



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

National Individual Standing Offer (NISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle nationale (OCIN)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Electronics, Simulators and Defence Systems Div.

/Division des systèmes électroniques et des
systèmes de simulation et de défense

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

8C2, Place du Portage

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet RFSO - SPEED RADARS		
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation M7594-172510/A		Date 2018-08-20
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client M7594-172510		Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
File No. - N° de dossier 106qf.M7594-172510	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME	
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$QF-106-26925		
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale		2018-07-31
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2018-09-19		Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Mastantuono, Ricardo		Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 106qf
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 420-1744 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-5650	
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée		
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:		
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.		

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required Accusé de réception requis	Yes - Oui <input type="checkbox"/>	No - Non <input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
M7594-17-2510/A
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
M7594-17-2510

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
003
File No. - N° du dossier
106qf M7594-17-2510/A

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
106qf
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

AMENDMENT TO THE RFSO

This RFSO amendment is issued to:

- respond to questions received from Bidders (see Log # 3 and 4 found attached).

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
M7594-17-2510/A
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
M7594-17-2510

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
003
File No. - N° du dossier
106qf M7594-17-2510/A

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
106qf
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

BIDDER QUESTIONS (Log # 3)

RCMP (RADAR) RFSO - Solicitation No. M7594-17-2510/A - List of Questions (Log # 3)							
Q #	RFSO Reference A			Resp.	Bidder Question B	Response C	RFSO Amendment D
	Part (1 to 7)	Article	Annex/Appendix				
4	2	2.2		PWGSC	We respectfully request a 45 day extension to respond to this tender.	We cannot extend by 45 days. There is an operational need to have this proceed as quickly as possible due to a shortage of equipment and the lack of a current standing offer.	
5	7		Annex D	RCMP	Why are you only requesting only 25 OBD II cable assemblies per year? Are all vehicles with dash mounted radar (130 + 150 per year) going to use the OBD II cables or this only a subset and why?	We don't anticipate every RADAR requiring OBD II cables for installations. Each province has their own standard for installation, and some choose to utilize OBD II cables for various reasons. We wish to have that option available should it be desired.	
6	4 7		Annex A, Appendix 2 Annex A, Article 6.5.4 & Sub-article 6.5.4.a	RCMP	We recommend removal of the mandatory requirements to support OBD II solutions with the RADAR for two reasons. First, the vehicle manufactures have long stated that no equipment shall be plugged into the OBD II port. Referring to Ford's 2017 Sedan & Utility Modifier Guide, section 2 – Electrical, "Do not install any components into the PCM or PCM harness. Connecting into this system may affect engine and transmission operation. Vehicle speed output is available in 14-way connector at base of center stack...". The center stack option is the required method to access the VSS and NOT through the OBD port by some OEM's. Second, the 2018 Dodge Charger Pursuit provides an optional Police Interface Module (PIM) which plugs directly into the OBD port. This PIM assists with programing special features such as blackout, steering wheel switches and trunk opening. This PIM also limits the VSS output to 15 MPH as part of the vehicle blackout feature and will inhibit any RADAR manufacturer from using the OBD II VSS output.	Occasionally an install requiring the use of the OBD II port may be required. We utilize a wide variety of make, model and year of vehicles, not just Ford Sedans and Utilities. Our offices can be quite remote, and sometimes we require a non skilled installation. We currently do not utilize the Dodge Charger for operational activities requiring a RADAR.	
					Additionally, there are other speed sensing technologies available that are as accurate and do not violate the conditions in the prior paragraph. Some of these alternate methods do not require an interface to the vehicle to obtain an independent vehicle speed reading, thus the request to leave it open to alternate methods of capturing patrol speed.		
7	4 7		Annex A, Appendix 1, Article 3.2 Annex A, Article 3.2	RCMP	In the technical scoring mandates that all equipment must be type approved to ISEDC RSS-210 and all equipment submitted must be REL listed. Do only the antenna modules need to be type approved and REL listed or does the entire product model need to be type approved and REL listed?	The radio equipment that is being utilized within the equipment must be approved as per ISEDC RSS-210. The specification number must clearly be indicated in the response document. Documentation identifying the radio equipment must be detailed.	
8	7		Annex A, Article 3.3.2	RCMP	How many samples will be required for evaluation and with what lead time after notification?	One sample will be required for RFI testing. It will be requested when needed and expected it would be provided within 30 days. All other requests to purchase must comply with the timelines outline in the statement of work.	
9	4 7		Annex A, Appendix 2 Annex A, Article 6.2.12	RCMP	We respectfully request that the scoring for the Audible Target Lock Voice be removed in order to satisfy the Official Languages Act of Canada (1969) and OQLF (also known as the French Language Police) that require languages to be in both English and French, as these languages are of both equal status.	The point rating system is used to establish features that are not mandatory, but desired by the users. The audible target lock voice is not a mandatory requirement. It is a desired feature that has been identified as important by the user.	
10	4 7		Annex A, Appendix 1, Article 6.2.8 Annex A, Article 6.2.8	RCMP	Request is to remove this requirement as some units without separable display are smaller in size than others that are separated, thus not meeting the intent.	The intent of this specification does not speak to size, but rather installation options that can be provided by separating the units, if desired	
11	4 7		Annex A, Appendix 1, Article 6.3.2 Annex A, Article 6.3.2	RCMP	Please update to include manual or automatic adjustment since automatic adjustment is superior as it simplifies the user interaction.	Illumination controls will be manual adjusted as desired at this time. Experience has shown that automatic adjustment does not always work as intended or desired.	

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
M7594-17-2510/A
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
M7594-17-2510

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
003
File No. - N° du dossier
106qf M7594-17-2510/A

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
106qf
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

BIDDER QUESTIONS (Log # 4)

RCMP (RADAR) RFSO - Solicitation No. M7594-17-2510/A - List of Questions (Log # 4)							
Q #	RFSO Reference A			Resp.	Bidder Question B	Response C	RFSO Amendment D
	Part (1 to 7)	Article	Annex/Appendix				
12	7		Annex A, Section 4 Annex A, Section 6, Article 6.3, Sub-Article 6.3.3 Annex A, Section 4	RCMP	<p>The section lists the manual functions the RADAR equipment must support.</p> <p>States RADAR display illumination shall be capable of manual adjustment. (NOTE: toggling within the menu will not be considered). Yet this Section speaks to the automated functions of the RADAR equipment.</p> <p>Does not list this manual illumination control as a requirement, but lists all the manual controls required. As the majority of RADAR speed devices use a light sensor (photo cell) to measure and control the illumination brightness based on available lighting, lower light conditions the screen illumination is reduced. The opposite in high lighting conditions.</p> <p>Question: Will the RCMP accept automated illumination of the RADAR screen controlled by a photo cell? If not, why not?</p>	<p>No, Photocells do not always function as designed and introduce an automatic dimming or brightening of the unit which may create unexpected changes to the display for the user. The RCMP will, at this time, remain operationally committed to a RADAR with manual adjustment features.</p>	