



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Regional Manager/Real Property
Contracting/PWGSC
Ontario Region, Tendering Office
12th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street
Toronto, Ontario
M2N 6A6
Ontario

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Address inquiries to the Contracting Authority at
lauren.woodhall@pwgsc-tpsgc.gc.ca

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Regional Manager/Real Property
Contracting/PWGSC
Ontario Region, Tendering Office
12th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street
Toronto, Ontario
M2N 6A6
Ontario

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| Title - Sujet Mechanical Engineering Service | | |
| Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EQ754-190918/A | | Date 2018-10-23 |
| Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client EQ754-190918 | | Amendment No. - N° modif. 001 |
| File No. - N° de dossier PWL-8-41047 (035) | CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME | |
| GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$PWL-035-2404 | | |
| Date of Original Request for Standing Offer Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale | | 2018-09-26 |
| Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2018-11-08 | | Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST |
| Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Woodhall, Lauren | | Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pwl035 |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (416) 512-5873 () | | FAX No. - N° de FAX (416) 512-5862 |
| Delivery Required - Livraison exigée | | |
| Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: Ontario Region | | |
| Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre. | | |

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| Acknowledgement copy required Accusé de réception requis | Yes - Oui <input type="checkbox"/> | No - Non <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre. | | |
| Signature | Date | |
| Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie) | | |
| For the Minister - Pour le Ministre | | |

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
EQ754-190918/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
001

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
PWL035

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
EQ754-190918

File No. - N° du dossier
PWL-8-41047

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

Solicitation Amendment 001

This amendment is being raised to provide responses to Requests for Clarification.

Questions and Answers

Q1. Our firm would like to know how the evaluators weigh Senior and Project Personnel that hold the P.Eng professional designation compared to those who don't.

Will this weighting definition still be true notwithstanding the latter possess:

- Recognized professional accreditations (such as CET, CTech, P.Tech, RCDD, Eng.L , LEED, PMP)
- More years of experience than the P. Eng
- Greater demonstrated technical expertise than the P. Eng

Referenced RFSO articles: Senior Personnel and Project Personnel Expertise and Experience (3.2.4.2.d & 3.2.5.2.d)?

A1. Under sections 3.2.4 and 3.2.5 of the rated requirements the personal professional designation has no scoring points in the evaluation of Senior or Project Personnel and is not required in order to receive full points.

Q2. With respect to SRE.3, 3.2.4, will proponents submitting only a single CV receive a lower score than proponents that submit the maximum (2) CVs?

A2. Yes, submitting a single CV will receive a lower score as it fails to demonstrate the capacity to provide back-up personnel.

All other terms and conditions of the solicitation remain the same.