



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions - TPSGC

11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services - EL Division/Services

professionnels en informatique - division EL

Terrasses de la Chaudière 4th Floor

10 Wellington Street

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Omnibus (BPA, B2B, CECP) IT Profess	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 47419-251689/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 1000341689	Date 2018-09-17
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EL-606-33760	
File No. - N° de dossier 606el.47419-251689	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2018-10-09	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B.	
Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Mansour, Silvana	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 606el
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 858-9935 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This Solicitation Amendment 003 includes answers to questions received from potential Bidders and an amendment.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question 7:

Given the extensive level of effort to respond to this RFP will the Crown please provide a three-week extension, amending the closing date to October 8th?

Answer 7:

See amendment below.

Question 8:

On page 86, the Crown has provided P.7 Project Manager requirements, which currently is a list of Project Coordinator Tasks. We believe the Crown intended to use P.9 Project Manager requirements, and if this is correct we kindly ask for an updated list of tasks.

Answer 8:

This was an error. The reference of P.7 should read P.9 instead and the resource category description is indeed that of a Project Manager Level 2. The tasks outlined on page 86 of the RFP for the Project Manager category Level 2 remain unchanged.

Question 9:

Given the significance and the complexity of the associated response development, would the Crown please consider a three (3) week extension to the closing date?

Answer 9:

See amendment below.

Question 10:

Regarding M.1.1 Corporate Experience, on page 143, our firm can provide 2 suitable project references that can demonstrate greater than \$7M total spend. One of the projects was awarded more than 5 years ago, however, the work was completed within the last 2 years. Would the Crown accept contracts awarded within the past 10 years, with the work being completed within the last 5 years, to demonstrate capability?

Answer 10:

Canada has reviewed the request, however the requirement remains unchanged.

Question 11:

Regarding M.1.1 Corporate Experience, on page 143, our firm can provide 2 suitable project references, however the 2nd project was delivered under 2 separately competed TBIPS TA-based contracts, along with some THS roles, as the original contracts did not account for all roles required for the project (testing services and custom development work were added). Would the Crown allow for the combining of these contracts to demonstrate capability, as they were for the same project?

Answer 11:

Canada has reviewed the request, however the requirement remains unchanged.

Question 12:

Regarding M.1.1 Corporate Experience, on page 143, will the Crown recognize more recently awarded contracts (within the past year) that will exceed the \$7M spend that calls for 25 positions over the next 6 years?

Answer 12:

Canada has reviewed the request, however the requirement remains unchanged.

Question 13:

Has there been an incumbent providing these or similar services in the past 12 months? If so, who was the incumbent and what was the value of the contract?

Answer 13:

No, there has been no incumbent providing these or similar services in the past 12 months.

Question 14:

The request for eight resources, along with mandatory corporate requirements, requires a large and detailed proposal response. We understand that CBSA has a timeline to adhere to and are respectfully asking for a three-week extension which will allow for increased participants from bidders, and for bidders to submit the best available resources for this requirement, resulting in more compliant choices for CBSA.

Answer 14:

See amendment below.

Question 15:

Due to the amount of RFPs that have recently been posted and the high level of effort deployed in finding 8 resources with niche skill sets, would the Crown please grant a 2 week extension?

Answer 15:

See amendment below.

Question 16:

Rated Criteria R.3.5.2 for the Platform Analyst (DataPower), Level 2 calls for 60+ months of IBM Integration Bus experience for full points.

This COTS product has only existed under the name "IBM Integration Bus" since May 2014 (52 Months). Previously it was known under the name "WebSphere Message Broker" (WMB). Therefore, would the Crown accept resource experience with "WebSphere Message Broker" WMB as well as IBM Integration Bus, to accumulate for a total of 60+ months?

Answer 16:

Considering this technology is no longer a standard at CBSA, R.2.12.2 of Appendix C to Annex A *Resources Assessment Criteria and Response Table* as well as R.3.5.2 of Attachment 4.1 *Bid Evaluation Criteria*, all rated criteria specific to IBM Integration Bus (IIB) technology are being removed.

The Total Available Points for this resource category is now 42 instead of 48. The Minimum Total Overall Points Required to be declared responsive is now 25 instead of 29. See amendment below.

Furthermore, the IBM Integration Bus (IIB) technology was also specified under the B.14 Technical Writer resource category. The rated criteria R.2.10.4 of Appendix C to Annex A *Resources Assessment Criteria and Response Table* as well as R.3.4.4 of Attachment 4.1 *Bid Evaluation Criteria*, are being adjusted to remove IBM IIB from the list of languages and software products. The Total Available Points and the Minimum Total Overall Points Required to be declared responsive for this resource category remain the same. The R.2.10.4 and R.3.4.4 rated criteria are amended accordingly. See amendment below.

Question 17:

Mandatory Criteria M.1.13.1 and M.2.6.1 for the Project Manager (BPA & B2B), Level 2 calls for performing 14 out of the 21 tasks listed in the Statement of Work.

The statement of work for the Project Manager (BPA & B2B), Level 2 only lists 20 tasks, therefore, would the Crown consider changing the criteria to read as:

“The bidder must demonstrate that the proposed resource has a minimum of 5 years of experience, within the last 10 years, working as a Project Manager performing at least 13 of the 20 tasks listed in the Statement of Work, Article 5. Resource Tasks and Deliverables, P.9 Project Manager level 2.”

Answer 17:

Agreed. See amendment below.

Question 18:

As stated within the RFP in Part 7, Section 7.2 – this contract will require resources through “as and when requested” Task Authorizations. Since these resources will be required on an “as-and-when-requested basis”, would the Crown consider reducing the number of resources required to be submitted for the Programmer/Analyst (JAVA), Level 3 and Platform Analyst (Data Power) from two (2) to one (1)?

Answer 18:

Canada has reviewed the request, however the requirement remains unchanged.

Question 19:

Mandatory Criteria M.2.3.4 and Rated Criteria R.3.3.4 for the Programmer/Analyst (UI) calls for a minimum of 2 years of experience developing applications using React and Redux JavaScript libraries.

React has only been out for 5 years (released in 2013) and has just recently in the last 1-2 years been adopted within the federal government. Since it is a very niche technology and the Crown is asking for senior resources with Secret clearance, would the Crown consider changing the mandatory requirement to a minimum of 6 months experience and the rated to 14+ months to score the full 6 points?

Answer 19:

See amendment below. The rated criteria - R.2.3.6 for the resource category A.14 Web Developer (Usability Expert) – Level 3 is also amended. See amendment below.

Question 20:

At Part 4 of the RFP, there is currently a price median set at the range that encompasses any rate to a value of -20% of the median and the upper median rate to a value of +30% of the median. In many Federal Departments price medians are being set at -15 of the median and upper median rate to a value of +20%, which elicits more competitive bid responses with rates that are useable to attract top quality resources for your IT projects. Would the Crown consider modifying the rate to be at -10 of the median and the upper median rate to a value of +15% in an effort to attract (and retain)senior technical consultants for CBSA?

Answer 20:

Canada has reviewed the request, however the requirement remains unchanged.

Question 21:

In order to allow for a wider array of bidders to participate in this procurement we respectfully request that the Crown allow for the following definition of bidder as was included in a recent PWGSC procurement:

"Bidder" means the person or entity (or, in the case of a joint venture, the persons or entities) submitting a bid to perform a contract for goods, services or both. It also includes the parent, subsidiaries or other affiliates of the Bidder, its subcontractors and/or association of entities.

Answer 21:

Canada has reviewed the request, however the definition of “Bidder” as outlined in the RFP remains unchanged.

Question 22:

We respectfully request an extension to the closing date to Friday October 5.

Answer 22:

See amendment below.

Question 23:

Due the uniqueness of the resources and complexity of the grids, we are requesting a two week extension until October 2nd.

Answer 23:

See amendment below.

Question 24:

Rated Technical Criteria R.3.6.3 for the Project Manager requires a university degree or college diploma in project management, business administration, or computer science. Please confirm that an Electronics Engineering Technician diploma will meet this requirement.

Answer 24:

Canada has reviewed the request and has determined that an Electronics Engineering Technician diploma is not suitable for this requirement.

Question 25:

Due to the delay in receiving answers to our questions, would the Crown please grant a 2 week extension?

Answer 25:

See amendment below.

Question 26:

Given the number of resources required in response to this solicitation and the level of detail required to respond would the Crown please consider granting the vendor community a two-week extension to the closing date of this solicitation?

Answer 26:

See amendment below.

Question 27:

There are currently 10 active complex Tier 2 RFPs posted on Buy and Sell and with the summer and Labour Day vacation, we respectfully request a minimum 2 week extension to the current closing date.

Answer 27:

See amendment below.

Question 28:

Programmer/Analyst UI M2.3.4 asks for 2 years of experience with Redux JS, but it was only released in June 2015. Would the Crown please confirm that they are looking for a combination of experience with React and Redux, not 2 years each?

Answer 28:

This is to confirm that the Crown is looking for a combination of experience with React and Redux. See amendment below in response to Question 19.

Question 29:

Programmer/Analyst UI R3.3.3 – asking for over 4 years' experience with HTML5, but HTML5 was released October 2014, meaning it's impossible to have over 4 years' experience with it. Please adjust the scoring to reflect this accordingly.

Answer 29:

The scoring methodology has been adjusted. See amendment below.

Question 30:

Programmer/Analyst UI R3.3.4 asks for 4+ years of experience with Redux JS, but it was only released in June 2015, therefore, more than 3 years' experience is impossible. Would the Crown please confirm that they are looking for a combination of experience with React and Redux, not 2 years each?

Answer 30:

See responses to Questions 19 and 28 and the amendment below. The rated criteria - R.2.3.6 for the resource category A.14 Web Developer (Usability Expert) – Level 3 is also amended accordingly. See amendment below.

AMENDMENT:**At Page 1:****DELETE:**

The Solicitation closes at 2:00 p.m. EDT on 24-09-2018

INSERT:

The Solicitation closes at 2:00 p.m. EDT on 09-10-2018

At APPENDIX C TO ANNEX A - RESOURCES ASSESSMENT CRITERIA AND RESPONSE TABLE**DELETE:**

Rated requirement 2.12 - I.7 Platform Analyst (Data Power) – Level 2 in its entirety.

INSERT:**2.12 I.7 Platform Analyst (Data Power) – Level 2**

Name of Resource: _____				
#	Rated Technical Criteria	Scoring Methodology	Points Max	Cross Reference to proposal (Page #)
R.2.12.1	The Contractor should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience implementing B2B solutions using the IBM Data Power product.	1+ to 3 years = 2 points 3+ to 5 years = 4 points 5+ years = 6 points	6	

Name of Resource: _____				
#	Rated Technical Criteria	Scoring Methodology	Points Max	Cross Reference to proposal (Page #)
R.2.12.2	The Contractor should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience with IBM WebSphere (J2EE) application server.	1+ to 3 years = 2 points 3+ to 5 years = 4 points 5+ years = 6 points	6	
R.2.12.3	The Contractor should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience integrating IBM Data Power Business Processes using Multi-protocol Adapters and IBM Transformation Extender.	1+ to 3 years = 2 points 3+ to 5 years = 4 points 5+ years = 6 points	6	
R.2.12.4	The Contractor should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience with Gateway Script (ECMAScript and CommonJS) programming within IBM Data Power.	1+ to 3 years = 2 points 3+ to 5 years = 4 points 5+ years = 6 points	6	
R.2.12.5	The Contractor should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience with Java programming using REST (Representational State Transfer) or SOAP (Simple Object Access Protocol) technologies.	1+ to 3 years = 2 points 3+ to 5 years = 4 points 5+ years = 6 points	6	
R.2.12.6	The Contractor should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience analyzing, developing or enhancing an EDI IT application using MQ Series and/or JMS.	1+ to 3 years = 2 points 3+ to 5 years = 4 points 5+ years = 6 points	6	
R.2.12.7	The Contractor should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience within the last 10 years working on large scale IT projects* *Large scale IT project is defined as: • Scope spans multiple	1+ to 3 years = 2 points 3+ to 5 years = 4 points 5+ years = 6 points	6	

Name of Resource: _____				
#	Rated Technical Criteria	Scoring Methodology	Points Max	Cross Reference to proposal (Page #)
	programs and services • User base of 500 users or more			
Total Available points:			42	
Minimum Total Overall Points Required to be declared responsive:			25	
Total achieved:				

At ATTACHMENT 4.1 - BID EVALUATION CRITERIA**DELETE:**

Rated requirement 3.5 - I.7 Platform Analyst (Data Power) – Level 2 in its entirety.

INSERT:**3.5 I.7 Platform Analyst (Data Power) – Level 2**

Name of Resource: _____				
#	Rated Technical Criteria	Scoring Methodology	Points Max	Cross Reference to proposal (Page #)
R.3.5.1	The bidder should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience implementing B2B solutions using the IBM Data Power product.	Less than 1 year = 0 points 1+ to 3 years = 2 points 3+ to 5 years = 4 points 5+ years = 6 points	6	
R.3.5.2	The bidder should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience with IBM WebSphere (J2EE) application server.	1+ to 3 years = 2 points 3+ to 5 years = 4 points 5+ years = 6 points	6	
R.3.5.3	The bidder should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience integrating IBM Data Power Business Processes using Multi-protocol Adapters and IBM Transformation Extender.	1+ to 3 years = 2 points 3+ to 5 years = 4 points 5+ years = 6 points	6	
R.3.5.4	The bidder should demonstrate that the proposed resource has	1+ to 3 years = 2 points	6	

Name of Resource: _____				
#	Rated Technical Criteria	Scoring Methodology	Points Max	Cross Reference to proposal (Page #)
	experience with Gateway Script (ECMAScript and CommonJS) programming within IBM Data Power.	3+ to 5 years = 4 points 5+ years = 6 points		
R.3.5.5	The bidder should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience with Java programming using REST (Representational State Transfer) or SOAP (Simple Object Access Protocol) technologies.	1+ to 3 years = 2 points 3+ to 5 years = 4 points 5+ years = 6 points	6	
R.3.5.6	The bidder should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience analyzing, developing or enhancing an EDI IT application using MQ Series and/or JMS.	1+ to 3 years = 2 points 3+ to 5 years = 4 points 5+ years = 6 points	6	
R.3.5.7	The bidder should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience within the last 10 years working on large scale IT projects* *Large scale IT project is defined as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scope spans multiple programs and services • User base of 500 users or more 	1+ to 3 years = 2 points 3+ to 5 years = 4 points 5+ years = 6 points	6	
Total Available points:			42	
Minimum Total Overall Points Required to be declared responsive:			25	
Total achieved:				

At APPENDIX C TO ANNEX A - RESOURCES ASSESSMENT CRITERIA AND RESPONSE TABLE**DELETE:**

Rated requirement R.2.10.4 - B.14 Technical Writer – Level 2

R.2.10.4	The Contractor should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience working as Technical Writer with	1 point for each programming language or software product up to a maximum of 10 points	10	
----------	--	--	----	--

	<p>a development team that is leveraging and using the following programming languages and software products:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HTML • CSS • JavaScript • JSP • Adobe LifeCycle • ASP • PowerScript • OpentText GCDocs • SAP Enterprise Resource Planning • XML • JIRA • Java • Moodle • MS Dynamics • Questionmark • IBM IIB • IBM DataPower • IBM MQ 			
--	---	--	--	--

INSERT:

Rated requirement R.2.10.4 - B.14 Technical Writer – Level 2

R.2.10.4	<p>The Contractor should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience working as Technical Writer with a development team that is leveraging and using the following programming languages and software products:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HTML • CSS • JavaScript 	<p>1 point for each programming language or software product up to a maximum of 10 points</p>	10	
-----------------	--	---	----	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JSP • Adobe LifeCycle • ASP • PowerScript • OpentText GCDocs • SAP Enterprise Resource Planning • XML • JIRA • Java • Moodle • MS Dynamics • Questionmark • IBM DataPower • IBM MQ 			
--	---	--	--	--

At ATTACHMENT 4.1 - BID EVALUATION CRITERIA**DELETE:**

Rated requirement R.3.4.4 - B.14 Technical Writer – Level 2

R.3.4.4	<p>The bidder should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience working as Technical Writer with a development team that is leveraging and using the following programming languages and software products:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HTML • CSS • JavaScript • JSP • Adobe LifeCycle • ASP • PowerScript • OpentText GCDocs • SAP Enterprise Resource Planning • XML 	1 point for each programming language or software product up to a maximum of 10 points	10	
----------------	---	--	----	--

• JIRA • Java • Moodle • MS Dynamics • Questionmark • IBM IIB • IBM DataPower • IBM MQ			
---	--	--	--

INSERT:

Rated requirement R.3.4.4 - B.14 Technical Writer – Level 2

R.3.4.4	<p>The bidder should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience working as Technical Writer with a development team that is leveraging and using the following programming languages and software products:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HTML • CSS • JavaScript • JSP • Adobe LifeCycle • ASP • PowerScript • OpentText GCDocs • SAP Enterprise Resource Planning • XML • JIRA • Java • Moodle • MS Dynamics • Questionmark • IBM DataPower • IBM MQ 	1 point for each programming language or software product up to a maximum of 10 points	10	
----------------	---	--	----	--

At APPENDIX C TO ANNEX A - RESOURCES ASSESSMENT CRITERIA AND RESPONSE TABLE**DELETE:**

Mandatory requirement M.1.13.1 P.9 Project Manager (BPA & B2B) - Level 2

M.1.13.1	The Contractor must demonstrate that the proposed resource has a minimum of 5 years of experience, within the last 10 years, working as a Project Manager performing at least 14 of the 21 tasks listed in the Statement of Work.		
-----------------	---	--	--

INSERT:

Mandatory requirement M.1.13.1 P.9 Project Manager (BPA & B2B) - Level 2

M.1.13.1	The Contractor must demonstrate that the proposed resource has a minimum of 5 years of experience, within the last 10 years, working as a Project Manager performing at least 13 of the 20 tasks listed in the Statement of Work.		
-----------------	---	--	--

At ATTACHMENT 4.1 - BID EVALUATION CRITERIA**DELETE:**

Mandatory requirement M.2.6.1 - P.9 Project Manager (BPA & B2B) – Level 2

M.2.6.1	The bidder must demonstrate that the proposed resource has a minimum of 5 years of experience, within the last 10 years, working as a Project Manager performing at least 14 of the 21 tasks listed in the Statement of Work, Article 5. Resource Tasks and Deliverables, P.9 Project Manager level 2.		
----------------	--	--	--

INSERT:

Mandatory requirement M.2.6.1 - P.9 Project Manager (BPA & B2B) – Level 2

M.2.6.1	The bidder must demonstrate that the proposed resource has a minimum of 5 years of experience, within the last 10 years, working as a Project Manager performing at least 13 of the 20 tasks listed in the Statement of Work, Article 5. Resource Tasks and Deliverables, P.9 Project Manager level 2.		
----------------	--	--	--

At APPENDIX C TO ANNEX A - RESOURCES ASSESSMENT CRITERIA AND RESPONSE TABLE**DELETE:**

Mandatory requirement M.1.8.4 A.7 Programmer/Analyst (UI) - Level 3

M.1.8.4	The Contractor must demonstrate that the proposed resource has a minimum of 2 years of experience, within the past 5 years, developing applications using React and Redux JavaScript libraries.		
----------------	---	--	--

INSERT:

Mandatory requirement M.1.8.4 A.7 Programmer/Analyst (UI) - Level 3

M.1.8.4	The Contractor must demonstrate that the proposed resource has a minimum of 2 years of experience developing applications using React and a minimum of 6 months of experience using Redux JavaScript libraries.		
----------------	---	--	--

DELETE:

Rated requirement R.2.8.4 A.7 Programmer/Analyst (UI) - Level 3

R.2.8.4	The Contractor should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience over and above the minimum 2 years required in M.1.8.4 developing applications using React and Redux JavaScript libraries.	2+ to 3 years = 2 points 3+ to 4 years = 4 points 4+ years = 6 points	6	
----------------	--	---	---	--

INSERT:

Rated requirement R.2.8.4 A.7 Programmer/Analyst (UI) - Level 3

R.2.8.4	The Contractor should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience over and above the minimum 2 years required in M.1.8.4 developing applications using React JavaScript libraries.	2+ to 3 years = 2 points 3+ to 4 years = 4 points 4+ years = 6 points	6	
----------------	--	---	---	--

