



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions  
- TPSGC**

**11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier**

**Place du Portage, Phase III**

**Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2**

**Gatineau**

**Quebec**

**K1A0S5**

**Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776**

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT**

**MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY  
REQUIREMENT

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

**Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Informatics Professional Services Division/Division des  
services professionnels en informatique

11 Laurier Street

11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III, 4C2

Gatineau

Quebec

K1A0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> PMESS - TBIPS		
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> W6369-16DE18/A		<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 006
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> W6369-16DE18		<b>Date</b> 2017-11-16
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$IPS-002-31906		
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 002ips.W6369-16DE18	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>	
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2017-11-29</b>		<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Francoeur-Massia, Diane		<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 002ips
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (613) 944-5687 ( )		<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>		

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
W6369-16-DE18/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
006

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
002ips

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
W6369-16-DE18

File No. - N° du dossier  
002ips.W6369-16-DE18

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

**Purpose:**

To respond to various enquiries regarding this solicitation and to make changes to the evaluation criteria.

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

**QUESTION 63**

In reference to R1 on Page 315 of the provided RFP, Point Rated Criteria for the C.8 Network Security Analyst – Level 3, would the Crown consider amending the question to include a Diploma (minimum 2 years) from a recognized college in the sciences, engineering or information management + 5 years of experience as a Network Security Analyst for full points?

**ANSWER 63**

The rated requirement specifically deals with education. Canada will not change this criteria as requested.

**QUESTION 64**

In reference to R1 on Page 315 of the provided RFP, Point Rated Criteria for the C.8 Network Security Analyst – Level 3, we would like to know if a B.A. in Economics would be considered for full points.

**ANSWER 64**

A B.A in Economics would be considered a sciences degree (Social Sciences).

**QUESTION 65**

We would like to request clarification to the Crown's answer to Question #48 of Amendment 004. The question was requesting that Bidders may include project information and a cross-reference to the substantiating information for each requirement in the resources resume instead of duplicating the content from the resources resume into the grids. The Crown answered the question by pointing Bidders to Attachment 4.1, which is the grids. In the grids there is a column for "Demonstrated Experience" and a column titled "Insert Page # of Resume". Based on these columns, it seems the Crown would like the substantiation directly in the grid. Given that there are 15 resources, if Bidders had to substantiate directly into the grids, the technical proposal would be well over 600 pages. In addition, the Crown is requesting 5 hard copies of the technical proposal. Given that this RFP is adhering to "Green Procurement", this is an unnecessary waste of paper. Would the Crown please allow Bidders to cross-reference in the grid to the exact location in the resources resume where the substantiating information can be found?

**ANSWER 65**

Please refer to the following paragraph in article 3.2 (iii) Substantiation of Technical Compliance:

"The substantiation may refer to additional documentation submitted with the bid - this information can be referenced in the "Bidder's Response" column of Attachments 4.1, where Bidders are requested to indicate where in the bid the reference material can be found, including the title of the document, and the page and paragraph numbers;"

**QUESTION 66**

For the G.9 GIS Systems Architect Level 3, R3, the Crown is only allowing for one point per ESRI Enterprise Certification in either Desktop, Developer or Enterprise. Would the Crown consider awarding

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
W6369-16-DE18/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
006

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
002ips

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
W6369-16-DE18

File No. - N° du dossier  
002ips.W6369-16-DE18

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

points per Certification rather than per category of Desktop; Developer or Enterprise. For example if a resource has 2 Certifications in Enterprise could they score two points?

**ANSWER 66**

DND has reviewed the request to award points per Certification. As stated in the evaluation criteria, Canada will only award points per category of certification. See Answer 30 of Amendment 004 as well. Canada will not change this criteria as requested.

Changes

All references to "Contractor" in Workstream A – Resource Criteria, and Workstream B – Resource Criteria, of Attachment 4.1 – Bid Evaluation Criteria, are changed to read "Bidder".

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME**