



Transport
Canada

Transports
Canada

PLACE DE VILLE
TOWER "C", 330 SPARKS STREET
OTTAWA, ONTARIO
K1A 0N5

March 29, 2018

ADDENDUM NO. 2

Subject: Request for Proposal No. FP802-170549
Link-Level Road Speed Data and Performance Analytics

Further to the above-mentioned Request for Proposal, this Addendum (#2) is to advise potential bidders of the question(s) received during this tender call to date. Both the question(s) and the response(s) are indicated in the attached Annex A-1.

Closing Date:

The new Closing Date for receiving proposals is hereby changed to **Monday April 9 2018**, at **2:00 pm (Eastern Daylight Time)**.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

Tenderers are to acknowledge this Addendum by signing in the space provided below and enclosing a copy of this document with their tender submission.

Yours truly,

Jianna-Lee Zomer
Contracting Specialist
Materiel and Contracting Services
Telephone: (613) 990-8736
Email: jianna-lee.zomer@tc.gc.ca

RECEIPT ACKNOWLEDGED

Name of Company _____

Signature _____

Canada

Annex A-1.

- Q1.** Envelope 2 Cost Proposal - (pg. 2) The RFP states Appendix A and Appendix H are to be included in Envelope 2 – Cost Proposal. In which envelope should Appendix I and Appendix J be included?
- A1:** Appendix I and Appendix J can also be included in Envelope 2 – Cost Proposal
- Q2.** Appendix A - (pg. 5) PBN and GST numbers are requested in Appendix A. Are these registrations required to submit a proposal or can the registrations be provided upon contract award?
- A2:** The PBN and GST numbers that are requested in Appendix A can be provided upon contract award.
- Q3.** Section 2.5 - (Ownership of Intellectual Property) this section appears to be inconsistent with certain provisions of the separate General Terms (including but not limited to section 11). Can Transport Canada confirm, in connection with the work performed under the definitive agreement, that there will be no transfer or conveyance of any copyrights, patent, patent rights, trademarks, trade secrets or other intellectual property or industrial rights (collectively “IP”)?
- A3.** Yes Transport Canada confirms that there will be no transfer or conveyance of any copyrights, patent, patent rights, trademarks, trade secrets or other intellectual property or industrial rights (collectively “IP”)
- Q4.** Would Transport Canada confirm that under the definitive/final agreement the Contractor will retain ownership of all IP?
- A4.** Yes Transport Canada confirms that the Contractor will retain ownership of all IP
- Q5.** Would Transport Canada confirm that under the definitive/final agreement, Transport Canada will accept a license to the “work” (as defined in the General Terms) and any IP embodied in the “work”, subject to payment of license fees and other customary and reasonable limitations?
- A5.** Section 2.5 of the Statement of Work will apply. The Contractor will retain ownership of all IP and will provide a non-exclusive term license to the materials supplied by the winning bidder.
- Q6.** R1 - (Rated Criteria on Link-level Data) How should the sample link-level dataset be delivered?
- A6.** The sample link-level dataset should be delivered by USB key. The USB key with the sample link-level dataset should be included in the bidder’s technical bid and sent before the bid closing date and time.
- Q7.** Envelope 1 Technical Proposal – (pg.1) this section refers to the “Terms of Reference” Please direct us to this document.
- A7.** This is an administrative error. It should state “Statement of Work”

At Page 1 Envelope 1 – Technical Proposal

Please delete the following:

Your proposal is required to form the basis of a contractual agreement and should respond to all requirements detailed in the Terms of Reference in sufficient detail to enable evaluation in accordance with the Evaluation Criteria including:

Please insert the following:

Your proposal is required to form the basis of a contractual agreement and should respond to all requirements detailed in the Statement of Work in sufficient detail to enable evaluation in accordance with the Evaluation Criteria including:

- Q8.** For Rated Criteria # 1 on the Link-Level Data – Is it acceptable to provide more than one (1) link that covers a small section of a roadway for the sample of link-level data?
- A8.** Yes it is acceptable as long as the length of the segment does not exceed the maximum length set out in Section 2.4
- Q9** The RFP mentions that it requires a sample from 7 different areas and 8 different links (indicated below and on page 17):
- One link (1) in the Greater Toronto Area (GTA)
 - Two link (2) Border Crossings in southern Ontario.
 - One link (1) in Halifax , Nova Scotia
 - One link (1) in Saint Stephen border crossing , New Brunswick
 - One link (1) in Edmonton , Alberta
 - One link (1) in Vancouver , British Columbia
 - One link (1) Border Crossing in British Columbia.

However, the scoring only mentions the following.

- One link (1) in Halifax, Nova Scotia
- One link (1) in Saint Stephen border crossing, New Brunswick
- One link (1) in Whitehorse, Yukon.

Are we being scored on all 8 links or just the above 3 links?

- A9** The top 8 locations are listed under mandatory section M5, therefore the bidder must provide well consisted link-level speed data at ALL 8 locations. Missing data under the mandatory section shall be deemed “non-compliant”.
In terms of scoring based on the quality of dataset, TEA will only score the bidder based on the 3 locations identified under rated section R1.
- Q10** The RFP asks for a road network file: “The road network file in GIS format, which can be used toward joining the link-level speed data.”
- A10** TEA requires the GIS road network for 7 areas in M5 section as well as 3 areas in R1 section. (Total of 10)
- Q11** Page 2 of the RFP references “Insurance liabilities and drivers records as defined in Terms of Reference;” As this project requires no driving or the provision of drivers for the proposed services, it is assumed that this cause does not apply. Correct?
- A11** Please note that this is an administrative error. Insurance liabilities and drivers records as indicated on Page 2 of the RFP is not required.
- Q12** We see no notation in the Requirements for Signature related to “wet” vs “electronic signatures”. Are Electronic Signatures allowable for the subject proposal?
- A12** Yes Electronic Signatures are allowed for the subject proposal.
- Q13** One element of the data format as detailed on Page 11 requires “Level of Confidence”. We normally see that term associated with “modeled” data, not “actual observations”. Observed data is binary in nature, it is either present, or not. There is nothing interpolated, hence nothing related to confidence to measure.

As the project specifies “No data interpolation or gap filling”, meaning only actual recorded (GPS or other device) data is to be provided, what is the definition of “Level of Confidence”?

A13 TEA expects a numeric description of the confidence in the mean speed. It should be something calculated based on the number of observations in each time interval which mean speed is based on as well as the variance of those observations.