At ATTACHMENT 4.1 - BID EVALUATION CRITERIA**DELETE:**

Mandatory requirement M.2.3.4 A7 Programmer/Analyst (UI) – Level 3

M.2.3.4	The bidder must demonstrate that the proposed resource has a minimum of 2 years of experience, within the past 5 years, developing applications using React and Redux JavaScript libraries.		
----------------	---	--	--

INSERT:

Mandatory requirement M.2.3.4 A7 Programmer/Analyst (UI) – Level 3

M.2.3.4	The bidder must demonstrate that the proposed resource has a minimum of 2 years of experience developing applications using React and a minimum of 6 months of experience using Redux JavaScript libraries.		
----------------	---	--	--

DELETE:

Rated requirement R.3.3.4 A7 Programmer/Analyst (UI) – Level 3

R.3.3.4	The bidder should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience over and above the minimum 2 years required in M.2.3.4 developing applications using React and Redux JavaScript libraries.	2+ to 3 years = 2 points 3+ to 4 years = 4 points 4+ years = 6 points	6	
----------------	--	---	---	--

INSERT:

Rated requirement R.3.3.4 A7 Programmer/Analyst (UI) – Level 3

R.3.3.4	The bidder should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience over and above the minimum 2 years required in M.2.3.4 developing applications using React JavaScript libraries.	2+ to 3 years = 2 points 3+ to 4 years = 4 points 4+ years = 6 points	6	
----------------	--	---	---	--

At APPENDIX C TO ANNEX A - RESOURCES ASSESSMENT CRITERIA AND RESPONSE TABLE**DELETE:**

Rated requirement R.2.8.3 A.7 Programmer/Analyst (UI) - Level 3

R.2.8.3	The Contractor should demonstrate that the proposed	2+ to 3 years = 2 points	6	
----------------	---	--------------------------	---	--

	resource has experience over and above the minimum 2 years required in M.1.8.3 designing, developing and implementing applications based on the HTML5 markup language.	3+ to 4 years = 4 points 4+ years = 6 points		
--	--	---	--	--

INSERT:

Rated requirement R.2.8.3 A.7 Programmer/Analyst (UI) - Level 3

R.2.8.3	The Contractor should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience over and above the minimum 2 years required in M.1.8.3 designing, developing and implementing applications based on the HTML5 markup language.	2+ to 3 years = 4 points 3+ years = 6 points	6	
----------------	--	---	---	--

At ATTACHMENT 4.1 - BID EVALUATION CRITERIA**DELETE:**

Rated requirement R.3.3.3 A.7 Programmer/Analyst (UI) - Level 3

R.3.3.3	The bidder should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience over and above the minimum 2 years required in M.2.3.3 designing, developing and implementing applications based on the HTML5 markup language.	2+ to 3 years = 2 points 3+ to 4 years = 4 points 4+ years = 6 points	6	
----------------	--	---	---	--

INSERT:

Rated requirement R.3.3.3 A.7 Programmer/Analyst (UI) - Level 3

R.3.3.3	The bidder should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience over and above the minimum 2 years required in M.2.3.3 designing, developing and implementing applications based on the HTML5 markup language.	2+ to 3 years = 4 points 3+ years = 4 points	6	
----------------	--	---	---	--

At APPENDIX C TO ANNEX A - RESOURCES ASSESSMENT CRITERIA AND RESPONSE TABLE**DELETE:**

Rated requirement R.2.3.6 A.14 Web Developer (Usability Expert) – Level 3

R.2.3.6	The Contractor should demonstrate that the proposed resource has a minimum of 2 years of experience, within the past 5 years, developing applications using React and Redux libraries.	2+ to 4 years = 2 points 4+ to 6 years = 4 points 6+ years = 6 points	6	
----------------	--	---	---	--

INSERT:

Rated requirement R.2.3.6 A.14 Web Developer (Usability Expert) – Level 3

R.2.3.6	The Contractor should demonstrate that the proposed resource has a minimum of 2 years of experience, within the past 5 years, developing applications using React libraries.	2+ to 4 years = 2 points 4+ to 6 years = 4 points 6+ years = 6 points	6	
----------------	--	---	---	--

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.