



## QUESTIONS RECEIVED

### RFP# HC 1000204385

#### THE FISCAL IMPACT OF THE FEDERAL TOBACCO CONTROL STRATEGY: MEASURING THE RETURN ON INVESTMENT (ROI)

##### Question 1

Regarding the RFP issued to conduct a ROI analysis of the Federal Tobacco Control Strategy – Point-Rated Criteria 3 (p. 42 of the RFP) states “In addition to MT5, the Bidder’s technical document...” However, there is no MT5 in the RFP – was that a typo for MT4?

##### Answer 1

Yes, this is a typo. Please see amendment #1 for the correction.

##### Question 2

When addressing the mandatory and point-related criteria as summarized on p. 38-43, is it acceptable to state something along the lines of “Please refer to section x.x”? For instance, the criteria MT2 and PR1 are very similar; when addressing PR1, would it be acceptable to state “Please refer to previous section x.x detailing these projects” or would it be preferred to have the answer reiterated?

##### Answer 2

Reiterated.

##### Question 3

Is it acceptable to have 2 persons be co-leads for the Project Lead/Manager role? Or do we need to pick 1 of them as the Project Lead and list the other person as a project resource?

##### Answer 3

One as the project leader, any other as project resources.