



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions - TPSGC

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

11 Laurier St.\11, rue Laurier

Gatineau

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Business Transformation and Systems Integration
Service/Division de transformation des opérations et d'intégrat

Special Procurement Initiative Dir

Dir. des initiatives spéciales

d'approvisionnement

Terrasses de la Chaudière 4th Floor

10 Wellington Street

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Project Management Services	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EN912-182003/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 004
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20182003	Date 2018-06-20
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$XE-676-33555	
File No. - N° de dossier 676xe.EN912-182003	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2018-06-27	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B.	
Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Yang, Annie	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 676xe
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 858-8340 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

AMENDMENT 004

THE SOLICITATION AMENDMENT #004 IS RAISED TO ANSWER QUESTIONS FROM THE INDUSTRY.

QUESTION # 031

Reference: Bid Closing Date

Question:

Given the length of time to respond to questions, would the Crown please consider giving the vendor community a one week extension to the closing date?

Answer:

No further extension will be added to the closing date (June 27th, 2018). No answers given in the amendments will cause prejudice to the bidders' ability to develop their respective responses.

QUESTION # 032

Reference: Business Architect Level 3, R1

Question:

With regards to the technical evaluation criteria R1 for the Business Architect Level 3 that requires 10 years of cumulative experience carrying out any 3 of the following tasks:

- a) designing interventions aimed at improving organizational effectiveness;
- b) providing advisory consulting services;
- c) preparing business cases;
- d) carrying out options analysis; and
- e) developing performance measurement/evaluation frameworks.

The evaluation criteria states that 10 points will be allocated for each year of experience over and above the 10 years up to a maximum of 100 points. Could the Crown please confirm that candidates will score 100 points if they demonstrate 10 years of cumulative experience performing the listed tasks? For example, if the candidate performed three of the suitable tasks for 10 years per task they would score 100 points.

If this is not correct, could the Crown please clarify and further substantiate the scoring methodology?

Answer:

Incorrect, the candidate will score 10 points for each supplementary year of experience over and above the threshold 10 years of experience, while performing three of the listed tasks, up to a maximum of 100 points.

QUESTION # 033

Reference: Business Architect Level 3, R1 & R3

Question:

With regards to the technical evaluation criteria R1 & R3 for the Business Architect Level 3. Both R1 and R3 are asking to demonstrate the exact same experience? Could the Crown please clarify if this was intentional or if there are meant to be differences between the criteria?

Answer:

R3 requires that the experience performing tasks listed in R1 be specifically acquired by the proposed resource when in support of 2 government projects, for a minimum of 5 years, performing a minimum of 3 listed tasks.

QUESTION # 034

Reference: Corporate Mandatory Criterion M1, item 6

Question:

Regarding **Corporate Mandatory Criterion M1, item 6**. "Each contract must have a total billing value of \$2M". We assume that contracts demonstrated must each have an overall contract billed value of \$2M and that the 5-year time restriction does not apply to this item. Please confirm.

Answer:

The 5-year time restriction does apply to the overall contract billed value. The billing period for the \$2M must occur within the 5-year period specified in M1 item 5.

QUESTION # 035

Reference: Project Manager Level 3, M4

Question:

Concerning the **PM Level 3** category, Mandatory Criterion **M4**: "...providing a copy of the certificate, diploma, or degree that the proposed resource has qualified as a Project Management Professional (PMP)"
We assume that the 'PMP' was included in error, and that any certificate, diploma or degree that is directly related to project management may be deemed compliant for this M4 criterion? Please confirm our assumption is correct.

Answer:

This assumption is incorrect. Canada is asking for globally recognized Project Management Professional (PMP) certification.

QUESTION # 036

Reference: Project Manager Level 3, R4

Question:

Concerning the **PM Level 3** category, Rated Criterion **R4**: The combination of certifications available for points is very limited and specific which eliminates many excellent, reputable, certified Senior Level Project Managers from this RFP.

To allow for a truly competitive bid process, would the crown please consider the following to provide a fair chance to equally qualified Senior Level Project Managers who hold other certifications that are directly related to the SOW and the category:

- Offer 10 points for 'other' relevant certifications that are directly related to the statement of work (e.g. SAP and/or Service Delivery) or resource Category (e.g. other Project Management certifications)
- Allow any combination of 3 relevant certifications ('other' relevant certifications and the certifications already listed) to achieve 40 points.

Answer:

No. Canada is attributing points based on globally recognized certification programs.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME