



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC/Réception des soumissions –  
TPSGC**

**11 Laurier St/11, rue Laurier**

**Place du Portage, Phase III**

**Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2**

**Gatineau**

**Quebec**

**K1A 0S5**

**Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776**

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT**

**MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

**Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Business Operations Support Systems  
Division/Systèmes de soutien des activités  
opérationnelles  
Portage III 12C1 - 42  
11 Laurier Street/11, rue Laurier  
Gatineau  
Quebec  
K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> System Integration (SI) Services	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> 59017-160009/B	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 009
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> 20161265	<b>Date</b> 2018-01-25
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$XS-005-31998	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 005xs.59017-160009	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2018-02-05</b>	
<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> McManus, Robert	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 005xs
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (819) 420-2230 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

**System Integration Services for OSFI STTR Project, SBIPS RP# 59017-160009/B**

**Questions and Answers**

**Revision to Amendment number 3:**

**Delete answers to questions number 7 and 8 and replace with revised answers as stated below:**

**QUESTION 7:** In the Evaluation Criteria for R-8.6 SharePoint Development Specialist, item c) states the points available with regard to addressing “..each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d)”. Could the client please confirm that this should read “..each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph c)”?

**ANSWER 7:** Yes, the RFP has been corrected. Refer to RFP Change below. .

**QUESTION 8:** In the Evaluation Criteria for R-8.6 SharePoint Development Specialist, item d) states the points available with regard to addressing “..each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph e)”. Could the client please confirm that this should read “..each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d)”?

**ANSWER 8:** Yes, the RFP has been corrected. Refer to RFP Change below.

**RFP Changes**

1. At Attachment 4.1 – Technical Evaluation Criteria, Table R-8.6 SharePoint Development Specialist

**• DELETE:**

c) The Bidder should demonstrate, using project descriptions, that the resource has experience, on one project for a minimum duration of six months, within the six years preceding the issuance date of this RFP, prototyping and configuring the SharePoint platform version 2013 (or more recent) in client-facing prototyping sessions.	10	Up to 10 points per project (maximum 1 project) as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>10 points</b> – The Bidder’s response:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>i. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d); and</li><li>ii. demonstrates all aspects of the experience of the identified resource by providing a description of the work performed in the role;</li></ul></li><li>• <b>6 points</b> – The Bidder’s response:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>i. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d); and</li><li>ii. provides information which demonstrates some (but not all) aspects of the experience claimed;</li></ul></li><li>• <b>2 points</b> - The Bidder’s response:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>i. fails to address each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d); or</li><li>ii. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d) but does not provide any information which demonstrates the experience claimed; or</li></ul></li></ul>
---	----	---

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>0 points</b> – The Bidder’s response does not address the stated point rated criteria in paragraph d).</li> </ul>
d) The Bidder should demonstrate, using project descriptions, that the resource has experience, on one project for a minimum duration of six months, within the six years preceding the issuance date of this RFP, working with and developing a managed metadata-based Information Architecture for a SharePoint version 2010 (or more recent) implementation.	10	<p>Up to 10 points per project (maximum 1 project) as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>10 points</b> – The Bidder’s response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph e); and</li> <li>ii. demonstrates all aspects of the experience of the identified resource by providing a description of the work performed in the role;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>6 points</b> – The Bidder’s response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph e); and</li> <li>ii. provides information which demonstrates some (but not all) aspects of the experience claimed;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>2 points</b> - The Bidder’s response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. fails to address each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph e); or</li> <li>ii. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph e) but does not provide any information which demonstrates the experience claimed; or</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>0 points</b> – The Bidder’s response does not address the stated point rated criteria in paragraph e).</li> </ul>

- **And INSERT:**

c) The Bidder should demonstrate, using project descriptions, that the resource has experience, on one project for a minimum duration of six months, within the six years preceding the issuance date of this RFP, prototyping and configuring the SharePoint platform version 2013 (or more recent) in client-facing prototyping sessions.	10	<p>Up to 10 points per project (maximum 1 project) as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>10 points</b> – The Bidder’s response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph c); and</li> <li>ii. demonstrates all aspects of the experience of the identified resource by providing a description of the work performed in the role;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>6 points</b> – The Bidder’s response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph c); and</li> <li>ii. provides information which demonstrates some (but not all) aspects of the experience claimed;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>2 points</b> - The Bidder’s response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. fails to address each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph c); or</li> <li>ii. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph c) but does not provide any information which demonstrates the experience claimed; or</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
---	----	---

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>0 points</b> – The Bidder’s response does not address the stated point rated criteria in paragraph c).</li> </ul>
<p>d) The Bidder should demonstrate, using project descriptions, that the resource has experience, on one project for a minimum duration of six months, within the six years preceding the issuance date of this RFP, working with and developing a managed metadata-based Information Architecture for a SharePoint version 2010 (or more recent) implementation.</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>Up to 10 points per project (maximum 1 project) as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>10 points</b> – The Bidder’s response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d); and</li> <li>ii. demonstrates all aspects of the experience of the identified resource by providing a description of the work performed in the role;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>6 points</b> – The Bidder’s response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d); and</li> <li>ii. provides information which demonstrates some (but not all) aspects of the experience claimed;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>2 points</b> - The Bidder’s response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. fails to address each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d); or</li> <li>ii. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d) but does not provide any information which demonstrates the experience claimed; or</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>0 points</b> – The Bidder’s response does not address the stated point rated criteria in paragraph d).</li> </ul>