



## RETURN BIDS TO:

## RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving Public Works and Government  
Services Canada/Réception des soumissions Travaux  
publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada  
800 Burrard Street, Room 219  
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219  
Vancouver, B.C.  
Vancouver  
British Columbia  
V6Z 0B9  
Bid Fax: (604) 775-9381

## Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

## Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless  
otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of  
the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf  
indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent  
les mêmes.

## Comments - Commentaires

## Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

## Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Public Works and Government Services Canada -  
Pacific Region  
800 Burrard Street, Room 219  
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219  
Vancouver, B.C.  
V6Z 0B9  
British C

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Services de génie électrique DOC	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EZ899-190509/A	<b>Date</b> 2018-10-18
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b>	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 002
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> TPV-8-41109 (037)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$TPV-037-8447	
<b>Date of Original Request for Standing Offer</b> Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale 2018-09-26	
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2018-11-06</b>	
<b>Time Zone</b> <b>Fuseau horaire</b> Pacific Standard Time PST	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Costa, Michaela	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> tpv037
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (236) 888-7800 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b> PWGSC - Various Locations, BC & YT	
<b>Security - Sécurité</b> This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Acknowledgement copy required</b> <b>Accusé de réception requis</b>	<b>Yes - Oui</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No - Non</b> <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer.</b> <b>Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.</b>		
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
<b>For the Minister - Pour le Ministre</b>		

**Les documents en français seront disponibles sur demande.**

**Amendment 002**

This amendment is issued to publish the following questions and responses:

**Question:**

Please confirm that as long as 1 member of the proponent's team and engineering firm meets the licensing requirements under article 3.1.2, this meets the mandatory requirement.

**Response:**

We are looking for a full service electrical engineering firm.

**Question:**

Under Senior Personnel article 3.2.3.2 d) on page 59 of 74 and similarly under Project Personnel 3.2.4.2 d)

- a. The statement identifies "Professional accreditation", will the evaluators be interpreting this in both article 3.2.3.2 or 3.2.4.2 statement to mean "Professional Engineer", because professional accreditation does not mean P. Eng.
- b. Will the evaluators deduct points for personnel in the category who are not a P. Eng
- c. Please confirm if project personnel's accreditation such as, CET, CTech, P.Tech, RCDD, Eng.L , LEED, PMP, etc will be rated lower than a P.Eng with similar or less experience.

**Response:**

In this instance, professional accreditation means P.Eng. as well as any other relevant credentials. P. Eng. is preferred over non P. Eng. Refer to the rest of the criteria as well.

**Question:**

Under Project Personnel 3.2.4.2 d) Project Personnel

- a. Please confirm if project personnel's accreditation such as, CET, CTech, P.Tech, RCDD, Eng.L , LEED, PMP, etc will be rated lower than a P.Eng with similar or less experience.
- b. Will the evaluators deduct points for personnel in the category no being P.Eng, but have vast experience.

**Response:**

We look at all criteria.

**Question:**

Please confirm if the "professional accreditation" is the criteria for evaluation and it overrides the senior or project personnel's experience.

**Response:**

The strength of a professional firm is assessed on the basis of qualifications and experience of the personnel working group as well as P. Eng.'s in the group.

**Question:**

Please confirm if "professional accreditation" can be removed as an evaluation criteria.

**Response:**

We cannot remove professional accreditation because we are looking for a professional service.