



Return Bids to :

Retourner Les Soumissions à :

Natural Resources Canada – Ressources naturelles Canada
Bid Receiving Unit – Loading Dock Access
Unité de réception des soumissions, Accès au quai de
chargement
588 rue Booth Street
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0E4
Attention: **Danielle Gudbranson**

Danielle.Gudbranson@Canada.ca

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT #2
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION
QUESTIONS & ANSWERS
QUESTIONS/RÉPONSES**

Proposal To: Natural Resources Canada

We hereby offer to sell to Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada, in accordance with the terms and conditions set out herein, referred to herein or attached hereto, the goods, services, and construction listed herein and on any attached sheets at the price(s) set out therefor.

Proposition à: Ressources Naturelles Canada

Nous offrons par la présente de vendre à Sa Majesté la Reine du chef du Canada, aux conditions énoncées ou incluses par référence dans la présente et aux annexes ci-jointes, les biens, services et construction énumérés ici sur toute feuille ci-annexée, au(x) prix indiqué(s).

Comments – Commentaires

If you are submitting a proposal using a courier service, please ensure you clearly indicate the RFP Number, Closing Date and Closing Time on the front of the courier envelope.

Si vous soumettez une proposition en utilisant un service de messagerie, veuillez vous assurer d'indiquer clairement le numéro de la DP, la date de clôture et l'heure de clôture à l'extérieur de l'enveloppe du service de messagerie.

Issuing Office – Bureau de distribution

Finance and Procurement Management Branch
Natural Resources Canada
580 Booth Street, 5th Floor
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0E4

Title – Sujet Science Advisor for Indigenous Advisory and Monitoring Committee	
Solicitation No. – No de l'invitation NRCan- 5000037518	Date March 27, 2018
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 144636	
Requisition Reference No. - N° de la demande 5000037518	
Solicitation Closes – L'invitation prend fin at – à 02:00 PM EDT on – le 9 April, 2018	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresse toutes questions à: Danielle Gudbranson Danielle.gudbranson@Canada.ca	Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur A05
Telephone No. – No de telephone (343) 292-8327	Fax No. – No. de Fax
<i>If marked "X" please see the box to the left S'il ya un "X" ici, s.v.p. voir la boîte à la gauche</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement copy required Accusé de réception requis
Destination – of Goods, Services and Construction: Destination – des biens, services et construction: <i>NRCan – Indigenous Partnership Office – West (IPO-W) 504 Burrard Street Vancouver, British Columbia V6Z 0B9</i>	
Security – Sécurité There is no security requirement Il n'y a aucune exigence de sécurité	
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur Telephone No.:- No. de téléphone: Facsimile No.:- No. de télécopieur: Email :- Courriel :	
Name and Title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature _____	Date _____



Solicitation Amendment 002 is issued to:

- Respond to questions raised during the Bidder's Conference held March 19, 2018
- Answer questions received through email enquires
- Make administrative amendments to the solicitation document

Question #1:

Is the IAMC a decision making body?

Answer #1:

The IAMC is an advisory committee. As such, it does not make regulatory decisions. The IAMC advises federal government and regulators on issues related to the Trans Mountain Expansion project and existing pipeline.

Question #2

What project decisions does the IAMC make?

Answer #2

The IAMC makes decisions on grants and contribution projects that address community priorities through the IAMC Capacity Building program, as well as projects that help advance the Committee's priorities.

Question #3

Is scientific and traditional knowledge of freshwater systems desirable?

Answer #3

Yes, scientific and traditional knowledge of freshwater systems is desirable. NRCan Procurement will add "Terrestrial – freshwater quality" and "Terrestrial – hydrology" to relevant areas of expertise to Point Rated Technical Criteria R3.

Question #4:

What is the difference between experience working "in" a federal or provincial government department and experience working "with" a federal or provincial government department (Point Rated Technical Criteria R3 2.3)?

Answer #4:

Both experience working "in" and "with" a federal or provincial government department is desirable. NRCan Procurement will adjust the points associated with this criterion to reflect this.



Question #5:

Is NRCan looking for an individual for this bid, or will a team be considered?

Answer # 5:

While firms may bid on this RFP, one individual must be put forward as the potential Senior Science Advisor. Only the proposed Senior Science Advisor's credentials and experience will be considered during the evaluation process. The individual serving as the Senior Science Advisor is expected to fulfill the roles and responsibilities as outlined in the Statement of Work.

Question #6 :

Is this procurement an Aboriginal set aside?

Answer #6:

This is not an Aboriginal set aside. Bidding is open to everyone.

At Point Rated Criteria R3

Delete in its entirety

Insert:

R3	<p><u>Personal Experience</u></p> <p>Bidder's relevant experience.</p> <p>Information to be submitted may include, but is not limited to:</p> <p>A detailed resume, which includes all work experience and other relevant training and knowledge. Each work experience included on the resume should clearly indicate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • relevant area(s) of expertise: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Terrestrial – soils or soil remediation; ○ Terrestrial – wildlife management; ○ Terrestrial – geomorphology; ○ Terrestrial - freshwater quality; ○ Terrestrial – hydrology; ○ Marine – water quality; ○ Marine – hydrology; ○ Marine – aquatic habitats; and • experience working with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ First Nations or Indigenous organizations in BC; ○ First Nations or Indigenous organizations in Alberta; ○ Federal government; ○ Provincial government (indicate province). <p>Evaluation will be based on:</p> <p>2.1 total years of experience in environmental science (12 points max.);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - eight (8) or more years (12 points) - six (6) to seven (7) years (8 points) - five (5) to six (6) years (6 points) - less than five (5) years (1 point per year)
-----------	---



2.2 experience working with Indigenous communities in BC and/or Alberta (**8 points max.**);
- some experience with BC and Alberta Indigenous communities (8 points)
- some experience with BC or Alberta Indigenous communities (5 points)
- no experience with BC or Alberta Indigenous communities (0 points)

2.3 experience working with federal or provincial government (**8 points max.**);
- experience working in or with a federal or provincial government department that regulates or develops policy regarding natural resource development (8 points)
- no federal or provincial government experience (0 points)

2.4 experience leading scientific studies or analyses (**8 points max.**);
- more than five (5) years in a management role (8 points)
- one (1) to five (5) years in a management role (5 points)
- no experience in a management role (0 points).

2.5 experience with natural resource development projects of similar scope (**8 points max.**);
- experience in oil and gas sector (8 points)
- experience in other natural resource development sector (5 points)
- no experience in natural resource development (0 points).

2.6 breadth of experience (**8 points max.**);
- experience in terrestrial and marine areas of expertise (8 points)
- experience in terrestrial or marine areas of expertise (5 points)
- no experience in terrestrial or marine areas of expertise (0 points)

Information to be submitted may include, but is not limited to:

A sample of work in which the Bidder has summarized the findings of a scientific study or analysis to a non-technical audience (e.g. summary report, Power Point presentation, etc.).

Evaluation will be based on:

2.7 demonstrated ability to summarize findings of a scientific study to a non-technical audience (**8 points max.**);
- evaluator can easily identify the methods and findings of the scientific study (8 points)
- evaluator can somewhat understand the methods or findings of the scientific study (5 points)
- evaluator is not able to understand the methods or findings of the scientific study (0 points)

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED