



**RETURN BIDS TO:**  
**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**  
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -  
TPSGC  
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier  
Place du Portage, Phase III  
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2  
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5  
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT**  
**MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**  
**Raison sociale et adresse du**  
**fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**  
Defence Communications Division. (QD)  
11 Laurier St./11, rue Laurier  
Place du Portage, Phase III, 8C2  
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> LTSSC	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> W8486-184111/C	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 008
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> W8486-184111	<b>Date</b> 2018-01-30
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$QD-038-26554	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 038qd.W8486-184111	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2018-03-02</b>	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Weronski, Radek	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 038qd
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (819) 420-1774 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

The amendment 008 is raised to answer questions from potential bidders and update the RFP if necessary.

As of Monday January 29<sup>th</sup> 2018, please address your questions/comments to Radek Weronski ([Radek.Weronski@tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca](mailto:Radek.Weronski@tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca)).

## **1- Questions from Potential Bidders and Answers from Canada:**

### **Q34**

Reference: Appendix 6 to Annex A Labour Categories, 2.11 Training Developer

Can Canada broaden the allowable disciplines for the university/college education for the Training Developer, specifically a Bachelor of Arts University Degree?

### **A34**

See Q23, Amendment 007.

### **Q35**

Reference: Attachment 2 to Part 4 of the RFP, RFP Technical Compliance Matrix and Mandatory Requirement Checklist

The following sentence in Table A2-1 Technical Checklist, Mandatory Financial Evaluation Criteria: "Bidders must complete and submit Tables A3-7 through A3-11 with their Financial Bid." should read: "Bidders must complete and submit Tables A3-8 through A3-12 with their Financial Bid." as Table A3-7 is a table to be completed for the Technical Bid not the Financial Bid.

### **A35**

See updated Att 2 to part 4 attached to amendment 008.

### **Q36**

Reference: APPENDIX 6 TO ANNEX A, 2.4 Software Systems Engineer

Legally in Canada the title of Engineer cannot be used unless the individual hold a Professional Engineering (PEng) designation. Is there an implied mandatory requirement for the Software Systems Engineer to have a PEng designation or will the title of this position be changed?

### **A36**

The decision not to make this requirement exclusively apply to Professional Engineer will not be changed.

**Q37**

Reference: RFP, PART 4, ATTACHMENT 3 BID EVALUATION

Please confirm that the wording "e. The proposed resources has relevant knowledge and experience that exceeds all the subject areas – 100 points" in sections 1.4.5 Lead Systems Architect Experience and 1.4.6 Task Engineering Support Experience means Canada is looking for the Bidder to indicate additional "Additional Assets" than those listed in Appendix 6 and that these are at the discretion of the Bidder so long as they are relative to the position.

**A37**

This is confirmed.

**Q38**

Reference: ITB Bidders Instructions, Appendix B-Rated Criteria Certificate

Please confirm how to properly complete the "Portion of Commitment involving Canadian Companies" and the "Portion of Commitment involving Post-Secondary Institutions or Public Research Institutions" rows in the R&D table (ie, the two middle rows in the table).

**A38**

Using an example scenario in which a bidder submits a total R&D Commitment of 10 percent (consisting of 1 percent minimum requirement plus a 9 percent Commitment), and if the bidder intends to split the investment 50 percent with Canadian Companies and 50 percent with Post-Secondary or Public Research Institutions, then the value indicated should be 5 percent in "Portion of Commitment involving Canadian Companies" and 5 percent in "Portion of Commitment involving Post-Secondary Institutions or Public Research Institutions."

**The multiplier will be applied to the Total Post-Secondary or Public Research Institutions Commitment.** In the example for Bidder A below, it would apply to the full 5 percent.

See table of example scenarios regarding the R&D Commitment:

Research and Development	Bidder A Commitment	Bidder B Commitment	Bidder C Commitment	Maximum Points Available
Commitment above 1%	9%	10%	5.5%	50
Portion of Commitment involving Canadian Companies	5%	7%	3%	(1X multiplier)

Portion of Commitment involving Post-Secondary Institutions or Public Research Institutions	5%	4%	3.5%	(2X multiplier)
Total Commitment (1% + Bidder's additional Commitment)	10%	11%	6.5%	
<b>Point Accumulation</b>	$5 + (5 \times 2) = 15$	$7 + (4 \times 2) = 15$	$3 + (3.5 \times 2) = 10$	
<b>Score for R&amp;D</b>	$50 \times (15/15) =$ <b>50</b>	$50 \times (15/15) =$ <b>50</b>	$50 \times (10/15) =$ <b>33.33</b>	

**Q39**

Reference: Annex D, ITB Terms and Conditions, Para 1.1.8

"Is it Canada's intent to limit transactions to those specific sub-sectors of the Defence Sector indicated in Appendix E to Annex D, or is Canada simply hi-lighting selected sub-sectors that are expected to be applicable to the work?"

**A39**

Research and Development (R&D) Transactions that a bidder chooses to submit must involve the sub-sectors outlined in Appendix E (Defence Sector definition), as the strategic Value Proposition objective for this project is to strengthen and grow Canadian capabilities in the land-based, military C4ISR domain. R&D involving dual-use capabilities (having both defence and commercial applications) is included.

**Q40**

Reference: Annex D, ITB Terms and Conditions, Para 1.1.29

Tailoring of this clause is required as no platform or system is being procured under this service contract.

**A40**

**Delete:**

1.1.29 "**Tier One Supplier**" means a company that performs a specific portion of the Work directly for the Contractor, producing or servicing a major subassembly or major component that is installed or used in the platform or system being procured under this Contract;

**Insert:**

1.1.29 "**Tier One Supplier**" means a company that performs a specific portion of the Work directly for the Contractor, producing or servicing a major subassembly or major component that is installed or used in the platform or system being **serviced** under this Contract;

#### Q41

Reference: Annex D, ITB Terms and Conditions, Para 3.1.6

Typically indirect transactions take time to mature and CCV achievements to be credited. Suggest the two year and four year interim milestones be reduced.

#### A41

It is expected that a large proportion of the ITB work will be Direct Transactions, especially as there is a minimum Commitment required of 70 percent Direct Transactions. However, the following change is made:

#### Delete:

- 3.1.2. The Contractor must achieve its Value Proposition Obligations in Article 3.1.2 on the following timeline:
  - 3.1.2.2. At two (2) years following the date of the Contract award such that the cumulative achievements against Value Proposition Obligations total not less than 35 percent of total Value Proposition Obligations.
  - 3.1.2.3. At four (4) years following the date of the Contract award such that the cumulative achievements against Value Proposition Obligations total not less than 75 percent of total Value Proposition Obligations.
  - 3.1.2.4. By the end of the Achievement Period such that the cumulative achievements against Value Proposition Obligations total not less than 100 percent of total Value Proposition Obligations.

#### Insert:

- 3.1.6. The Contractor must achieve its Value Proposition Obligations in Article 3.1.2 on the following timeline:
  - 3.1.6.1. At two (2) years following the date of the Contract award such that the cumulative achievements against Value Proposition Obligations total not less than **25** percent of total Value Proposition Obligations.
  - 3.1.6.2. At four (4) years following the date of the Contract award such that the cumulative achievements against Value Proposition Obligations total not less than **65** percent of total Value Proposition Obligations.
  - 3.1.6.3. By the end of the Achievement Period such that the cumulative achievements against Value Proposition Obligations total not less than 100 percent of total Value Proposition Obligations.

#### Q42

Reference: Annex D, ITB Terms and Conditions, Para 3.1.6

Bidders have expressed concern regarding the exercise of contract remedies such as holdbacks and liquidated damages, and the relation to timely awarding of ITB credits by Canada for achievements.

**A42**

ISED maintains a service standard of six months for verification of ITB annual reporting. The effect caused by delays on Canada's part will be considered if contract remedies are being pursued.

**Q43**

Reference: Annex D, ITB Terms and Conditions, Para 9.2.14

Fees paid to ITB consultants are ineligible costs. Please confirm.

**A43**

Fees paid to ITB consultants will remain ineligible for ITB credit.

**Q44**

Reference: Annex D, ITB Terms and Conditions, Para 18.5.1 and Para 18.5.1.2

As was recognized in the RFP for Canadian Surface Combatant, request that this clause be amended such that the Contractor be obligated, in the event of termination for default, to identify 100% of the value of the "estimated cost at completion" rather of the Contract Price.

**A44**

No change. Contract Price in this context refers to the total value of payments that would be due to the Contractor by Canada under the terminated contract.

**Q45**

Reference: Attachment 3, ITB Evaluation Plan, Table 2-1

Should the commitment at Row 5 also refer to the two sub-commitments for industry and post-secondary R&D?

**A45**

No. Table 2-1 is the Mandatory Requirements Evaluation Chart. For R&D, only the 1 percent minimum Commitment is a mandatory requirement. It is the bidder's choice as to where the R&D investment is placed between Canadian Companies and Post-secondary or Public Research Institutions.

**Q46**

Reference: Attachment 3, ITB Evaluation Plan, Table 4-1

What would the pro-rating calculation for Direct Transaction Commitments look like?

**A46**

Using an example scenario with two bidders including Total Commitments of 90% and 95% respectively, for Direct Transactions, the pro-rated points scoring would be as follows:

<b>Direct Transactions</b>	Bidder A Commitment	Bidder B Commitment	Maximum Available Points
Commitment above 70%	20%	25%	30
Total Commitment (70%+ Bidder's additional Commitment)	90%	95%	
<b>Score for Direct Transactions</b>	$30 \times (20/25) = 24$	$30 \times (25/25) = 30$	

**Q47**

Reference: Attachment 3, ITB Evaluation Plan, Table 4-3

What would the pro-rating calculation for Small and Medium Business Commitments look like?

**A47**

Using an example scenario with two bidders including Total Commitments of 25% and 30% respectively, for SMB Commitments, the pro-rated points scoring would be as follows:

<b>Small and Medium Business (SMB)</b>	Bidder A Commitment	Bidder B Commitment	Maximum Available Points
Commitment above 10%	15%	20%	20
Total Commitment (10%+ Bidder's additional Commitment)	25%	30%	
<b>Score for SMB Transactions</b>	$20 \times (15/20) = 15$	$20 \times (20/20) = 20$	

**Q48**

Reference: Attachment 3 to Part 4, ITB Evaluation Plan, Para 4.2

Paragraph is incomplete.

**A48**

See Modification 2.2.

**Q49**

Reference: RFP Annex D, ITB Terms and Conditions, Section 1 defines a Small and Medium Business as "a Canadian Company with fewer than 250 full-time personnel as of the date of entering into a Transaction. Agents and distributors of foreign goods and services, as well as subsidiaries of the Contractor or an Eligible Donor on any contract with IRB/ITB obligations do not qualify as SMB."

Please confirm "subsidiaries of the Contractor or an Eligible Donor on any contract with IRB/ITB obligations" applies only to Eligible Donors with CURRENT IRB/ITB obligations (vice former IRB/ITB obligations that have been 100% satisfied and closed) when excluding these SMBs from being an Eligible Donor.

**A49**

Subsidiaries of a company that is an Eligible Donor under an open contract with ITB/IRB obligations do not qualify as SMB.

**Q50**

Can Canada please release an ITB transaction sheet template that is suitable for Bidders to copy and populate?

**A50**

A standard electronic template for transaction sheets can be downloaded from the Industrial and Technological Benefits website at the following link:  
<http://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/086.nsf/eng/00143.html>

This electronic template can be used and modified as necessary to include any additional information outlined in the Transaction Sheet template included in the RFP.

**Q51**

What is the ITB start date for this program?

**A51**

The start date and duration of the ITB Achievement Period is indicated in Section 1 ("Definitions") of the ITB Terms and Conditions. Refer to definition of "Achievement Period."

## **Q52**

Reference: ITB, VP - Bidder Instructions, Section 5.8.7.5.-Valuation and time phasing

Is it correct, based on this section 5.8.7.5, that the evaluation of Research and Development commitments is based on the raw dollar value of the R&D investment, before any multipliers are applied?

## **A52**

In regards to this bid evaluation, R&D scoring is based only on the bidders' Commitment, not identified Transactions. The Commitment must be stated as a percentage of the bidder's Total Evaluated Cost of Bid. The bidder's stated Commitment will become a contractual obligation to fulfill within the Achievement Period as per the ITB Terms and Conditions. The ITB Terms and Conditions describe the types of Transactions that may be undertaken over the course of the Contract, some of which do permit the application of multipliers if their criteria are deemed to be sufficiently met.

Article 5.8.7.5 of the ITB-Value Proposition Bidder Instructions means that if the bidder decides to identify Research and Development (R&D) Transactions in its bid, the bidder must state the value of the initial investment, not including any multiplier that may be awarded to the contractor when achieving ITB credits during annual reporting periods.

## **Q53**

Reference: Value assessments of price percentiles for the VP Bid as denoted in Part 2, Attachment 1, Section 6; Part 4, Attachment 3, Table 2-1; Part 4, Attachment 3, Tables 4-1 through 4-3

Can Canada please confirm whether these value of price percentiles are to be calculated excluding/not including options or including options exercised?

## **A53**

Please be aware that this contract is for 5 years with no option years. At bid time and for the purposes of the bid evaluation, the Bidder's Commitments to undertake Transactions can only be expressed as a percentage of the Total Evaluated Cost of Bid. Following contract award, the Contractor is responsible for achieving its Commitments in the Bid as a percentage of the Contract Price.

For clarity, the Contract Price refers to the cumulative value, excluding taxes, of payments made by Canada to the Contractor for Work performed under the Contract. As there may be Work performed on a task-by-task basis, the Contract Price will increase over the life of the Contract to reflect payments made for tasks issued under the Contract. The Contractor will be responsible for achieving its Commitments against this cumulative value of payments by the end of the Achievement Period.

## Q54

Reference: Attachment 3 to Part 4 of the RFP, Paragraph 4.2 and Tables 4-1, 4-2 and 4-3 for VP Rated Points

Can Canada explain the meaning of the first sentence of Paragraph 4.2: "In the event that the Bidder identifies Commitments or proposed Transactions in its Bid valued at more than 100 percent of the Total Evaluated Cost of Bid, no additional points will be earned in the rated evaluation, above those outlined in the Evaluation Plan."

## A54

In the bid evaluation, Commitments of 100 percent or Commitments above 100 percent in any one of the three Value Proposition (VP) pillars will receive the maximum available points for that pillar, as outlined in the Evaluation Plan. Commitments that are lower than 100 percent can only be pro-rated against a maximum of 100 percent. For example, in a scenario with three bidders, if Bidder A makes a Commitment of 120 percent in the Small and Medium Business (SMB) VP pillar, Bidder B makes a Commitment of 100 percent, and Bidder C makes a Commitment of 90 percent, then Bidders A and B would both receive the maximum available points for the SMB VP pillar, while Bidder C would receive a pro-rated score of 90 divided by 100, multiplied by the maximum available points in the SMB pillar.

Note that if a bidder makes a Commitment that exceeds 100 percent in any one of the three VP pillars, this Commitment will become an Obligation under the Contract. Additionally, if the sum of Commitments amongst all three VP pillars equals a percentage greater than 100 percent, this cumulative value greater than 100 percent will also become a Commitment under the contract. Although proposed VP Transactions are not scored in this bid evaluation, the Canadian Content Value (CCV) of the Transactions in a given VP pillar or the bidder's Commitment in that VP pillar, whichever is higher, will become a Commitment under the Contract.

## 2- Modifications to the Request for Proposals.

### 2.1 At: Part 2 – Bidder Instructions, article 2.4 Enquiries – Bid Solicitation

**Delete:** All enquiries must be submitted in writing to the Contracting Authority no later than ten (10) calendar days before the bid closing date. Enquiries received after that time may not be answered.

**Insert:** All enquiries must be submitted in writing to the Contracting Authority no later than fifteen (15) calendar days before the bid closing date. Enquiries received after that time may not be answered.

