

Q.29 Is there a machine readable version, ie XML, of the agency to act/regulation mapping that is here: <https://www.canada.ca/en/government/policy/dept.html>

A.29 The link above is from the Canada.ca site and not maintained by Justice so no machine readable version (XML of agency mapping) is available from us. The links from this list goes to the specific department or agency page which then lists the Acts and regulations they are responsible for. The list of responsible Acts and regulations on the department or agency page then links to that specific legislation on the Justice Laws Website. The lists on each department or agency page are maintained by them. However, any legislation on those lists that link to the Justice Laws Website is available on the FTP site.

Q.30 As part of our analysis, it seems there are many regulations that are cited in historical notes that don't exist, even in a repealed state. Do you know what happened for these regs? For example, these regulations don't exist online or in the xml files, but are cited in other regulations:

SOR-89-521
SOR-92-727
SOR-2003-111
SOR-83-613
SOR-2001-354

A.30 There are a few reasons why specific regulations that are cited in historical notes do not exist in XML on the FTP site.

- If the regulations cited in the historical notes are amending only, they will not be available in XML as they are not consolidated as regular new regulations in the database. For example, they would not appear on the list of regulations listed on the Justice Laws Website. (<http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/regulations>) Only the result of their amendments are shown within the targeted regulations.
- If the regulations were repealed before the first point in time of the consolidated data (January 1, 2003 onwards for the Acts and March 22, 2006 onwards for the regulations) then they also would not appear in the XML files as they were never part of the initial load into the database)
- If you want to reference these cited regulations, they can be found on the Canada Gazette site from 1998 onwards (Part II):
<http://gazette.gc.ca/rp-pr/publications-eng.html>
- And on the Library and Archives Canada site for prior to 1998:
<http://gazette.gc.ca/rp-pr/archives-eng.html>

Q31: Were there questions 25 to 28 that were posted?

A31: No, there was in error with the numbering of the questions. Q29 and Q30 should have read Q25 and Q26. CSPS has decided to continue the numbering of the questions starting at Q31 to follow the posting of Q29 and Q30.

Q32: Could CSPS clarify the answer to Q15 with regards to the possibility of issuing both Task-Based Informatics Professional Services (TBIPS) as well as Solutions-Based Informatics Professional Services (SBIPS) supply arrangements?

A32: CSPA would like to amend the answer to Q15 to read the following:

The qualified list will be made available for government departments that wish to pursue artificial intelligence projects, including advanced analytics and machine learning. While no procurement mechanism (e.g. standing offer/supply arrangement) will be put in place as a result of this RFP, departments could use the vendor list to undertake their procurement requirements under their own departmental delegation which could include undertaking a limited tender process should the dollar value of the requirement not exceed trade agreement thresholds. Pricing will be determined when the department engages with the supplier on the contract. The scope of future work may be inclusive of all AI and ML work.

Q.33 We would like to submit the following question:

Would the client please confirm the due date for this response? The front page of Amendment 2 states September 14th 2018 at 15:00 pm EDT, however the submission instructions on page 7 state August 31st 2018 at 3:00pm.

A.33 Responses must be sent on or before September 14th, 2018 at 3:00pm (15:00), Eastern Daylight Saving Time (EDT). See RFP Amendment 3.