



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC**

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Quebec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Services Procurement-Instruments Management
Division/Approvisionnement de services-Gestion des
instruments

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

11C1, Place du Portage III

Gatineau

Quebec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Tranfer Payment Audit	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation A0480-172881/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 010
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client A0480-172881	Date 2018-05-01
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$ZQ-021-32299	
File No. - N° de dossier 021zq.A0480-172881	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2018-05-02	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Kamanayo, Gatsimbanyi	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 021zq
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 293-6845 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Sollicitation No. - N° de l'invitation A0480-172881/A	Amd. No. - N° de la modif. 010	Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur 021zq
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME A0480-172881	021zq A0480-172881	File No. - N° du dossierCCC

This amendment is issued to respond to question(s) submitted.

Question 1:

There might be a discrepancy in the newly attached Point Rated Technical Criteria (1.1.2) Table (Part of Attachment 1 to Part 4 – Technical and Financial Criteria).

Please review RT4 and RT6. One is for the Proposed Senior Auditor and the other is for the Proposed Auditor. Should they not be the same otherwise? Please clarify and advise.

Answer 1:

We are able to confirm that RT4 and RT6 are correct as per the revised Attachment 1 to Part 4 that was issued in Amendment 008. The differences between the experience characteristics required for RT4 and RT6 are intentional.

The odd-numbered RTs for the Senior Auditor and Auditor (RT3 & RT5, respectively) are measured on a project basis; obtaining points depends on the total number of projects regardless of their cumulative timeframes. They are extensions of MT3 & MT4 in that points are being awarded for project experience above and beyond the minimum number for the Senior Auditor (RT3) or for project experience that is more specialized than the minimum for the Auditor (RT5).

However, obtaining points for the related even-numbered RTs (RT4 & RT6) depends on the cumulative duration of the experience. Upon reflection by the Client, it was determined that requesting the Auditor level resource – considered to be a more junior level resource by the Client – to have such specific experience (Federal government client AND Recipient/Contribution Agreement Audit or Forensic Audit of Recipient/Contribution Agreements AND aimed at Indigenous communities or organizations) would not have necessarily represented best value.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.