security Classification Guide are also the resource security clearance levels required at bid submission. Our understanding of the guide is that the four resources identified in the proposal must have a reliability clearance at contract award and that requirements for Secret cleared resources will be identified at the Task Authorization stage.

Answer #34:

Confirmed.

Question #35:

Can you please clarify under “MTC1 Corporate Capacity” - that the bidders must demonstrate both A.2 ERP Functional Analyst, Level 2 and Level 3 to be considered meeting 1 category?

Answer #35:

Bidders must demonstrate that they have provided services in at least two of the categories from (a) thru (f). Level 2 **or** 3 can be used to demonstrate the services.

Solicitation No. – N° de l’invitation B8926-170500/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 002	Buyer ID – Id de l’acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8926-170500	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8926-170500	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

Question #36:

Can you please clarify under “RTC2 Resource Categories” that the corporate reference used in “MTC1 Corporate Capacity” cannot be used for this requirement?

Answer #36:

The bidder can use the reference contract used in MTC1 for RTC2.

Question #37:

We originally submitted the attached questions on October 19, 2017. Not knowing the answers to our questions is preventing us from developing our proposal. We respectfully request that CIC provide answers to our questions and extend the closing date of this RFP to November 15, 2017.

Answer #37:

Refer to answer provided under Question and Answer #3 above.

Solicitation No. – N° de l’invitation B8926-170500/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 002	Buyer ID – Id de l’acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8926-170500	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8926-170500	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

APPENDIX A-2

RFP REVISIONS

1. At Attachment 4.2 Point-Rated Technical Criteria, Item 2.0 Resources, 2.3 Resource # 3 – Business Consultant, Level 2 :

Delete:

Resource #3 – B.3 Business Consultant, Level 2				
RTC#	Rated Technical Criteria	Years of experience	Point Rating	Bidder's Response (Reference to Substantiating Materials includ
RTC11	The proposed resource should clearly demonstrate experience in the analysis and development of business processes (e.g., financial, operational, systems, etc.).	1 to 3 years 3+ to 5 years 5+ to 7 years 7+ to 9 years 9+ years	2 points 4 points 6 points 8 points 10 points	
RTC12	The proposed resource should clearly demonstrate experience in identifying opportunities for improvement and streamlining of business processes.	1 to 3 years 3+ to 5 years 5+ to 7 years 7+ to 9 years 9+ years	2 points 4 points 6 points 8 points 10 points	
RTC13	The proposed resource should clearly demonstrate experience identifying and evaluating critical business success parameters, factors and performance measurements.	1 to 3 years 3+ to 5 years 5+ to 7 years 7+ to 9 years 9+ years	2 points 4 points 6 points 8 points 10 points	
RTC14	The proposed resource should clearly demonstrate experience in the development and implementation of business improvement processes and programs.	1 to 3 years 3+ to 5 years 5+ to 7 years 7+ to 9 years 9+ years	2 points 4 points 6 points 8 points 10 points	
Maximum Points Available:		40		
Minimum Points Required:		28		

Insert:

Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation B8926-170500/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 002	Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8926-170500	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8926-170500	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

Resource #3 – B.3 Business Consultant, Level 2				
RTC#	Rated Technical Criteria	Years of experience	Point Rating	Bidder's Response (Reference to Substantiating Materials incl)
RTC11	The proposed resource should clearly demonstrate experience in the analysis and development of business processes (e.g., financial, operational, systems, etc.).	1 to 2 years 2+ to 3years 3+ to 4 years 4+ to 5 years 5+ years	2 points 4 points 6 points 8 points 10 points	
RTC12	The proposed resource should clearly demonstrate experience in identifying opportunities for improvement and streamlining of business processes.	1 to 2 years 2+ to 3years 3+ to 4 years 4+ to 5 years 5+ years	2 points 4 points 6 points 8 points 10 points	
RTC13	The proposed resource should clearly demonstrate experience identifying and evaluating critical business success parameters, factors and performance measurements.	1 to 2 years 2+ to 3years 3+ to 4 years 4+ to 5 years 5+ years	2 points 4 points 6 points 8 points 10 points	
RTC14	The proposed resource should clearly demonstrate experience in the development and implementation of business improvement processes and programs.	1 to 2 years 2+ to 3years 3+ to 4 years 4+ to 5 years 5+ years	2 points 4 points 6 points 8 points 10 points	
Maximum Points Available:		40		
Minimum Points Required:		28		

2. At Attachment 4.2 Point-Rated Technical Criteria, Item 2, Resources, 2.4 Resource #4 – B.4 Business Continuity / Disaster Recovery Specialist, Level 3

Delete:

