



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving Public Works and Government
Services Canada/Réception des soumissions Travaux
publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada
800 Burrard Street, Room 219
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219
Vancouver, B.C.
Vancouver
British Columbia
V6Z 0B9
Bid Fax: (604) 775-9381

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless
otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of
the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf
indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent
les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Public Works and Government Services Canada -
Pacific Region
800 Burrard Street, Room 219
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219
Vancouver, B.C.
V6Z 0B9
British C

Title - Sujet Electrical Engineering SOA	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EZ899-190509/A	Date 2018-10-18
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client	Amendment No. - N° modif. 002
File No. - N° de dossier TPV-8-41109 (037)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$TPV-037-8447	
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale 2018-09-26	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2018-11-06	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Pacific Standard Time PST	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Costa, Michaela	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur tpv037
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (236) 888-7800 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: PWGSC - Various Locations, BC & YT	
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required Accusé de réception requis	Yes - Oui <input type="checkbox"/>	No - Non <input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

Amendment 002

This amendment is issued to publish the following questions and responses:

Question:

Please confirm that as long as 1 member of the proponent's team and engineering firm meets the licensing requirements under article 3.1.2, this meets the mandatory requirement.

Response:

We are looking for a full service electrical engineering firm.

Question:

Under Senior Personnel article 3.2.3.2 d) on page 59 of 74 and similarly under Project Personnel 3.2.4.2 d)

- a. The statement identifies "Professional accreditation", will the evaluators be interpreting this in both article 3.2.3.2 or 3.2.4.2 statement to mean "Professional Engineer", because professional accreditation does not mean P. Eng.
- b. Will the evaluators deduct points for personnel in the category who are not a P. Eng
- c. Please confirm if project personnel's accreditation such as, CET, CTech, P.Tech, RCDD, Eng.L , LEED, PMP, etc will be rated lower than a P.Eng with similar or less experience.

Response:

In this instance, professional accreditation means P.Eng. as well as any other relevant credentials. P. Eng. is preferred over non P. Eng. Refer to the rest of the criteria as well.

Question:

Under Project Personnel 3.2.4.2 d) Project Personnel

- a. Please confirm if project personnel's accreditation such as, CET, CTech, P.Tech, RCDD, Eng.L , LEED, PMP, etc will be rated lower than a P.Eng with similar or less experience.
- b. Will the evaluators deduct points for personnel in the category no being P.Eng, but have vast experience.

Response:

We look at all criteria.

Question:

Please confirm if the "professional accreditation" is the criteria for evaluation and it overrides the senior or project personnel's experience.

Response:

The strength of a professional firm is assessed on the basis of qualifications and experience of the personnel working group as well as P. Eng.'s in the group.

Question:

Please confirm if "professional accreditation" can be removed as an evaluation criteria.

Response:

We cannot remove professional accreditation because we are looking for a professional service.