



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
– TPSGC**

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Quebec

K1A0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services Division/Division des
services professionnels en informatique

11 Laurier Street

11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III, 4C2

Gatineau

Quebec

K1A0S5

Title - Sujet ADPS JAVA and General Streams	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation M7594-181682/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 002
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client M7594-181682	Date 2018-03-06
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$IPS-007-32186	
File No. - N° de dossier 007ips.M7594-181682	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2018-04-04	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Lavigne, Jay	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 007ips
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 220-9476 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation Amendment 002

This solicitation Amendment is raised to answer the following questions and to make RFP amendments and to extend the closing date of the RFP

At page 1 of the Request for Proposal

DELETE: Solicitation closes at 2:00 p.m. on 2018-03-13

INSERT: Solicitation closes at 2:00 p.m. on 2018-04-04

Q 012

We respectfully request that the Crown amend M2/R2 item i) as follows:

From:

i) Collaboration with the former Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and IM Architects service provider;

To:

i) Collaboration with the former service provider;

A 012

No

Q 013

Annex D – Bid Evaluation Criteria: M1/R1 and M2/R2 – Please confirm that contracts “in the past 5 years” means that any referenced contract against these criteria can be awarded beyond the last 5 years, provided that work has been performed within the last 5 years.

A 013

YES a contract which was issued before the last 5 years prior to solicitation date - provided that work has been performed within the last 5 years prior to the date of the RFP issuance (active in the last 5 years) will be found to be compliant.

Q 014

Page 4 1.2.b indicates that up to 3 contracts will be awarded however Page 18 4.4 Basis of Selection says one contract.

A 014

Acknowledged, see amendment below

Q 015

In the corporate mandatory grids, M1 and M2 “Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and IM Architects”, should this read: “Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and/or IM Architects”

A 015

No, criteria will remain as-is

Q 016

Regarding M2 and M3 “minimum value of \$7 million”: Is the value calculated including tax or prior to tax?

A 016

Value is \$7M plus applicable taxes

Q 017

Regarding M2 and M3 “minimum value of \$7 million”: Do the contract values included amended values?

A 017

Yes amendments are included in totals and taxes are extra

Q 018

- a. Given that M2 is about the “transition-in” which takes place within the first 6 months of a contract, would RCMP consider lowering the dollar value of the contracts required.
- b. Rather than dollar values, would this not be more fairly measured by the number of resources involved in the transition (such as 5 or more resources transitioned)?

A 018

- a) No, RCMP will not consider lowering the dollar value
- b No, criteria will remain as-is

Solicitation amendment as a result of Q 14

At page 18, clause 4.4 Basis of Selection, sub para (d)

DELETE: in its entirety

INSERT: Up to 3 contracts may be awarded in total as a result of this bid solicitation.

Q 019

As the breadth of corporate experience the Crown is looking for through M1, M2, and M3 and the corresponding Rated Requirements (R1, R2, and R3), we would like to respectfully ask that Canada extend the validity period of referenced contracts from the past 5 years to the past 10 years. This would allow more vendors to be able to respond to this solicitation and thus ensuring a more competitive and open process.

A 019

Request denied

Q 020

ANNEX D – BID EVALUATION CRITERIA, BASIS OF SELECTION

The second sub-paragraph states: “All referenced contracts and experience must have been awarded ranging from **a period of one to ten years before the Initial Solicitation Closing Date.**”

The subsequent gridded Criteria for:

- M1 & M2, state: “ ... in the past 5 years prior to date of RFP issuance ...”; and,
- M3 states: “ ... within the last five years prior to the date of RFP issuance”.

Given the apparent standard of the Crown to request contracts from the past ten years for the size and scope detailed in this RFP, and given that the stated RFP requirements for contract value, duration and simultaneous resources are not trivial, could the Crown please confirm that all required contract references must be from a period of ten years prior to the date of RFP submission.

A 020

Recommended response...

The second sub para states a ‘range’ – not a standard, the criteria themselves specify a precise timeframe within the range. Use the timeframe specified in each criteria.

Q 021

Corporate Rated Criteria – R1:

In the points column of R1, it states: “Over and above **the two references** required in M1 ...”, while M1 states: “ ... **three** successive contracts ...”.

Could the Crown please clarify?

A 021

Asked and answered with Q 011

Q 022

Corporate Rated Criteria – “Over and Above”:

Could the Crown please clarify how many Contracts above the Mandatory number of Contracts a Bidder must provide to score maximum points for the Rated Criteria?

For example, to score the maximum 10 points for R2, please confirm that a Bidder must provide 1+ Contracts in addition to the 3 Contracts for M2, for a total of 4+ Contracts?

A 022

Incorrect

Should you provide 4 contracts (which is 1 over the mandatory 3) = 5 points

Should you provide anything over 4 contracts (4+ = 5, 6, 7....) = 10 points

Q 023

Re: M1 (iii) and M3 (iv)

M1 (iii) and M3 (iv) state: "have a similar team size (about 5-15 people)".

would the Crown consider changing the requirement to read "have **placed over 5 resources on the contract**" instead of restricting Bidders to only using contracts where they have placed between 5 and 15 resources?

A 023

Criteria states 'about' 5-15 people. Which means approximately, roughly, around etc.....

Q 024

Re: R1 (v)

R1 (v) states "team must have included Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and IM Architects".

R1 requires bidders to have experience over and above M1 which means bidders need to have an additional 3 contracts, on top of the already required 3 in M1.

Therefore, would the Crown consider changing R1 (v) requirement to read "team must have included **at least 2 of the following resources** Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and IM Architects"?

A 024

See response to Q 001 (No)

As well, you may have misread the criteria, points are awarded for anything over the 3 contracts required in M1, NOT for 3 additional contracts

Q 025

would the Crown consider changing the requirement to read "**collaboration with at least 2 of the following resources** Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and IM Architects"?

A 025

See response to Q 001 - No

Solicitation Number: M7594-181682

Amendment Number: 002

Buyer ID: 007 ips

As well, you may have misread the criteria, points are awarded for anything over the 3 contracts required in M1 , NOT for 2 additional contracts

All other Terms and Conditions remain the same