RTC15	The proposed resource should clearly demonstrate experience providing advice and guidance to a Government of Canada (GoC) Federal Department, Agency or Crown Corporation in the area of business continuity planning and disaster recovery planning in a highly integrated and complex enterprise IM/IT environment (multiple system platforms with 1000+ users).
--------------	--

Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation B8926-170500/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 002	Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8926-170500	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8926-170500	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

Insert:

RTC15	The proposed resource should clearly demonstrate experience providing advice and guidance to a Government of Canada (GoC) Federal Department, Agency , Crown Corporation or Canadian Municipal Government in the area of business continuity planning and disaster recovery planning in a highly integrated and complex enterprise IM/IT environment (multiple system platforms with 1000+ users).
--------------	---

3. At Attachment 4.2 Point-Rated Technical Criteria, Item 2, Resources, 2.1 Resources 1- A.2 ERP Functional Analyst, Level 3, RTC6:

Delete:

RTC6	The proposed resource should clearly demonstrate experience working with any one of the programming languages (Java/.Net, ABAP, ASP 3.0, Cold Fusion Perl, Basic, C, C+, C++, C#, SQL, e-Script) and any one of the following middleware/frameworks: (Jaguar, Tomcat, Tuxedo, MSMQ, Oracle Portal, Citrix, MQ Series, Adobe, SSA-Name-III).	1 to 3 years 3+ to 5 years 5+ to 7 years 7+ to 9 years 9+ years	2 points 4 points 6 points 8 points 10 points		
-------------	---	---	---	--	--

Insert:

RTC6	The proposed resource should clearly demonstrate experience working with any one of the programming languages (Java/.Net, ABAP, ASP 3.0, Cold Fusion Perl, Basic, C, C+, C++, C#, SQL, e-Script) and any one of the following middleware/frameworks: (Jaguar, Tomcat, Tuxedo, MSMQ, Oracle Portal, Citrix, MQ Series, Adobe, SSA-Name-III) IIS and .NET Framework	1 to 3 years 3+ to 5 years 5+ to 7 years 7+ to 9 years 9+ years	2 points 4 points 6 points 8 points 10 points		
-------------	--	---	---	--	--

4. At Attachment 3.1 DELETE the form Attachment 3.1 in its entirety and

INSERT the revised Attachment 3.1

BID SUBMISSION FORM (revised November 1, 2017)	
Bidder's full legal name	

Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation B8926-170500/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 002	Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8926-170500	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8926-170500	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

Authorized Representative of Bidder for evaluation purposes (e.g., clarifications)	Name	
	Title	
	Address	
	Telephone #	
	Fax #	
	Email	
Number of the Supply Arrangement (SA) [Note to Bidders: Please ensure to provide your Supply Arrangement number.]		
Bidder's Procurement Business Number (PBN) [see the Standard Instructions 2003] [Note to Bidders: Please ensure that the PBN you provide matches the legal name under which you have submitted your bid. If it does not, the Bidder will be determined based on the legal name provided, not based on the PBN, and the Bidder will be required to submit the PBN that matches the legal name of the Bidder.]		
Jurisdiction of Contract: Province or territory in Canada the Bidder wishes to be the legal jurisdiction applicable to any resulting contract (if other than as specified in solicitation)		
Former Public Servants See the Article in Part 2 of the bid solicitation entitled Former Public Servant for a definition of "Former Public Servant".	Is the Bidder a FPS in receipt of a pension as defined in the bid solicitation? Yes ____ No ____ If yes, provide the information required by the Article in Part 2 entitled "Former Public Servant"	
	Is the Bidder a FPS who received a lump sum payment under the terms of the Work Force Adjustment Directive? Yes ____ No ____ If yes, provide the information required by the Article in Part 2 entitled "Former Public Servant"	
Security Clearance Level of Bidder [include both the level and the date it was granted] [Note to Bidders: Please ensure that the security clearance matches the legal name of the Bidder. If it		

Solicitation No. – N° de l’invitation B8926-170500/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 002	Buyer ID – Id de l’acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8926-170500	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8926-170500	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

does not, the security clearance is not valid for the Bidder.]		
<p>On behalf of the Bidder, by signing below, I confirm that I have read the entire bid solicitation including the documents incorporated by reference into the bid solicitation and I certify that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Bidder considers itself and its proposed resources able to meet all the mandatory requirements described in the bid solicitation; 2. This bid is valid for the period requested in the bid solicitation; 3. All the information provided in the bid is complete, true and accurate; and 4. If the Bidder is awarded a contract, it will accept all the terms and conditions set out in the resulting contract clauses included in the bid solicitation. 		
Signature of Authorized Representative of Bidder		