



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions  
– TPSGC**

**11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier**

**Place du Portage, Phase III**

**Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2**

**Gatineau**

**Quebec**

**K1A0S5**

**Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776**

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT**

**MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

**Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Informatics Professional Services Division/Division des  
services professionnels en informatique

11 Laurier Street

11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III, 4C2

Gatineau

Quebec

K1A0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> ADPS JAVA and General Streams	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> M7594-181682/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 006
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> M7594-181682	<b>Date</b> 2018-03-29
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$IPS-007-32186	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 007ips.M7594-181682	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2018-04-13</b>	<b>Time Zone</b> <b>Fuseau horaire</b> Eastern Standard Time EST
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Lavigne, Jay	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 007ips
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (613) 220-9476 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

**Solicitation Amendment 006**

*This solicitation Amendment is raised to answer the following questions and to extend the closing date of the RFP*

**At page 1 of the Request for Proposal****DELETE: Solicitation closes at 2:00 p.m. on 2018-03-13****INSERT: Solicitation closes at 2:00 p.m. on 2018-04-13****Q 032**

Amendment 005 (Correction to A 031) changed M2 (i) to read “collaboration with former Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and IM Architects service provider for each of the proposed mandatory 3 contracts the team must have included at least 3 of the following 4 categories provided all 4 categories are present across the 3 mandatory contracts: Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and IM Architects.”

For M2 (i), can the Crown please clarify:

1) If bidders must have provided resources in the 4 categories (Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and IM Architects) on the 3 contracts cited?

**OR**

2) Do the 3 contracts cited need to have simply included collaboration with former Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and IM Architects service providers?

**A 032:**

The Bidder is not required to provide 3 contracts where each contract involves all 4 categories (Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and IM Architects) but rather 3 contracts, where **each** contract involves services provided by at least 3 of the 4 categories. Amongst the 3 contracts, **all** 4 categories must have been referenced collectively.

**Q 033:**

For Corporate Requirements M1 & R1 it is required that the Bidder's reference contracts must have included resource categories of Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and IM Architects.

At Appendix 1 to Annex D (bid evaluation criteria, Professional Services Resource Criteria), Pg 61 states that whenever experience in a specific category is requested, it will be evaluated against the responsibilities enumerated in the Annex A SOW (resource categories) responsibilities. In order for a

resource project reference to be accepted, it must demonstrate at least 50% of the responsibilities enumerated in the Annex A SOW – for the specific category type.

Please confirm that reference contracts with resource categories meeting 50% of the responsibilities enumerated in Appendix A of Annex A for the specific category types (of Application/Software Architect Level 2 and 3, Programmer Analyst Level 2 and 3, Tester Level 2 and 3 and IM Architect Level 3) is acceptable?

**A 033:**

That this is correct.

**Q 034:**

For Corporate Requirements M2 & R2 it is required that the Bidder's provide corporate contract experience involving transition-in of:

- ii) planning, execution and monitoring of training and knowledge,
- iii) must have experience with transition-in that can span over many months,
- iv) have experience reporting on progress; and
- v) experience with resolution of transition in issues.

The Crown is also asking for i) experience with collaboration on reference contracts that must meet exactly the stated resource categories of Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and IM Architects.

Would the Crown consider removing the necessity to provide collaboration with the specific resource categories at requirement i)?

**A 034:**

No, the criteria remains as stated.

**Q 035 :**

Regarding M2, M3, R2 and R3 The Crown is requiring that each contract be a minimum value of \$7M. Would the Crown consider reducing the minimum value of each contract from \$7M to \$5M?

**A 035:**

No, the criteria remains as stated.

**Q 036:**

Regarding QA 5, Answer to Q 031, regarding M1 and M2 the Crown has changed the requirement from meeting all 4 resource category areas (of Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and IM Architects) in each contract to meeting at least 3 of the 4 resource category areas provided that all of the categories are addressed over the three contracts.

Bidders will have proven that they meet all of the resource categories with the newly worded M1 and M2. Would the Crown consider changing the requirements for R1 and R2 to meet at least 2 of the 4 resource category areas for additional contracts over and above the Mandatory contract requirements?

**A 036:**

No, the criteria remains as stated.

**Q 037:**

The Estimated Number of Resources Required (page 5 of 111) and the Pricing Schedule at Annex B—don't differentiate between the various Specialties listed for Programmer Analysts and Application/Software Architects in the statement of work.

Will the RCMP please provide the estimated utilization of the various Specialties for Programmer Analysts and Application/Software Architects? Given that these different Specialties often have different market rates, pricing them as a single rate could be problematic, and result in the RCMP paying more per resource than they have in the past. Without knowing the estimated utilization for each specialty, bidders (other than the current incumbent in the General Stream) will need to price for the most expensive of the Specialties, which is not likely the category with the highest utilization.

**A 037:**

This is a 2 year requirement with 3, 1-year option periods – we can only provide estimated levels of effort at this time.

Please see Annex B PRICING SCHEDULE

"In respect of the "Estimated Number of Days" listed below **the estimated number of days** is for evaluation purposes only during the solicitation process and does not represent a commitment of the future usage".

**Q 038:**

RCMP recently amended M1 and M2 to include "at least 3 of the following 4 categories provided all 4 categories are present across the 3 mandatory contracts".

Please confirm that these changes should be included in the R1 and R2 as well.

**A 038:**

No, the changes only apply to M1 and M2

**Q 039**

we respectfully request that bidders be permitted to demonstrate a total of 3 of the 4 categories for M1, M2, R1 and R2.

**A 039**

No, the criteria remains as stated.

**All other terms and conditions remain the same**