



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Electrical & Electronics Products Division

11 Laurier St./11, rue Laurier

7B3, Place du Portage, Phase III

Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet PERSONAL PORTABLE ALARM (PPA)	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 21120-184587/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 004
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 21120-184587	Date 2017-12-01
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$HN-445-73629	
File No. - N° de dossier hn445.21120-184587	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2018-01-04	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Ladouceur, Joanne M.	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur hn445
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 420-0340 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This amendment 004 is raised to provide clarification to the evaluation procedures as follows:

=====

DELETE: PART 4, EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION
4.1.1 Technical Evaluation

The Technical, Management and Support Bids should be concise and address, but not necessarily be limited to, the points that are subject to the evaluation criteria against which the Bid will be evaluated. Bidders should address the evaluation criteria in sufficient depth in their bid. Simply repeating the statement contained in the solicitation document is not sufficient. Bidders should explain and demonstrate how they propose to meet the requirements and how they will carry out the Work.

In order to facilitate the evaluation of the Bid, Canada requests:

- Bidders to address and present topics in the order of the Statement of Work (SOW) under the same headings.
- Bidders to avoid duplication by identifying the specific paragraph and page number where the subject topic has already been addressed in the Bid.

4.1.1.1 Mandatory Technical Criteria

Simply stating a compliancy to a criteria is insufficient. Bidders must present a clearly organized, printed (i.e., not handwritten) proposal that includes all necessary technical and descriptive information, in order to clearly demonstrate their compliancy to all items presented in the Statement of Work (SOW) at Annex A, as well as related specifications.

Responses will be evaluated on a simple, stringent pass/fail basis. Proposals not meeting each mandatory requirement will be considered non-responsive (non-compliant) and given no further consideration.

- Address, as described, Annex A, Statement of Work (SOW)
- Bidders must obtain the required minimum points (70%) for each of the following evaluation criteria – technical, management and support, which are subject to point rating;
- Bidders must obtain the required minimum points identified in Attachment 1 - Evaluation Criteria, Sections 1.1, 1.2.1, 2.1.3, 2.1.4, and 2.1.5.
- For each resource identified under Attachment 1 - Evaluation Criteria, Sections 2.1.3, 2.1.4 and 2.1.5, the following documentation must be provided in order to demonstrate the criteria.
 1. A detailed description, (including dates – Month and Year) the number of years of experience in the specified role for similar projects in terms of size, dollar value, complexity, tasks, clients, responsibilities etc.
- For each resource identified under Attachment 1 - Evaluation Criteria, Sections 2.1.3, 2.1.4 and 2.1.5, the following documentation must be provided in order to achieve a point score greater than 4.
 1. A copy of their certification, degree, diploma and /or demonstrated equivalent to demonstrate the criteria.

The technical bid should be structured in the same format as the Statement of Work presented at Annex A, through which the bidder will clearly explain and demonstrate how they propose to meet the requirements and how they will carry out the Work.

INSERT: PART 4, EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION
4.1.1 Technical Evaluation

The Technical, Management and Support Bids should be concise and address, but not necessarily be limited to, the points that are subject to the evaluation criteria against which the Bid will be evaluated. Bidders should

address the evaluation criteria in sufficient depth in their bid. Simply repeating the statement contained in the solicitation document is not sufficient. Bidders should explain and demonstrate how they propose to meet the requirements and how they will carry out the Work.

In order to facilitate the evaluation of the Bid, Canada requests:

- Bidders to address and present topics in the order of the Statement of Technical Requirements (STR) under the same headings.
- Bidders to avoid duplication by identifying the specific paragraph and page number where the subject topic has already been addressed in the Bid.

4.1.1.1 Mandatory Technical Criteria

Simply stating a compliancy to a criteria is insufficient. Bidders must present a clearly organized, printed (i.e., not handwritten) proposal that includes all necessary technical and descriptive information, in order to clearly demonstrate their compliancy to all items presented in the Statement of Technical Requirements (STR) at Annex A, as well as related specifications.

Responses will be evaluated on a simple, stringent pass/fail basis. Proposals not meeting each mandatory requirement will be considered non-responsive (non-compliant) and given no further consideration.

- Address, as described, Annex A, Statement of Technical Requirement (STR)
- Bidders must obtain the required minimum points (70%) for each of the following evaluation criteria – technical, management and support, which are subject to point rating;
- Bidder must clearly identify in their Bid the name of the following resources assigned to each institution:
 1. Project Manager;
 2. Primary Back-up Project Manager;
 3. Project Supervisor;
 4. Primary Back-up Project Supervisor;
 5. Technician; and
 6. Primary Back-up Technician,
- Bidders must obtain the required minimum points identified in Attachment 1 - Evaluation Criteria, Sections 1.1, 1.2.1, 2.2.1, 2.2.2 and 2.2.3.

The technical bid should be structured in the same format as the Statement of Work presented at Annex A, through which the bidder will clearly explain and demonstrate how they propose to meet the requirements and how they will carry out the Work.

=====

DELETE: ATTACHMENT 1, EVALUATION CRITERIA
1 Point Rated Project Management Proposal Criteria

Point Rated Project Management Proposal Criteria	Pts	Comments
<p>2.2.2 Project Management Procedures (a maximum of 12 points is available)</p> <p>This criterion will rate the systems used by the Bidders to implement their project management approach.</p> <p>(0 points) The proposal indicates that Project Management (PM) implementation is not addressed.</p>		

<p>(4 points) The proposal indicates that PM implementation is addressed but the Bidder has not provided sufficient details to demonstrate that a fully functional PM system is in place.</p> <p>(8 points) The proposal indicates that PM implementation is addressed but the Bidder has not provided sufficient details to demonstrate that a fully functional PM system is in place.</p> <p>(12 points) - As above plus:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Project management based on employment of Program Evaluation Review Technique (PERT) or Critical Path Method (CPM); b) Work breakdown structure is linked to project management; c) The PM system closely tracks status and progress of tasks. 		
---	--	--

INSERT: ATTACHMENT 1, EVALUATION CRITERIA
1 Point Rated Project Management Proposal Criteria

Point Rated Project Management Proposal Criteria	Pts	Comments
<p>2.2.3 Project Management Procedures (a maximum of 12 points is available)</p> <p>This criterion will rate the systems used by the Bidders to implement their project management approach.</p> <p>(0 points) The proposal indicates that Project Management (PM) implementation is not addressed.</p> <p>(4 points) The proposal indicates that PM implementation is addressed but the Bidder has not provided sufficient details to demonstrate that a fully functional PM system is in place.</p> <p>(8 points) The proposal indicates that PM implementation is addressed and the Bidder has provided sufficient details to demonstrate that a fully functional PM system is in place.</p> <p>(12 points) - As above plus:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> d) Project management based on employment of Program Evaluation Review Technique (PERT) or Critical Path Method (CPM); e) Work breakdown structure is linked to project management; f) The PM system closely tracks status and progress of tasks. 		

=====

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.