



**Royal Canadian Mounted Police
Gendarmerie royale du Canada**

**RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS A:**

RCMP-GRC
Bid Receiving/Réception des soumissions
Attention: Karen Moore
Mail Stop /Arrêt postal 15
73 chemin / Leikin Drive,
Ottawa, ON K1A 0R2

All persons delivering mail, parcels and bids to the Mail Parcel and Screening Facility will be asked to provide government photo identification and a contact number as part of an enhanced security protocol.

Dans le cadre d'un protocole de sécurité amélioré, toute personne qui livre le courrier, les colis et les soumissions à l'installation d'inspection du courrier et des colis devra désormais présenter une carte d'identité avec photo émise par le gouvernement et un numéro de téléphone.

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaries

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Telephone No. – No de téléphone:
()

Facsimile No. – No de télécopieur:
()

Title-Sujet Architectural and Engineering Design Services – Pangnirtung Detachment		Amendment No. – No Modification 002
Solicitation No. - No. de l'invitation 201803427	Date 4 December 2017	
Client Reference No. - No. De Référence du Client 201803427		
GETS Reference No. - No. de Référence de SEAG		
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin At/à 02:00pm EST/HNE On/le 19 December 2017		
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Destination/destinations		
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Karen Moore – Manager Construction & Real Property		
Telephone No. - No de téléphone 613-843-4886	Fax No. - N° de FAX:	
Destination of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destinations des biens, services et construction: See Herein / Voir aux présentes		
Instructions: See Herein Instructions : Voir aux présentes		
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée: See Herein/Voir aux présentes	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur		



Solicitation Amendment: 002

This solicitation amendment 002 has been raised to:

- (A) Identify changes to the RFP
- (B) Respond to question regarding the RFP

(A) CHANGES

Change: 3

At Appendix D - Consultant Team Identification Form:

Insert:

D.2.7 Fire Protection Engineer

Firm Name:

.....

Key Individuals (specialists) and provincial professional licensing status and/or professional accreditation:

.....

Change: 4 (English solicitation only)

At the RFP PART 1 - Supplementary Instructions to Proponents (SI), section SI4:

Delete: in its entirety.

Insert:

SI4 PROPOSAL VALIDITY PERIOD

1. Proposals will remain open for acceptance for a period of not less than 60 calendar days from the closing date of the solicitation.
2. Canada reserves the right to seek an extension to the proposal validity period from all responsive Proponents in writing, before the end of the proposal validity period.
3. If the extension is accepted by all responsive Proponents, Canada will continue with the evaluation of the proposals.
4. If the extension is not accepted by all responsive Proponents, Canada will, at its sole discretion, either:
 - (a) Continue with the evaluation of the proposals of those who have accepted the extension; or
 - (b) cancel the solicitation.
5. The provisions expressed herein do not in any manner limit Canada's rights in law or under GI11 of R1410T.

Change: 5

At Appendix F – Submission Requirements, Evaluation Procedures and Basis of Selection, section F.2.5.1 (paragraph above table):

Delete: The table below illustrates an example where all three bids are responsive and the selection of the contractor is determined by a 90/20 ratio of technical merit and price, respectively. The total available points equal 135 and the lowest evaluated price is \$45,000 (45).

Insert: The table below illustrates an example where all three bids are responsive and the selection of the contractor is determined by a 90/10 ratio of technical merit and price, respectively. The total available points equal 135 and the lowest evaluated price is \$45,000 (45).



Change: 6

At Appendix G – Evaluation Criteria, Rated Requirement 1:

Delete:

Select a **maximum** of 2 reference projects undertaken by the Proponent within the last 8 years. Proponent's that are joint venture submissions must select 2 reference projects per joint venture member. Only the first 2 projects listed in sequence will receive consideration and any others will not receive consideration.

Insert:

Select a **maximum** of 2 reference projects undertaken by the Proponent within the last 8 years. Proponent's that are joint venture submissions must select 2 reference projects per joint venture member. Only the first 2 reference projects listed for the Proponent, and if applicable the first 2 reference projects listed for each joint venture member, in sequence will receive consideration and any others will not receive consideration.

