

Q: Following up on last correspondence - Referring to the current scan speed at 78 as answered in the last amendment Q A1.1, has it been changed to 76 ppm to allow for more than one bidder to compete?

A: Yes we will accept 76

Q: As per amendment #2 Q: A1.8 & A4.8 Can you confirm the new requirement for these two requirements is now simply stated as Energy star 2.0?

A: Yes, this can be stated as Energy Star 2

**Q:**

**A1.8** Energy Star Version 3.0 (now stating Energy Star 2.0 approved) compliant & IEC62368-1 safety standards

IEC62368-1 is a new standard in North America replacing the current standard of UL 60950-1, however IEC62368-1 does not officially replace UL 60950-1 until December 20th, 2020. Since this is a transition time between the two standards, will the RCMP also accept the current standard of UL 60950-1 in addition to IEC62368-1?

A: Yes we will accept.

**Q:**

**A4.7 or A4.8** - Energy Star 3.0 & EPEAT Gold - we are asking the EPEAT GOLD be removed.

Justification: EPEAT Gold certification was only asked on the one colour device and not the two other mono devices so should be removed for consistency on the entire proposed solution. Additionally please note that EPEAT Certifications are influenced by both the main unit and the controller attached to it.

Certain units may not achieve EPEAT GOLD when combined with certain controllers making the certification redundant. For fairness and simplicity this EPEAT should be removed and A4.7 should simply state Energy Star 2.0.

A: We wish this rating to remain in place. We are concerned about the health and safety of our print operators. Also, this standard speaks to a green environment conscientiousness of the Government.