



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions - TPSGC

11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services - EL Division/Services

professionnels en informatique - division EL

Terrasses de la Chaudière 4th Floor

10 Wellington Street

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Omnibus (BPA, B2B, CECP) IT Profess	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 47419-251689/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 008
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 1000341689	Date 2018-10-09
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EL-606-33760	
File No. - N° de dossier 606el.47419-251689	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2018-10-12	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B.	
Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Mansour, Silvana	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 606el
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 858-9935 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This Solicitation Amendment 008 includes the response to question #63 which was missing from the English version of Amendment 007.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question 63:

Paragraph (iv) on page 13 of the RFP states:

"Previous Similar Projects: Where the bid must include a description of previous similar projects: (i) a project must have been completed by the Bidder itself (and cannot include the experience of any proposed subcontractor or any affiliate of the Bidder); (ii) a project must have been completed by the bid closing date; (iii) each project description must include, at minimum, the name and either the telephone number or e-mail address of a customer reference; and (iv) if more similar projects are provided than requested, Canada will decide in its discretion which projects will be evaluated. **A project will be considered "similar" to the Work to be performed under any resulting contract if the project was for the performance of work that closely matches the descriptions of the Resource Categories identified in Annex A. Work will be considered to "closely match" if the work in the provided project is described in at least 50% of the points of responsibility listed in the description of the given Resource Category. "**

For M.1.1 the reference requirements are pretty clear and the highlighted statement doesn't make sense in the context of what that requirement is looking for. Can the Crown please confirm that this statement does not apply to M.1.1?

For M.1.2 we understand that vendors are required to complete the table provided but Q&A 1 on Amendment 1 states that "job titles can be different but tasks and level of experience should correspond to those identified in the statement of work". However it does not identify how we are to provide evidence of this.

Can the Crown please confirm what evidence they would require to demonstrate correspondence to the identified tasks? i.e. would a mapping of the tasks performed by our consultant to 50% of the points of responsibility listed in the description of the given resource category be sufficient?

Answer 63:

For M.1.1 Canada will be evaluating corporate capability / experience and not resource categories experience. Therefore the highlighted text does not apply to M.1.1.

For M.1.2 Bidders should refer Q & A #59.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED