



## RETURN BIDS TO:

## RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions  
- TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Quebec

K1A0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

## SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

## MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

### Comments - Commentaires

### Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

### Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services Division/Division des  
services professionnels en informatique

11 Laurier Street

11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III, 4C2

Gatineau

Quebec

K1A0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> CIIDS SUPPORT AND DEVELOPMENT	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> M7594-170644/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 002
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> M7594-170644	<b>Date</b> 2018-01-05
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$IPS-007-32040	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 007ips.M7594-170644	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2018-01-17</b>	<b>Time Zone</b> <b>Fuseau horaire</b> Eastern Standard Time EST
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Lavigne, Jay	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 007ips
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (873) 469-4987 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

**This solicitation 002 is raised to answer questions 01 through 14, 16 and 17 and to amend the RFP.**

**Question 015 will be answered at a later date**

**QUESTION 01:**

Section 7.2 (c) (i), sub-bullets (A) and (B): Each of sub-bullets (2) are blank. Is this intended to say "contractor"?

**Answer 01:**

at the time of contract award these spaces will be populated with the name of the contractor

**QUESTION 02:**

Section 7.2 (e) If the Contractor is provided a draft TA that inherently cannot be performed due to TA requirements (e.g. not technically possible, inadequate timeframe allotted for delivery, TA complexity commands greater than 5 days to properly respond, etc.), is the Contractor considered to be in the state of either "Refusal of Task Authorizations" or "Submission of a Response which is not Valid", as described, if the Contractor indicates inability to address the TA?

**Answer 02:**

Review the following areas of the RFP: RFP Part 7 Resulting Contract Clauses sub para 7.2 Task Authorization, Appendix A to Annex A SOW Tasking Assessment Procedure and Appendix B to Annex A SOW - Task Authorization Form. Throughout the RFP document the TA process is described as iterative.

**QUESTION 03:**

Annex A, Section 3.4: Number required of each resource category is listed as "estimated". Is the requirement to have resources, to the minimum numbers indicated, available for TA response?

**Answer 03:**

Resources may be required in numbers either higher or lower than those published as 'estimates'. The estimated numbers published are a best guess.

**QUESTION 04:**

RFP Section 7.2 Task Authorization (e) If the Contractor is requested to provide a role under a TA, but the role is already in use under the Contract to the count described at Annex A, Section 3.4, is the Contractor considered to have "refused" the TA if no additional capacity is available?

**Answer 04:**

it is expected that the Contractor will provide resources to the number requested by RCMP (based on the 'estimates' provided in the RFP).

**QUESTION 05:**

what is the required MAXIMUM number for each role described at Annex A, Section 3.4?

**Answer 05:**

Please see response to Q 3

**QUESTION 06:**

Is it permitted to utilize a resource, who is named to a selected role, for work other than what is explicitly required of that role? In other words, if all L1 and L2 programmers are in use already, and additional work is required of the L1/L2 role, is it permitted to propose an L3 Programmer to perform the work?

**Answer 06:**

Resources are not 'named' to roles. At the time of TA issuance the contractor must provide a qualified resource(s) that meets all of the criteria described in Appendix C to Annex A for that specified resource category(ies) and level(s)

**QUESTION 07:**

If the response to QUESTION 06 is affirmative, does this alter any of the responses to QUESTIONS 02 through 06, and if so how?

**Answer 07:**

N/A

**QUESTION 08:**

If the response to QUESTION 06 is affirmative, then using the situation described at QUESTION 06, please confirm that the rate used for the role would be the L3 rate.

**Answer 08:**

each per diem rate pertains specifically to a resource category and level that was bid by the contractor

**QUESTION 09:**

Once a TA is accepted, is the Contractor permitted to use one or more alternate resources to deliver the TA, provided that the deliverable(s) the TA are met, and billing for such alternate effort remains at the rate proposed (or lower, if a lower rate is defined for the role)?

**Answer 09:**

When an individual is proposed, evaluated and accepted in response to the issuance of a TA, only that resource is qualified to perform the work. The resources will be paid the per diem rate for the category and level they are proposed for in the contractors bid.

**QUESTION 10:**

Please confirm that the Contractor shall not be required to use a resource assigned to a role at less than the rate assigned to that role, unless there is mutual agreement.

**Answer 10:**

qualified individuals that have been proposed during the TA process will be paid the per diem rate for that category and level as published by the Contractor in their bid – rates are not negotiable

**QUESTION 11:** Please confirm that the Contractor shall not be required to use a resource assigned to a role for work that is not overtly and/or is not clearly suited to that role, unless there is mutual agreement.

**Answer 11:**

only resources who are qualified to a resource category and level will be used for work in this contract

**QUESTION 12 and 13:**

Section 7.26 Implementation vs Annex A, Section 3.1.3 Transition. All Transition-in activities will be raised and performed in accordance with SOW clauses 3.1.2 and 3.1.3

**ANSWER 12 AND 13:**

The RFP will be amended (below) to remove clause 7.26

**QUESTION 14:**

Annex A SOW, Section 2.3: Please confirm that all described responsibilities are stated as an expectation of work which may be requested of the Contractor under one or more appropriate Task Authorizations.

**Answer 14:**

All of the work described in the SOW is required throughout the life of the contract. Specific deliverables will be raised through the issuance of Task Authorizations.

**Question 015 will be answered at a later date**

**QUESTION 16:**

Annex A SOW Sections 3.1.1, 3.1.4, and 3.2, and all content within those sections and subsections: Please confirm that all described responsibilities and requirements of Annex A are stated as an expectation of work which may be requested of the Contractor under one or more appropriate Task Authorizations.

**Answer 16:**

See response to Q 13

**QUESTION 17:**

Will Canada reduce the present level of individual contracts (5) required for this bid, to (4)?

**Answer 17:**

Yes Canada will reduce the requirement in Mandatory criteria 2.1.1 from 5 contracts to 4 contracts – see RFP amendment below.

#### **Solicitation Amendment**

- 1) At Annex D Mandatory Criteria 2.1.1

DELETE: The Bidder must have been awarded at least five (5) contracts within which there has been a contractual obligation to deliver.....

INSERT: The Bidder must have been awarded at least four (4) contracts within which there has been a contractual obligation to deliver.....

2) At PART 7 - RESULTING CONTRACT CLAUSES Clause 7.26 Implementation

DELETE: in its entirety

**All other Terms and Conditions to remain the same**