### 2.2 At Attachment 3 to Part 4, Para 4.2

**Delete:** Para 4.2 in its entirety

**Insert:** In the event that the Bidder identifies Commitments or proposed Transactions in its Bid valued at more than 100 percent of the Total Evaluated Cost of Bid, no additional points will be earned in the rated evaluation, above those outlined in the Evaluation Plan. Additionally in this

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
**W8486-184111/C**  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
**008**  
File No. - N° du dossier

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
**038qd**  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

event, the Obligation values in Article 3.1.1 of the Terms and Conditions (including the sub-obligations) would be increased to match the total value of those **Transactions**.

**All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.**

**ATTACHMENT 2  
TO  
PART 4 OF THE RFP**

**RFP TECHNICAL COMPLIANCE MATRIX AND  
MANDATORY REQUIREMENT CHECKLIST**

**LAND C4ISR  
TRANSITION SOFTWARE SUPPORT**

## 1 Technical Checklist

The following instructions must be adhered to by the bidders:

- a. Bidders should complete the checklist below and include it in the Volume I General Bid Requirements bid. This checklist attempts to capture the mandatory bid deliverable items contained within this solicitation document in one location. The Bidder remains fully responsible to ensure all mandatory requirements of the bid solicitation are met, even if a mandatory deliverable item is not included in this list.
- b. This list does not address the actual content requirements for each deliverable. The Bidder is fully responsible to ensure it addresses the content requirements as detailed in the applicable sections of the bid solicitation.
- c. This list does address deliverables that are not required with the bid but must be provided prior to award of a contract. It is the responsibility of the Bidder to meet such requirements as contained in the bid solicitation.
- d. This list does not preclude bidders from including additional information to support their bid.
- e. The following Administrative Checklist may be used by the bidder to ensure that all documents and supporting documents required by Canada to evaluate their bid are provided as requested.

**Table A2-1 Technical Checklist**

Section Reference		Submitted?
<b>Section 1 – Technical Bid</b> - Four (4) hard copies and One (1) soft copy as per section 3.1 of RFP.		
Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria		Submitted?
Annex A SOW	SOW Compliance Matrix	Yes / No
Attachment 3 to Part 4, article 1.3.1 Core Program Management Criteria	The Bidder must submit a complete Program Management Plan (PMP) as outlined in Appendix 5 as per DID 100.001.	Yes / No
Attachment 3 to Part 4, article 1.3.1 Core Program Management Criteria	The Bidder must clearly demonstrate how, when and where they have successfully implemented the proposed PMP on an alternate contract.	Yes / No

Attachment 3 to Part 4, article 1.3.1 Core Program Management Criteria	The Bidder must provide at least one reference check, with accurate contact information.	Yes / No
Attachment 3 to Part 4, Article 1.3.2 Core Engineering Management Requirement Criteria	The Bidder must submit a complete Systems Engineering Management Plan (SEMP) as outlined in Appendix 5 as per DID 200.001.	Yes / No
Attachment 3 to Part 4, Article 1.3.2 Core Engineering Management Requirement Criteria	The Bidder must clearly demonstrate how, when and where they have successfully implemented the proposed SEMP on an alternate contract.	Yes / No
Attachment 3 to Part 4, Article 1.3.2 Core Engineering Management Requirement Criteria	The Bidder must provide at least one reference check, with accurate contact	Yes / No
Attachment 3 to Part 4, Article 1.3.3 Personnel Requirement Criteria	The Bidder must provide resumes for the key personnel identified in Appendix 6 to Annex A. The resumes must contain, at a minimum, the information listed at article 1.3.3 of Attachment 3 to Part 4. The Bidder may use the Bidder's Team members to meet the Personnel Requirement Criteria.	Yes / No
Attachment 3 to Part 4, Article 1.3.3 Personnel Requirement Criteria	The Bidder must demonstrate compliance in response to Appendix 6 to Annex A - which provides specific position requirements. The Bidder must provide sufficient information to substantiate that the candidates meet the requirement.	Yes / No
Attachment 3 to Part 4, Article 1.3.3 Personnel Requirement Criteria	The Bidder is required to demonstrate accessibility of personnel through the certification that teaming agreement are in place.	Yes / No
Attachment 3 to Part 4, Article 1.3.3 Personnel Requirement Criteria	The Bidder must provide copies of diplomas for the highest level of educational qualification stated in the resumes to meet the educational requirement.	Yes/No
<b>Point Rated Evaluation Criteria</b>		<b>Submitted?</b>
Attachment 3 to Part 4, Article 1.4 Rated Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria	The Bidder is required to provide the scoring table with their proposed score	Yes/No

