



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -  
TPSGC**

**11 Laurier St. / 11 rue Laurier  
Place du Portage, Phase III  
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2  
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5  
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776**

**Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer**

**Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes**

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

**Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Consultant Services Division/Division des services  
d'experts-conseils  
11 Laurier St./11 Rue Laurier  
3C2, Place du Portage  
Phase III  
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Structural Engineering	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EP168-182124/A	<b>Date</b> 2018-04-03
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> 20182124	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 004
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> fe170.EP168-182124	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$FE-170-74542	
<b>Date of Original Request for Standing Offer</b> Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale 2018-03-06	
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2018-04-18</b>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Lanthier(fe170), Marc	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> fe170
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (873) 469-4898 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b> National Capital Region - Région de la capitale nationale	
<b>Security - Sécurité</b> This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Acknowledgement copy required</b>	<b>Yes - Oui</b>	<b>No - Non</b>
<b>Accusé de réception requis</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer.</b> <b>Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.</b>		
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
<b>For the Minister - Pour le Ministre</b>		

**The following is in response to inquiries received from potential bidders in relation to this solicitation. This amendment will form part of the contract documents.**

**Question 1**

i) In Hypothetical Project 2 please confirm or modify under Engineering Services Required end of paragraph: RS2.1 to RS2.6. Is the intent RS2 to RS6?

ii) On page 71, first paragraph, it is stated that services RS2.1 to RS2.6 are to be included in the hypothetical project. However, on pages 52-53, the RS2 services stop at RS2.3. Please confirm if there are additional services to be included.

iii) In Hypothetical Project 3 please confirm or modify under Engineering Services Required end of paragraph: RS2.1 to RS2.6. Is the intent RS2 to RS6?

**Answer 1**

Please refer to solicitation amendment no. 002.

**Question 2**

Due to the statutory holiday Easter long weekend, we request a 1-2 week extension to the Closing date of 18 April 2018

**Answer 2**

The solicitation closing date will not be changed at this time.

**Question 3**

Refer to **SRE 3 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION, 3.2.6 Hypothetical Projects**, Project 1, 2 and 3, Engineering Services Required...Identify, develop and analyze options with order-of-magnitude cost estimates.” For the ‘order-of-magnitude cost estimate’, do you expect the proponent to provide a detailed breakdown of the costs in the proposal as part of Approach and Methodology, or just a number for total cost estimate for each option?

**Answer 3**

Total lump sum costs only, no detailed breakdown required.

**Question 4**

Do the past project experiences need to be specifically from Ontario or Quebec, or Canada wide is acceptable?

**Answer 4**

Canada wide is acceptable.

**Question 5**

Do all 3 project examples need to specifically include the team members introduced for this RFSO or can these projects demonstrate the corporate experience of the firm having been carried by other professionals in the firm?

**Answer 5**

For maximum score experience should specifically include the team members introduced for this RFSO.

**Question 6**

Does the term “government” refer to all levels of authorities having jurisdiction (municipal, provincial & federation)?

**Answer 6**

Please refer to GC 1 Definitions

**Question 7**

Please clarify PWGSC definition of “level 3 structural condition study”

**Answer 7**

“Level 2” being an all-discipline, high-level, whole building condition study done regularly, a “Level 3” study is one that is more in-depth than a “Level 2”, more discipline-specific (or restricted to just a sub-set of relevant disciplines) regarding mainly one sub-system of the building, and done only on an ad hoc basis.

**Question 8**

Appendix B – Price Proposal allows for the provision of hourly rates and weight factors for Principals, Senior, Intermediate and Junior personnel. Considering the scope of many of the call-ups additional staff with varying levels of abilities and competencies would be required (Technologists, Technicians, CAD/Revit operators, Support Staff etc...) how will the hourly rates for these staff be determined and agreed upon if not included within this Price Proposal?

**Answer 8**

Rates for services outside the standing offer will be evaluated and approved by the Departmental Representative if and when required.

**Question 9**

Are we allowed to partner with another firm to submit for the Standing Offer in order to meet the licensing and certification requirements?

**Answer 9**

All entities of a joint venture must meet the licensing requirements of GI 14 “Licensing Requirements”.

**Question 10**

Please define "significant project". Is "significant" defined based on a minimum construction value, physical characteristics, or importance?

**Answer 10**

The projects should be comparable/similar in terms of scope, costs and risks to projects to be delivered under this standing offer.

**Question 11**

Would a project with a substantially completed structural part, but with finishes and installations still on-going qualify as one of the three projects?

**Answer 11**

For maximum score projects should be fully completed and 100% occupied.

**Question 12**

Is there a requirement for minimum size of the proponent company?

**Answer 12**

No.

**Question 13**

Is there a requirement for a local presence in National Capital Area for the proponent company?

**Answer 13**

Please refer to PA 1.3.3 ``Availability During the Course of the Project``.

**END**