Change: 7

At Appendix G – Evaluation Criteria, Rated Requirement 2:

Delete:

Select a **maximum** of 2 reference projects undertaken by the Consultant Team key sub-consultant firms / specialists within the last 8 years per key sub-consultant firm / specialist. Only the first 2 projects listed in sequence will receive consideration and any others will not receive consideration.

Insert:

Select a **maximum** of 2 reference projects undertaken by the Consultant Team key sub-consultant firms / specialists within the last 8 years per key sub-consultant firm / specialist. Only the first 2 reference projects listed for each Consultant Team key sub-consultant firm/specialist, in sequence will receive consideration and any others will not receive consideration.

(B) QUESTIONS

Question: 3

Will requested civil (site servicing/grading), landscape architectural, costing and commissioning specialist sub consultants require RCMP Reliability Status clearance?

Answer: 3

Yes RCMP Reliability Status clearance is required for all A&E consultants in accordance with Appendix C – SRCL.

Question: 4

As per Appendix A, PD2, 2.1.3, is a scheduling specialist required?

Answer: 4

Scheduling must be completed by experienced personnel and in accordance with the services defined in Appendix A. This could include the A&E team or a specialist it is up to the Proponent to determine their approach to meeting the required services.

Question: 5

As per Appendix A, PD6, We would like confirmation that a Construction Manager (CM) is being contemplated for this work. It seems from PD 7.1 that they will not be selected until after the Design Development is completed. Please confirm.

Answer: 5

Yes, a CM is being contemplated for the delivery of the Pangnirtung Detachment. The intent is to have the CM on board by Design Development or sooner.



Question: 6

Has the RCMP built time into the project schedule for selection of a CM?

Answer: 6

Yes. The development of the CM competitive procurement is currently under way.

Question: 7

Will the consultant team be involved in assisting the RCMP with the selection of the CM?

Answer 7:

No. The RCMP will be completing the CM selection.

Question: 8

Typically it can be difficult to determine a total construction cost prior to project completion when a Construction Manager is involved. What is the intent?

Answer: 8

The Consultant is still required to complete costing in accordance with Appendix A and coordinate with the CM and assess costing generated by the CM.

Question: 9

The Construction Manager, when involved, typically is responsible for providing design team advice on construction techniques/phasing/tender packaging, scheduling and costing. It seems however that an independent scheduling specialist and cost consultant is still being requested. Is doubling up on these responsibilities with the consultant team intended?

Answer: 9

Yes, the A&E Consultant is still required to complete Scheduling and Costing services in accordance with the services in Appendix A. It is up to the Consultant to determine how to deliver the required services.

Question: 10

We also note that the consultant seems to be held accountable for redesigning at no extra cost should the project encounter cost overruns (RS 5.2.10/5.2.11) at time of tender. The Construction Manager typically holds responsibility for preparing estimates prior to tender packages being issued and would typically be held accountable for estimates that they prepare. What is the intent please?

Answer: 10

Please see response to Question 8 in this solicitation amendment.

Question: 11

PD 6.1.2 suggests that a construction start in Pangnirtung in spring of 2018 is required. Due to limited availability of materials at the site is this intended? We understand that materials will need to be brought in from the south, and that only limited delivery times during the late summer of 2018 are available. Is this statement therefore intended to read construction start in spring of 2019?

Answer: 11

PD 6.1.2 is correct. Design must be advanced enough to allow the CM to complete mobilization, site works and foundation in the summer of 2018.

Question: 12

The general schedule in PD 7 seems to conflict with the request that the first project tender package be ready for issue in April 2018 (PD 7.1.2/RS 4.4). Given that the project will be awarded to a consultant sometime in January 2018, we are concerned that the deadline for issuance for the first tender package might be too aggressive and not achievable? There does not seem to be enough time allocated to the security clearances (3 months), initial analysis/site study, the schematic design/report, the design development/report, the CM selection, and the establishment and issue of a first tender package in approximately 16 weeks (Jan.-April 2018) especially when the 2 week RCMP minimum review periods for each project milestone are incorporated. Is the schedule for the issuance of the first tender package flexible?



Answer: 12

Please see response to Question 11 in this solicitation amendment. Every effort must be made to advance the design so construction work can commence in the summer of 2018.

Question: 13

Will the first tender package also be subject to 33/66/99/100 percent reviews by the RCMP with associated 2 week minimum review periods for each stage?

Answer: 13

The entire design is required to follow each review stage as designed in Appendix A. Review periods can be shortened if approved by the Departmental Representative.

Question: 14

Where will the RCMP's project manager for this project be based?

Answer: 14

The RCMP Project Manager is located in Ottawa at the following address:
73 Leikin Drive, M1-4, Suite 202
Mailstop # 4
Ottawa, ON
K1A 0R2

Question: 15

As per RS 3.3.3.12, is a fire protection engineer required to be commissioned?

Answer: 15

Yes. Please reference Change 3 in this solicitation amendment.

Question: 16

Please confirm whether a wind and snow study has been performed. If not, please confirm whether this is included in the Consultant's required services, and whether a desktop analysis **or** physical model and simulation/analysis is required.

Answer: 16

Wind and snow study has not been performed, as noted throughout Appendix A it is part of the services the Proponent must deliver. Site analysis of weather including but not limited to wind, snow, rain, daylight, etc. Computer modeling also required in order to identify the critical live and dead loads to the structure and reports must be produced upon request for review and/or further analysis.

Question: 17

Please confirm whether Reliability Status security clearance is required to be in place for team members with access to classified or protected information, assets or sensitive work site(s) at (a) the time of Proposal submission, **or** (b) prior to commencement of the work.

Answer: 17

In accordance with the solicitation terms and conditions, SI7 Security Requirements and SC1 Security requirements, RCMP Reliability Status security clearance is required before the commencement of work.

Question: 18

Please confirm whether required security clearance is RCMP Reliability Status **or** CISD Reliability status.

Answer: 18

Please see response to Question 17 in this solicitation amendment.

Question: 19

Will cost estimates be performed by the Construction Manager engaged by the RCMP **or** by a Cost Consultant who should be part of the Consultant's multi-disciplinary team?



Answer: 19

Please see response to Question 8 in this solicitation amendment.

Question: 20

Please confirm whether a Security Specialist should be part of the Consultant's multi-disciplinary team.

Answer: 20

No, a security specialist is not required.

Question: 21

In Rated Requirements 2, Achievement of Sub-consultants on Projects, is the maximum 2 projects per sub-consultant or is it two projects for the entire section?

Answer: 21

In accordance Appendix G, Rated Requirement 2, the maximum 2 projects is per sub-consultant. Please reference Change 7 in this solicitation amendment.

Question: 22

Could the RCMP please make the existing documentation noted in PD 12 available to proponents by Amendment? If all of the information is not available for release, we would appreciate that at least the Phase I and Phase II Environmental Assessments be released to proponents such that the extent of site contamination/issues can be understood and so that an appropriate fixed fee to assist with specifying appropriate remediation can be included in our proposal.

Answer: 22

The existing documentation can not be released until the successful Proponent has obtain an RCMP reliability clearance. The environmental assessment report identifies a few location of petroleum spills by fuel oil tanks that must be remediated. The consultant under this contract must identify the scope of work for the CM to complete remediation and testing.

Question: 23

In Rated Requirements 1 – in the case of a joint venture, each member is asked to present 2 reference projects. However, it states that only the first 2 projects will receive consideration. Please clarify.

Answer: 23

Regarding Appendix G, Rated Requirement 1, the first 2 reference projects for the Proponent, and if applicable, the first 2 reference projects for each joint venture member, will receive consideration. Please reference Change 6 in this solicitation amendment.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.