Attachment 3 to Part 4, Article 1.4.1 Program Management Experience	The Bidder should submit documentary evidence of two (2) recent examples in performing work of similar scope and scale.	Yes / No
Attachment 3 to Part 4, Article 1.4.2 Performance Based Contracting Experience	The Bidder should provide documentary evidence of two (2) recent examples of work performed under a performance based contracting regime.	Yes / No
Attachment 3 to Part 4, Article 1.4.3 System Engineering Management Experience	The Bidder should provide documentary evidence of two (2) recent examples of implementing the proposed Engineering Management Plan.	Yes / No
Attachment 3 to Part 4, Article 1.4.4 Core Engineering Experience	The Bidder should provide documentary evidence of the six (6) core engineering disciplines identified.	Yes / No
Attachment 3 to Part 4, article 2.4.5/2.4.6 Resource Capability Experience	The Bidder should provide documentary evidence of capability of nine (9) resources available to meet the requirement of task-based work.	Yes / No
<b>Mandatory Financial Evaluation Criteria</b>		Submitted?
Attachment 3 to Part 4, article 2.1	Bidders must complete and submit Tables A3-8 through A3-12 with their Financial Bid.	Yes /No

## 2 SOW Compliance Matrix

The following SOW Compliance Matrix should be used and submitted by the bidder.

**Table A2-2 – SOW Compliance Matrix and Point Response**

Reference in SOW	Heading	Compliance	Reference in Bid	Comments
1.1	Aim	Noted		
1.2	Scope	Noted		
1.3	Background and Land C4ISR High Level Description	Noted		
1.4	Electronic Document Format	Yes		
1.5	Applicable Documents	Noted		
1.6	Statement of Work Structure	Noted		
1.7	Authorities and Responsibilities	Yes		
2	General Requirements	Noted		

Reference in SOW	Heading	Compliance	Reference in Bid	Comments
2.1	Core Work	Yes		
2.2	Task-Based Work	Yes		
3	Core Management Services	Noted		
3.1	General	Yes		
3.2	Program Management Core work	Yes		
3.3	Program Management Plan	Yes		
3.4	Monitoring and Control	Yes		
3.5	Task Management	Yes		
3.6	Risk Management	Yes		
3.7	Canada Owned Resources Management	Yes		
3.8	Configuration and Data Management (CM-DM) services	Yes		
3.9	Security	Yes		
3.10	Travel	Yes		
4	Core Engineering Support Services	Yes		
4.1	System Engineering Management	Yes		
4.2	Software Engineering	Yes		
4.3	Software Systems Integration and Testing	Yes		
4.4	Software Baseline Change Management	Yes		
4.5	Quality Assurance	Yes		
4.6	Problem Management Support	Yes		
4.7	Incident Management Support	Yes		
4.8	Obsolescence Management	Yes		
4.9	Support TacC2IS Support to the IPT	Yes		
5	Task-based Services	Yes		
5.1	Software Engineering	Yes		
5.2	Life-Cycle Application Management Support	Yes		
5.3	Integrated Logistics Support	Yes		
5.4	Technical Investigation and Engineering Support (TIES)	Yes		
5.5	Field Support	Yes		
5.6	Tactical Systems Integration	Yes		

<b>Reference in SOW</b>	<b>Heading</b>	<b>Compliance</b>	<b>Reference in Bid</b>	<b>Comments</b>
	Laboratory (TSIL) Support Services			
Appendix 1	Glossary and Definitions	Noted		
Appendix 2	Standards and Reference Documents	Noted		
Appendix 3	System Description	Yes		
Appendix 4	Logistics SOW	Yes		
Appendix 5, Section 4	Bidders must provide a draft PMP as part of their bid.	Yes		
Appendix 5, Section 4	Bidders must provide a draft SEMP as part of their bid.	Yes		
Appendix 6	Bidders must provide resumes for the key personnel identified in Appendix A6 to Annex A.	Yes		