



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC**

11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services - EL Division/Services

professionnels en informatique - division EL

Terrasses de la Chaudière 4th Floor

10 Wellington Street

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Informatics professional services	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 47419-192246/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 005
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 47419-192246	Date 2018-12-12
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EL-632-34184	
File No. - N° de dossier 632el.47419-192246	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2019-01-04	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Lapalme, Francis	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 632el
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 858-9912 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

The purpose of amendment number 005 is to respond to vendors' questions.

Question 1:

Regarding M.1: A contract value does not accurately demonstrate a Bidder's ability to deliver the services required by a client. There are often times when Bidder's will win a contract and not be able to fulfill the requirements of the contract. Would the Crown consider adding a minimum required billed value, in order to ensure that vendors are able to meet CBSA's forecasted utilization and support their needs, and change M.1 to the following:

"The Bidder must demonstrate that it has been awarded 1 informatics professional services contract*, within the last 7 years prior to the Original Solicitation Closing Date, that met the following criteria:

(1) The contract was in support of an IM/IT systems project in support of the assessment of risk and operational surveillance. A summary of the scope and key responsibilities must be provided;

(2) The contract value*** was \$10,000,000.00 minimum, and has a billed value of \$6,000,000.00 minimum

*The above requirement must be demonstrated in a signed letter by the client. The name of the client and the name of a contact person at the client must be provided in the letter. It is requested that an email and phone number also be provided. It is also requested that the description of the informatics professional services be limited to 1 paragraph and the contract title or serial number be included.

***value is defined as either the amount specified in the limitation of expenditure article of the contract, plus applicable taxes, or the total price in the contract, whichever is the highest."

Response 1:

Canada has considered your request. However, Corporate Requirement M.1 will remain unchanged.

Question 2:

Regarding M.2 Appendix B of Attachment 2: This table is requesting that Bidders substantiate the tasks, explaining the responsibilities and demonstrating how the Bidder carried out the work while performing the tasks. In the past, other departments have requested a signed reference letter to confirm that the tasks were performed by the resources. Would the Crown accept a signed reference letter from the client confirming that resources performed 50% of the associated tasks listed in Article 5 of Annex A as substantiation?

Response 2:

Canada will not accept a signed reference letter from the client confirming that resources performed 50% of the associated tasks listed in Article 5 of Annex A as substantiation.

Question 3:

For the Business Transformation Architect can the Crown please provide some clarification on requirements M1 and M2.

M1 - A minimum of ten years of experience analyzing and developing architecture requirements design, process development, and process mapping.

M2 - Experience analyzing and developing architecture requirements design, process development, and process mapping on an IM/IT project. (Project referenced must be a minimum of 6 months duration to be considered.)

There is no time frame for M2, and M1 and M2 are both worded identically. Could the Crown consider combining the 2 criteria into 1 getting rid of M2 entirely, and M1 would thus read:

M1 - A minimum of ten years of experience analyzing and developing architecture requirements design, process development, and process mapping on an IM/IT project. (Project referenced must be a minimum of 6 months duration to be considered.)

Response 3:

Canada has considered your request. However, requirements M.1 and M.2 for the Business Transformation Architect – Level 3 will remain unchanged. Please note that the experience described in M.1 does not have to be within an IM/IT project.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED