



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC/Réception des soumissions –
TPSGC**

11 Laurier St/11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Quebec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Business Operations Support Systems
Division/Systèmes de soutien des activités
opérationnelles
Portage III 12C1 - 42
11 Laurier Street/11, rue Laurier
Gatineau
Quebec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet System Integration (SI) Services	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 59017-160009/B	Amendment No. - N° modif. 009
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20161265	Date 2018-01-25
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$XS-005-31998	
File No. - N° de dossier 005xs.59017-160009	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2018-02-05	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: McManus, Robert	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 005xs
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 420-2230 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

System Integration Services for OSFI STTR Project, SBIPS RP# 59017-160009/B

Questions and Answers

Revision to Amendment number 3:

Delete answers to questions number 7 and 8 and replace with revised answers as stated below:

QUESTION 7: In the Evaluation Criteria for R-8.6 SharePoint Development Specialist, item c) states the points available with regard to addressing “..each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d)”. Could the client please confirm that this should read “..each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph c)”?

ANSWER 7: Yes, the RFP has been corrected. Refer to RFP Change below. .

QUESTION 8: In the Evaluation Criteria for R-8.6 SharePoint Development Specialist, item d) states the points available with regard to addressing “..each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph e)”. Could the client please confirm that this should read “..each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d)”?

ANSWER 8: Yes, the RFP has been corrected. Refer to RFP Change below.

RFP Changes

1. At Attachment 4.1 – Technical Evaluation Criteria, Table R-8.6 SharePoint Development Specialist

• **DELETE:**

c) The Bidder should demonstrate, using project descriptions, that the resource has experience, on one project for a minimum duration of six months, within the six years preceding the issuance date of this RFP, prototyping and configuring the SharePoint platform version 2013 (or more recent) in client-facing prototyping sessions.	10	Up to 10 points per project (maximum 1 project) as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 10 points – The Bidder's response:<ul style="list-style-type: none">i. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d); andii. demonstrates all aspects of the experience of the identified resource by providing a description of the work performed in the role;• 6 points – The Bidder's response:<ul style="list-style-type: none">i. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d); andii. provides information which demonstrates some (but not all) aspects of the experience claimed;• 2 points - The Bidder's response:<ul style="list-style-type: none">i. fails to address each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d); orii. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d) but does not provide any information which demonstrates the experience claimed; or
---	----	---

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0 points – The Bidder's response does not address the stated point rated criteria in paragraph d).
d) The Bidder should demonstrate, using project descriptions, that the resource has experience, on one project for a minimum duration of six months, within the six years preceding the issuance date of this RFP, working with and developing a managed metadata-based Information Architecture for a SharePoint version 2010 (or more recent) implementation.	10	<p>Up to 10 points per project (maximum 1 project) as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 points – The Bidder's response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph e); and ii. demonstrates all aspects of the experience of the identified resource by providing a description of the work performed in the role; • 6 points – The Bidder's response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph e); and ii. provides information which demonstrates some (but not all) aspects of the experience claimed; • 2 points – The Bidder's response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. fails to address each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph e); or ii. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph e) but does not provide any information which demonstrates the experience claimed; or • 0 points – The Bidder's response does not address the stated point rated criteria in paragraph e).

- **And INSERT:**

c) The Bidder should demonstrate, using project descriptions, that the resource has experience, on one project for a minimum duration of six months, within the six years preceding the issuance date of this RFP, prototyping and configuring the SharePoint platform version 2013 (or more recent) in client-facing prototyping sessions.	10	<p>Up to 10 points per project (maximum 1 project) as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 points – The Bidder's response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph c); and ii. demonstrates all aspects of the experience of the identified resource by providing a description of the work performed in the role; • 6 points – The Bidder's response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph c); and ii. provides information which demonstrates some (but not all) aspects of the experience claimed; • 2 points – The Bidder's response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. fails to address each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph c); or ii. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph c) but does not provide any information which demonstrates the experience claimed; or
---	----	---

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0 points – The Bidder's response does not address the stated point rated criteria in paragraph c).
d) The Bidder should demonstrate, using project descriptions, that the resource has experience, on one project for a minimum duration of six months, within the six years preceding the issuance date of this RFP, working with and developing a managed metadata-based Information Architecture for a SharePoint version 2010 (or more recent) implementation.	10	<p>Up to 10 points per project (maximum 1 project) as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 points – The Bidder's response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d); and ii. demonstrates all aspects of the experience of the identified resource by providing a description of the work performed in the role; • 6 points – The Bidder's response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d); and ii. provides information which demonstrates some (but not all) aspects of the experience claimed; • 2 points – The Bidder's response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. fails to address each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d); or ii. addresses each item of the point rated criteria in paragraph d) but does not provide any information which demonstrates the experience claimed; or • 0 points – The Bidder's response does not address the stated point rated criteria in paragraph d).