

**TENDER ADDENDUM**

**ADDENDA À LA SOUMISSION**

**Construction management services- AL1739  
June 18, 2018  
ADDENDUM NO : 5**

**Services de gestion de construction no. AL1739  
le 18 juin 2018  
ADDENDA NO: 5**

The following shall be read in conjunction with and shall form an integral part of the Tender / Proposal and Contract Documents:

1. In order to match the French RFP, this correction supersedes the correction made in addendum 4 to criterion SRE 5.1 Criterion 5 a ii of SRE 5.1. Please remove the text in ~~strike through~~ and add the text in **grey bold**.

Scale 1	0%	30%	50%	70%	85%	100%	Available points
SRE 5.1 Criterion 5 a ii	Does not meet the minimum characteristics of: real property, heritage, or complex project	Services provided are not relevant to a project	Relevant services provided in less than three specific roles <b>by the Proponent Team</b>	Relevant services provided in three or four specific roles by the Proponent Team	Relevant services provided in five or six specific roles by the Proponent <b>Team</b>	Relevant services provided in <del>more than</del> seven <b>or more</b> specific roles by the Proponent <b>Team</b>	3 points per project , 18 points total

2. A correction is made to criterion SRE 5.1 Criterion 5E. Please remove the text in ~~strike through~~ and add the text in **grey bold**.

SRE 5.1 Criterion 5E	Does not meet the minimum characteristics of: real property, heritage, or complex project  Approach and methodology not provided for any stage of the project	Approach and methodology provided for only one stage of the project with some detail of processes by project stage	Approach and methodology provided for two stages of the project with details of processes by project stage	Approach and methodology provided for three stages of the project with details of processes by project stage	Approach and methodology provided for four stages of the project with details of processes by project stage	Approach and methodology provided for <del>more than five</del> stages <b>or more</b> of the project with details of inclusive processes by project stage	10 points per project , 60 points total
----------------------	---	--	--	--	---	---	---

3. A correction is made to criterion SRE 5.3 Criterion 2 E. Please add the text in **grey bold**.

SRE 5.3 Criterion 2 E	Did not submit information which could be evaluated	Extremely poor, <b>insufficient</b> capability and processes for procurement of commodities and sub-trades from regional, national and international sources	Limited capability and processes for procurement of commodities and sub-trades from regional, national and international sources	Adequate capability and processes for procurement of commodities and sub-trades from regional, national and international sources	Very good capability and processes for procurement of commodities and sub-trades from regional, national and international sources	Superior capability and processes for procurement of commodities and sub-trades from regional, national and international sources	60 points
-----------------------------	---	--	--	---	--	---	-----------

4. A correction is made to criteria SRE 5.4 Criteria 2 A, B, C and D. Please remove the text in ~~strikethrough~~ and add the text in **grey bold**.

Scale 4	0%	30%	50%	70%	85%	100%	Available points
SRE 5.4 Criterion 2 A and B	Did not submit information which could be evaluated	Extremely poor business plan; lack complete or almost complete understanding of the requirements	Poor business plan; has <del>come</del> <b>some</b> understanding of the requirements but lacks adequate understandings in some areas of the requirements	Adequate business plan; demonstrate a good understanding of the requirements	Very good business plan; demonstrate a very good understanding of the requirements	Superior business plan; demonstrate an excellent understanding of the requirements	60 points
SRE 5.4 Criterion 2 C and D	Did not submit information which could be evaluated	Extremely poor, insufficient decision and resolution processes; lack complete or almost complete understanding of the requirements	Poor decision and resolution processes; has <del>come</del> <b>some</b> understanding of the requirements but lacks adequate understandings in some areas of the requirements	Adequate decision and resolution processes; demonstrate a good understanding of the requirements	Very good decision and resolution processes; demonstrate a very good understanding of the requirements	Superior decision and resolution processes; demonstrate an excellent understanding of the requirements	85 points

5. A correction is made to criteria SRE 5.5 Criteria 2 D, E, F, G and H. Please remove the text in ~~strike through~~ and add the text in **grey bold**.

SRE 5.5 Criterion 2 D, E, F and G	Did not submit information which could be evaluated	Extremely poor strategy for integration of Proponent Team responsibilities and processes with other stakeholders regarding time, cost, quality, and risk management: lack complete or almost complete understanding of the requirements	Poor strategy for integration of Proponent Team responsibilities and processes with other stakeholders regarding time, cost, quality, and risk management: has <del>come</del> <b>some</b> understanding of the requirements but lacks adequate understandings in some areas of the requirements	Adequate strategy for integration of Proponent Team responsibilities and processes with other stakeholders regarding time, cost, quality, and risk management; demonstrate a good understanding of the requirements	Very good strategy for integration of Proponent Team responsibilities and processes with other stakeholders regarding time, cost, quality, and risk management; demonstrate a very good understanding of the requirements	Superior strategy for integration of Proponent Team responsibilities and processes with other stakeholders regarding time, cost, quality, and risk management; demonstrate an excellent understanding of the requirements	140 points
SRE 5.5 Criterion 2 H	Did not submit information which could be evaluated	Extremely poor, insufficient succession plan; lack complete or almost complete understanding of the requirements	Limited succession plan; has <del>come</del> <b>some</b> understanding of the requirements but lacks adequate understandings in some areas of the requirements	Adequate succession plan; demonstrate a good understanding of the requirements	Very good succession plan; demonstrate a very good understanding of the requirements	Superior succession plan; demonstrate an excellent understanding of the requirements	75 points

6. A correction is made to criterion SRE 5.3 Criterion 2 A. Please remove the text in ~~strike through~~ and add the text in **grey bold**.

SRE 5.3 Criterion 2 A	Did not submit information which could be evaluated  <del>Capacity to dedicate a construction management workforce of</del>	Extremely poor, insufficient corporate support to meet the <b>performance</b> requirement	Limited capability to provide corporate support to meet the performance requirement	Acceptable capability and corporate support, should ensure adequate results	Very good capability and corporate support, should ensure effective results	Superior capability and corporate support, should ensure very effective results	65 points
-----------------------------	---	--	--	---	---	---	-----------

	less than 75 to NCC program						
--	-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

7. A correction is made to criterion SRE 5.2 Criterion 3 B. Please add the text in **grey bold**.

Scale 2	0%	30%	50%	70%	85%	100%	Available points
SRE 5.2 Criterion 3 B <b>*does not apply to the Business Manager</b>	Did not submit information which could be evaluated	Key individual's project sample not related to this requirement	Key individual's project sample generally not related to this requirement	Key individual's project sample generally related to this requirement	Key individual's project sample directly related to this requirement	Key individual leads in project directly related to this requirement	Program Manager, Construction Manager & Senior Superintendent (50 points each), Design Manager (30 points), Time, Cost, Procurement(20 points each Total: 240

8. A correction is made to item 1 d in **1.2 Format of Proposals**. Please remove the text in ~~strikethrough~~ and add the text in **grey bold**.

d. Include the ANNEX A- DECLARATION AND ACCEPTANCE FORM ~~and all amendments~~, duly signed and dated by the authorized representative of the Proponent, with the technical submission to confirm the Proponent has received and understands the requirements of the RFP and all amendments. **Note the bid closing date will be used for any undated ANNEX A- DECLARATION AND ACCEPTANCE FORM.**

9. A correction is made to ANNEX A- DECLARATION AND ACCEPTANCE FORM. Please remove the text in ~~strikethrough~~.

~~I acknowledge of the following addenda and have included for the requirement of it/them in my proposal~~



Ce qui suit doit être interprété comme faisant partie intégrante de la proposition/appeal d'offres et des documents relatifs au contrat:

1. Une modification a été apportée au critère SRE 5.1 critère 5E. Veuillez retirer le texte ~~barré~~ et ajouté celui en **gras gris**.

SRE 5.1 Critère 5E	Ne correspond pas aux caractéristiques minimales d'un projet immobilier ou de nature patrimonial ou de nature complexe  L'information sur l'approche et la méthodologie n'a pas été fournie pour aucune étape du projet	L'approche et la méthodologie ne sont fournies que pour une étape du projet et les processus de chaque étape du projet sont quelque peu expliqués	L'approche et la méthodologie ne sont fournies pour deux étapes du projet et les processus de chaque étape du projet sont expliqués	L'approche et la méthodologie ne sont fournies pour trois étapes du projet et les processus de chaque étape du projet sont expliqués	L'approche et la méthodologie ne sont fournies pour quatre les étapes du projet et les processus de chaque étape du projet sont expliqués	L'approche et la méthodologie sont fournies pour <b>plus de cinq ou plus les</b> étapes du projet et les processus globaux de chaque étape du projet sont expliqués	10 points par projet, jusqu'à concurrence de 60 points total
-----------------------	---	---	---	--	---	---	--

2. Aucune modification au document français.
3. Aucune modification au document français.
4. Aucune modification au document français.
5. Aucune modification au document français.
6. Aucune modification au document français.
7. Aucune modification au document français.
8. Une modification a été apportée à item 1 d à **1.2 Format des propositions**. Veuillez retirer le texte ~~barré~~ et ajouté celui en **gras gris**.

d. Joindre l'annexe A- FORMULAIRE DE DÉCLARATION ET D'ACCEPTATION ~~et toutes les addenda~~, dûment signées et datées par le fondé de pouvoir du soumissionnaire, au volet technique de la proposition afin de confirmer que le soumissionnaire a reçu et comprend les exigences de la DDP et toutes les modifications. **Notez que la date de la clôture d'offre sera utilisée si l'annexe A- FORMULAIRE DE DÉCLARATION ET D'ACCEPTATION est non datée.**

9. Une modification a été apportée à l'annexe A- FORMULAIRE DE DÉCLARATION ET D'ACCEPTATION. Veuillez retirer le texte ~~barré~~.

~~Je reconnais avoir reçu les addenda et je les ai inclus aux fins de ma proposition.~~

Allan Lapensée  
Senior Contract Officer, Agent principal aux contrats  
Procurement Services, services d'approvisionnement