



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS Á:

Parks Canada Agency
1300 - 635 8 Ave SW
Calgary, AB T2P3M3

AMENDMENT #6 TO:

Request for a Standing Offer

Demande d'offres à commandes

Canada, as represented by the Minister of the Environment for the purposes of the Parks Canada Agency hereby requests a Standing Offer on behalf of the identified users herein.

Le Canada, représenté par le ministre de l'Environnement aux fins de l'Agence Parcs Canada, autorise par la présente, une offre à commandes au nom des utilisateurs identifiés énumérés ci-après.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Parks Canada Agency
1300 - 635 8 Ave SW
Calgary, AB
T2P3M3

Title-Sujet Environmental Engineering Services Standing Offer Agreement Various National Parks		
Solicitation No. - No. de l'invitation 5P420-18-0078/A		Date: June 18, 2018
GETS Reference No. - No de reference de SEAG PW-18-00827439		Client Ref. No. - No. de réf du client. n/a
Solicitation Closes:		
at - á 02:00 PM	on - le June 27, 2018	Time Zone - Fuseau horaire MDT - HAR
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>		
Address Inquiries to: - Adresser toute demande de renseignements à : Nathaniel Harrison nathaniel.harrison@pc.gc.ca		
Telephone No. - No de telephone 403.292.4572		Fax No. - No de FAX: 1-866-246-6893
Destination of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destinations des biens, services et construction: See Herein - Voir ici		

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE BIDDER (type or print)
À ÊTRE COMPLÉTÉ PAR LE SOUMISSIONNAIRE (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)

Vendor/Firm Name - Nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Address - Adresse	
Name of person authorized to sign on behalf of the Vendor/Firm Nom de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur	
Title - Titre	
Telephone No. - N° de telephone: _____	
Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur: _____	
Adresse courriel - Email address : _____	
Signature	Date

REVISION 006

This Revision is to answer questions.

A. Questions & Answers

- Q38.** Section SRE2.2 of the RFSO states: "The following are not part of the page limitation mentioned above; - CVs (curriculum vitae)." However, there is no other mention in the RFSO that CVs are required or should be included for the Key Personnel described in Section SRE3.2.4. Can you please clarify whether copies of key personnel CVs are expected to be included in the proposal submissions for each region? If CVs are required to be provided, are they evaluated for points in the review?
- A38.** While not a requirement, it is highly at is advisable to add the curriculum vitae of key personnel for each region where services will be provided. CVs will be evaluated as part of the evaluation and rating of Key Personnel Expertise and Experience.
- Q39.** Section SRE3.1.2 indicates that "The Proponent shall be authorized to provide environmental engineering services and must include an environmental engineer or geoscientist licensed, or eligible to be licensed, certified or otherwise authorized to provide the necessary professional services to the full extent that may be required by provincial or territorial law in the proposed zone:" Can you please clarify: i) at a minimum, is a licensed environmental engineer or geoscientist required as a Key Personnel for each province within a specific region (ie. one in British Columbia and one in Alberta for that region)?; ii) can Key Personnel hold other professional designations if appropriate in their respective province (i.e. for British Columbia – Professional Agrologist P.Ag.; Professional Chemist P.Chem.; Professional Biologist R.P.Bio; etc.)?; iii) can a bid for a province/region include Key Personnel that have other relevant professional designations but not specifically an environmental engineer or geoscientist?
- A39.** i) yes, as required by provincial/territorial law; ii) and iii) yes, key personnel with other relevant professional designations and accreditations with appropriate experience are acceptable.
- Q40.** Section SRE3.2.3 Item 1. Indicates that "What we are looking for: Demonstration that over at least the past five (5) years, it or its key personnel has participated in a range of projects requiring a full scope of services in accordance with the Required Services (RS) section." Will projects that are long and began longer than 5 years ago but have been ongoing with components carried out within the last 5 years score as well as projects that were initiated and began within the last 5 years?
- A40.** Components of ongoing projects carried out within the past 5 years will be scored and evaluated just like other projects that were initiated within the last 5 years since they are all part of recent project services, i.e. within the past 5 years.
- Q41.** We are seeking additional clarification related to the Section 3.2.3.1, in which the RFSO states, "Demonstration that over at least the past five years...". Can we interpret this to mean that an ESA, risk assessment, or remediation project could have 'commenced' prior to June 2013 and still be eligible for evaluation if Required Services have been completed on the project within the past five years?
- A41.** Yes. See A40.
- Q42.** It is noted in Amendment #3, Answer A19, that a "Proponent must submit 6 projects". We note that 'Project' is not defined in the RFSO. If we have a project that involved both remediation and risk assessment, and it can be demonstrated that each of the two Required Services completed on the 'Project' has 'a definite beginning and end' (as defined by PMI), will these be eligible for evaluation as two distinct projects?
- A42.** Yes. Provide details such as project scope, start and end date, etc.

- Q43.** We are seeking additional clarification related to the Section 3.2.3.2 Point b). 1) Providing information related to "the senior personnel and project personnel" who were involved as part of the project team and their respective responsibilities" seems clear; however, we are not clear on the expectation for providing information related to "the scope, and budget per discipline". Should the scope/budget per discipline be broken down by individual, or do you simply want total project value broken down by major task, with fees and disbursements? If broken down by individual, should we only include fees? For a larger project that involves multiple tasks, will a larger team of senior personnel and other project personnel score better in the evaluation?
- A43.** Yes, the scope/budget per discipline is broken down by individuals; provide fees and other disbursements. No, all projects are evaluated on the same basis.
- Q44.** For Section 3.2.3., does a project with Required Services located outside of one of the 5 Geographic Zones, for example Yukon Territory, be eligible for evaluation?
- A44.** Yes.
- Q45.** Should we submit additional CVs for project personnel (that are not part of the Key Personnel team / overall 30 page limit) listed in the Past Experience example projects?
- A45.** No.
- Q46.** Compared to the answer to question 30 of revision 004. If the primary risk assessor is a subcontractor, will it be considered according to the hourly rate of the "Senior Environmental Scientist" category? The hourly rate of a risk assessor is usually much higher than for other environmental specialists and should in our opinion be under a specific hourly rate category.
- A46.** Yes, please include the billing rate for the subcontractor as a Risk Assessor.
- Q47.** For Appendix C, can you confirm that only key personnel, one for each of the categories, are present here, and if for one or more subcontractor categories we indicate them in Part II of this Annex?
- A47.** Yes, only key personnel in Appendix C. One or more subcontractors would be indicated under Part II.
- Q48.** Addendum #2 of the RFSO states that "Environmental auditors would also be an additional qualified personnel to add". Will you please clarify if including an auditor would count towards the 40 points allocated to Key personnel?
- A48.** Yes

All other terms and conditions remain the same.