



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
– TPSGC**

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Quebec

K1A0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services Division/Division des
services professionnels en informatique
Terrasses de la Chaudière 4th Floor
10 Wellington Street
Gatineau
Quebec
K1A0S5

Title - Sujet ADPS Peoplesoft SAP	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation M7594-181003/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client M7594-181003	Date 2018-08-01
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$IPS-007-33672	
File No. - N° de dossier 007ips.M7594-181003	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2018-08-27	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Lavigne, Jay	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 007ips
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 220-9476 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation Amendment 003

This solicitation Amendment is raised to answer the following questions and to make RFP amendments.

Q 026:

R1 requests contracts over and above the three references required for M1 (Contract 1, Contract 2, and Contract 3).

To score the maximum points for R1, is the Bidder required to provide:

- a) Three (3) Contracts - Contract 4, Contract 5, and Contract 6 [a Total of six (6) Contracts for M1/R1]

Or is the Bidder required to provide:

- b) Six (6) Contracts – Contract 4 to Contract 9 [a Total of nine (9) Contracts for M1/R1]

A 026:

- Should you provide 4 contracts (which is 1 over the mandatory 3 contracts then you will get 10 points
- Should you provide 5 contracts (which is 2 over the mandatory 3 contracts) then you will get 20 points
- Should you provide anything 6 or more contracts (which is at least 3 or more over the mandatory 3 contracts then you will get 20 points

Q 027:

R2 requests contracts over and above the three references required for M2 (Contract 1, Contract 2, and Contract 3).

To score the maximum points for R2, is the Bidder required to provide:

- a) Two (2) Contracts - Contract 4 and Contract 5 [a Total of five (5) Contracts for M2/R2]

Or is the Bidder required to provide:

- b) Five (5) Contracts – Contract 4 to Contract 8 [a Total of eight (8) Contracts for M2/R2]

A 027:

- Should you provide 4 contracts (which is 1 over the mandatory 3 contracts) then you will get 5 points
- Should you provide anything over 4 contracts (which is at least 2 or more over the mandatory 3 contracts) then you will get 10 points

Q 028:

R3 requests contracts over and above the three references required for M3 (Contract 1, Contract 2, and Contract 3).

To score the maximum points for R3, is the Bidder required to provide:

- c) Two (2) Contracts - Contract 4 and Contract 5 [a Total of five (5) Contracts for M3/R3]
Or is the Bidder required to provide:
- d) Five (5) Contracts – Contract 4 to Contract 8 [a Total of eight (8) Contracts for M3/R3]

A 028:

- Should you provide 4 contracts (which is 1 over the mandatory 3 contracts) then you will get 5 points
- Should you provide anything over 4 contracts (which is at least 2 or more over the mandatory 3 contracts) then you will get 10 points

Q 029:

Amendment #1 Q&A#008 indicated:

At Evaluation Criteria

M2, M3 and R2 and R3

DELETE: time period 'within the last 5 years'

INSERT: within 7 years

Amendment #002 Q&A #013 makes reference to "before the last 5 years" for contracts addressing M2/R2?
Can the Crown please confirm that contracts within the last 7 years will be accepted for M2, M3, R2, and R3?

A 029:

Correct, as per response to Q 008 the criteria has been changed from within the last 5 years to within the last 7 years

Q 030:

For M1/R1, will the Crown consider allowing contracts in the past 7 years prior to date of RFP issuance to be consistent with the Crown's response to Q&A#008 that allowed 7 years for M2, M3, R2, and R3?

A 030:

Yes (in order to be consistent with all other criteria)

At Evaluation Criteria

M1, R1, M2, R2 and M3 and R3

DELETE: time period 'within the last 5 years'

INSERT: within 7 years

Q 031:

“We would like to pose the following question regarding sol# M7594-181682/A:

would the Crown please change R1 part v) to the following?

“The Bidders response must address each of the following characteristics for each of the successful contracts: v) team must include at least 2 of the following resources: Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and/or IM Architects””

A 031:

No, however we will amend the criteria as follows:

Throughout the evaluation criteria one of the characteristics that must be addressed for the criteria includes experience with all 4 resource category areas (i) Application/Software Architects, (ii) Testers, (iii) Programmer/Analyst and (iv) IM Architect. This amendment is raised to specify that for mandatory criteria only (not point rated criteria) project experience will be accepted provided the project includes experience with at least 3 of the 4 resource category areas provided that for each criteria ALL of the categories are addressed, this applies to each of the criteria M1 and M2.

For example, in any of the affected criteria the following ‘mix’ of experience addresses all 4 of the resource category areas and will be accepted

Contract 001 – application/software architects, Testers, IM Architects

Contract 002 – Programmer/Analysts, Testers, IM Architects

Contract 003 – Application/software architects, Programmer/Analyst, IM Architects”

This amendment affects M1v) and M2i) NOT any of the point rated criteria

At Annex D – Bid Evaluation Criteria, M1

DELETE: v) team must have included Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and IM Architects

INSERT: v) for each of the proposed mandatory 3 contracts the team must have included at least 3 of the following 4 categories provided all 4 categories are present across the 3 mandatory contracts: Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and IM Architects

At M2

DELETE: i) Collaboration with former Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and IM Architects

INSERT: i) for each of the proposed mandatory 3 contracts the team must have included at least 3 of the following 4 categories provided all 4 categories are present across the 3 mandatory contracts:

Application/Software Architects, Programmer/Analysts, Testers and IM Architects

The change in this criteria does not apply to point rated criteria R1 and R2

All other Terms and Conditions remain the same